### Essential fatty acids

### Introduction

A supplementary, or adjunctive, treatment is administered in conjunction with a patient's ongoing antipsychotic therapy in an attempt to treat symptoms or improve functions that are not adequately improved with the antipsychotic alone. One important group of compounds that have been suggested as an adjunctive therapy are the essential fatty acids (EFAs).

The two main EFAs are omega-3 and omega-6. They are important compounds for brain function, as they have impact on membrane receptors, ion channels and synapse function, as well as neuronal development. However, they are not made in the body and must be the diet. People sourced from with schizophrenia have shown to have lower levels of these essential compounds and their products. including omega-3 products docosahexaenoic acid (DHA), eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA), its esther, ethyleicosapentaenoic acid (E-EPA), omega-6 product arachidonic acid (AA), and their metabolites including prostaglandins (PGE).

It has been suggested that supplementing essential fatty acid levels may have some benefit for improving the outcome or reducing illness burden for people with schizophrenia.

### Method

We have included only systematic reviews (systematic literature search, detailed methodology with inclusion/exclusion criteria) published in full text, in English, from the year 2000 that report results separately for people with diagnosis of schizophrenia, а schizoaffective disorder, schizophreniform episode disorder or first schizophrenia. Reviews were identified by searching the databases MEDLINE, EMBASE, CINAHL, Current Contents, PsycINFO and the Cochrane library. Hand searching reference lists of identified reviews was also conducted. When multiple copies of reviews were found, only the



### SCHIZOPHRENIA LIBRARY

most recent version was included. Reviews with pooled data are prioritised for inclusion.

Review reporting assessment was guided by the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Meta-Analyses Reviews and (PRISMA) checklist, which describes a preferred way to present a meta-analysis<sup>1</sup>. Reviews rated as having less than 50% of items checked have been excluded from the library. The PRISMA flow diagram is a suggested way of providing information about studies included and excluded with reasons for exclusion. Where no flow diagram has been presented by individual reviews, but identified studies have been described in the text, reviews have been checked for this item. Note that early reviews may have been guided by less stringent reporting checklists than the PRISMA, and that some reviews may have been limited by journal quidelines.

Evidence was graded using the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE) Working Group approach where high quality evidence such as that gained from randomised controlled trials (RCTs) may be downgraded to moderate or low if review and study quality is limited, if there is inconsistency in results, indirect comparisons, imprecise or sparse data and high probability of reporting bias. It may also be downgraded if risks associated with the intervention or other matter under review are high. Conversely, low quality evidence such as that gained from observational studies may be upgraded if effect sizes are large or if there is a dose dependent response. We have also taken into account sample size and whether results are consistent, precise and direct with low associated risks (see end of table for an explanation of these terms)<sup>2</sup>. The resulting table represents an objective summary of the available evidence, although the conclusions are solely the opinion of staff of NeuRA (Neuroscience Research Australia).

NeuRA

Essential fatty acids



SCHIZOPHRENIA LIBRARY

### Essential fatty acids

### Results

We found five systematic reviews that met our inclusion criteria.  $^{\mbox{\tiny 3-7}}$ 

 Moderate to high quality evidence finds no benefit of adjunctive essential fatty acids for symptoms or global state.

NeuRA Essential fatty acids



# Essential fatty acids

### SCHIZOPHRENIA LIBRARY

Cakici N, van Beveren N, Judge-Hundal G, Koola M, Sommer I	
An update on the effi schizophrenia: A met	cacy of anti-inflammatory agents for patients with a-analysis
Psychological Medicine 20	019; 49: 2307-19
View review abstract online	
Comparison	Adjunctive fatty acids eicosapentaenoic (0.5g daily), docosahexaenoic (4g daily), or omega-3 (0.4 to 2.2g daily) vs. placebo.
Summary of evidence	Moderate to high quality evidence (large sample, inconsistent, precise, direct) suggests no significant differences between groups.
	Symptoms
	No significant differences between groups;
11 RCTs, N	= 652, $g = 0.19$ , 95%Cl -0.02 to 0.40, $p = 0.07$ , l <sup>2</sup> = 41%
Consistency in results <sup>‡</sup>	Inconsistent
Precision in results§	Precise
Directness of results	Direct

Cho M, Lee TY, Kwak YB, Yoon YB, Kim M, Kwon JS

Adjunctive use of anti-inflammatory drugs for schizophrenia: A metaanalytic investigation of randomized controlled trials

Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry 2019; 53: 742-59

View review abstract online

Comparison	Adjunctive omega-3 fatty acids vs. placebo.
Summary of evidence	High quality evidence (large sample, consistent, precise, direct) suggests no significant differences between groups.

NeuRA

Essential fatty acids

Essential fatty acids



### SCHIZOPHRENIA LIBRARY

# Symptoms No significant differences between groups; 20 RCTs, N = 778, g = 0.03, 95%CI -0.14 to 0.20, p > 0.05, l<sup>2</sup> = 21% The result was similar for positive and negative symptoms. Consistency in results Consistent Precision in results Precise Directness of results Direct

### Fusar-Poli P, Berger G

# Eicosapentaenoic Acid Interventions in Schizophrenia. Meta-Analysis of Randomized, Placebo-Controlled Studies

### Journal of Clinical Psychopharmacology 2012; 32: 179-185

View review abstract online

Comparison Omega-3 EPA, average 2g daily for 12 to 16 weeks vs. placebo.		
Summary of evidence	Moderate to high quality evidence (medium-sized sample, consistent, precise, direct) suggests no benefit of adjunctive low-dose omega-3 EPA over placebo.	
Symptoms		
	No significant difference between groups;	
7 RCTs, N = 335, <i>g</i> = 0.	242, 95%Cl 0.028 to 0.512, $p > 0.05$ , Q = 9.06, $p = 0.170$ , l <sup>2</sup> = 33.81	
There were no moderating effects of age, sex, and dose.		
Consistency in results	Consistent	
Precision in results	Precise	
Directness of results	Direct	

Essential fatty acids



# Essential fatty acids

SCHIZO	PHRENIA	LIBRARY

Polyunsaturated fat	ty acid supplementation for schizophrenia	
Cochrane Database of Sy	stematic Reviews 2006; Issue 3: Art. No CD001257	
View review abstract online		
Comparison 1	Omega-3 E-EPA or EPA vs. placebo.	
Summary of evidence	Moderate to low quality evidence (small samples, mostly imprecise, unable to assess consistency, direct) found no benefit for global or mental state.	
	Global and mental state	
No si	gnificant difference between groups in global state;	
1 trial, N	= 87, MD = 0.00, 95%CI -0.29 to 0.29, <i>p</i> not reported	
No significa	ant difference between groups in symptomatic response;	
1 tria	I, N = 69, RR = 0.90, 95%CI 0.50 to 1.63, <i>p</i> = 0.73	
No sig	gnificant difference between groups in mental state;	
1 trial	, N = 29, RR = 0.62, 95%CI 0.37 to 1.05, <i>p</i> = 0.073	
1 trial	, N = 87, MD = -1.00, 95%CI -8.15 to 6.15, <i>p</i> = 0.78	
Risks	One trial, N = 77, reported no difference between omega-3 and placebo for average time until the first tardive dyskinesia response; $MD = -0.40, 95\%$ Cl -1.94 to 1.14, $p = 0.61$	
Consistency in results	Not applicable (1 trial in each comparison).	
Precision in results	Unable to assess MDs, imprecise for RRs.	
Directness of results	Direct	
Comparison 2	Omega-3 E-EPA or EPA vs. omega-3 DHA.	
Summary of evidence	Low quality evidence (very small sample, unable to assess consistency, imprecise, direct) is unclear as to any differences between EPA and DHA.	
	Mental state	

NeuRA

Essential fatty acids



# Essential fatty acids

	and the second
	$\Delta DV$
I SCHIZOPHRENIA LIBF	

No significant differences between groups; 1 trial, N = 31, RR = 0.66, 95%Cl 0.39 to 1.11, <i>p</i> not reported	
Consistency in results	Not applicable, one trial only.
Precision in results	Imprecise
Directness of results	Direct

### Marshall M, Rathbone J

### Early Intervention for psychosis

# Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2011; Issue 6. Art. No.: CD004718. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD004718.pub3

View review abstract online

Comparison	Omega-3 E-EPA dose 500 mg/twice a day for 3 months plus second generation antipsychotics vs. placebo plus second generation antipsychotics.
Summary of evidence	Moderate to low quality evidence (small sample, imprecise, direct) suggests no differences between groups.
Global state	
Not responded to treatment by 12 weeks	
No differences between groups at 12 weeks;	
1 RCT, N = 80, RR = 0.90, 95%CI 0.57 to 1.43, <i>p</i> = 0.65	
Consistency in results	Not applicable, 1 RCT only
Precision in results	Imprecise
Directness of results	Direct

### Explanation of acronyms

AIMS = Abnormal Involuntary Movement Scale, CI = confidence interval, d = Cohen's d and g = Hedges' g = standardised mean differences, I<sup>2</sup> = the percentage of the variability in effect estimates

NeuRA



### Essential fatty acids

SCHIZOPHRENIA LIBRARY

that is due to heterogeneity rather than sampling error (chance), MD = mean difference, N = number of participants, p = statistical probability of obtaining that result (p < 0.05 generally regarded as significant), PANSS = Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale, Q = Q statistic for the test of heterogeneity, RCT = randomised controlled trial, RR = risk ratio, vs. = versus

NeuRA Essential fatty acids

### Essential fatty acids

### Explanation of technical terms

- Bias has the potential to affect reviews of both RCT and observational studies. Forms of bias include; reporting bias - selective reporting of results; publication bias - trials that are not formally published tend to show less effect than published trials, further if there are statistically significant differences between groups in a trial, these trial results tend to get published before those of trials without significant differences; language bias - only including English language reports; funding bias - source of funding for the primary research with selective reporting of results within primary studies; outcome variable selection bias; database bias including reports from some databases and not others; citation bias - preferential citation of authors. Trials can also be subject to bias when evaluators are not blind to treatment condition and selection bias of participants if trial samples are small.8
- † Different effect measures are reported by different reviews.

Prevalence refers to how many existing cases there are at a particular point in time. Incidence refers to how many new cases there are per population in a specified time period. Incidence is usually reported as the number of new cases per 100,000 people per year. Alternatively some studies present the number of new cases that have accumulated over several years against a person-years denominator. This denominator is the sum of individual units of time that the persons in the population are at risk of becoming a case. It takes into account the size of the underlying population sample and its age structure over the duration of observation.



### SCHIZOPHRENIA LIBRARY

Reliability and validity refers to how accurate the instrument is. Sensitivity is the proportion of actual positives that are correctly identified (100% sensitivity = correct identification of all actual positives) and specificity is the proportion of negatives that are correctly identified (100% specificity = not identifying anyone as positive if they are truly not).

Weighted mean difference scores refer to mean differences between treatment and comparison groups after treatment (or occasionally pre to post treatment) and in a randomised trial there is an assumption that both groups are comparable on this measure Standardised mean prior to treatment. differences are divided by the pooled standard deviation (or the standard deviation of one group when groups are homogenous) which allows results from different scales to be combined and compared. Each study's mean difference is then given a weighting depending on the size of the sample and the variability in the data. 0.2 represents a small effect, 0.5 a medium effect, and 0.8 and over represents a large effect.8

Odds ratio (OR) or relative risk (RR) refers to the probability of a reduction (< 1) or an increase (> 1) in a particular outcome in a treatment group, or a group exposed to a risk factor, relative to the comparison group. For example, a RR of 0.75 translates to a reduction in risk of an outcome of 25% relative to those not receiving the treatment or not exposed to the risk factor. Conversely, a RR of 1.25 translates to an increased risk of 25% relative to those not receiving treatment or not having been exposed to a risk factor. A RR or OR of 1.00 means there is no difference between groups. A medium effect is considered if RR > 2 or < 0.5 and a large effect if RR > 5 or <  $0.2^9$ . InOR stands for logarithmic OR where a InOR of 0 shows no difference between groups. Hazard ratios

NeuRA Essential fatty acids

### Essential fatty acids

measure the effect of an explanatory variable on the hazard or risk of an event.

Correlation coefficients (eg, r) indicate the strength of association or relationship between variables. They can provide an indirect indication of prediction, but do not confirm causality due to possible and often unforseen confounding variables. An r of 0.10 represents a weak association, 0.25 a medium association and 0.40 and over represents а strong association. Unstandardised (b) regression coefficients indicate the average change in the dependent variable associated with a 1 unit change in the independent variable. statistically controlling for the other independent Standardised variables. regression coefficients represent the change being in units of standard deviations to allow comparison across different scales.

‡ Inconsistency refers to differing estimates of effect across studies (i.e. heterogeneity or variability in results) that is not explained by subgroup analyses and therefore reduces confidence in the effect estimate. I<sup>2</sup> is the percentage of the variability in effect estimates that is due to heterogeneity rather than sampling error (chance) - 0% to 40%: heterogeneity might not be important, 30% to 60%: may represent moderate heterogeneity, 50% to 90%: may represent considerable heterogeneity and over this is considerable heterogeneity. I<sup>2</sup> can be calculated from Q (chi-square) for the test of heterogeneity with the following formula;<sup>8</sup>

$$|^2 = \left(\frac{Q - df}{Q}\right) \times 100\%$$



### SCHIZOPHRENIA LIBRARY

- Imprecision refers to wide confidence intervals indicating a lack of confidence in the estimate. effect Based on GRADE recommendations, a result for continuous data (standardised mean differences, not weighted mean differences) is considered imprecise if the upper or lower confidence limit crosses an effect size of 0.5 in either direction, and for binary and correlation data, an effect size of 0.25. GRADE also recommends downgrading the evidence when sample size is smaller than 300 (for binary data) and 400 (for continuous data), although for some topics, these criteria should be relaxed.10
- Indirectness of comparison occurs when a comparison of intervention A versus B is not available but A was compared with C and B was compared with C, which allows indirect comparisons of the magnitude of effect of A В. Indirectness versus of population, comparator and/or outcome can also occur when the available evidence regarding a population, particular intervention. comparator, or outcome is not available and is therefore inferred from available evidence. These inferred treatment effect sizes are of lower quality than those gained from head-tohead comparisons of A and B.

NeuRA

Essential fatty acids

### Essential fatty acids



SCHIZOPHRENIA LIBRARY

### References

- 1. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, PRISMAGroup (2009): Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *British Medical Journal* 151: 264-9.
- 2. GRADEWorkingGroup (2004): Grading quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. *British Medical Journal* 328: 1490.
- 3. Irving CB, Mumby-Croft R, Joy LA (2006): Polyunsaturated fatty acid supplementation for schizophrenia. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*.
- 4. Fusar-Poli P, Berger G (2012): Eicosapentaenoic acid interventions in schizophrenia: meta-analysis of randomized, placebo-controlled studies. *Journal of Clinical Psychopharmacology* 32: 179-85.
- 5. Marshall M, Rathbone J (2011): Early intervention for psychosis. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*: CD004718.
- 6. Cakici N, van Beveren N, Judge-Hundal G, Koola M, Sommer I (2019): An update on the efficacy of anti-inflammatory agents for patients with schizophrenia: A meta-analysis. *Psychological Medicine* 49: 2307-19.
- 7. Cho M, Lee TY, Kwak YB, Yoon YB, Kim M, Kwon JS (2019): Adjunctive use of anti-inflammatory drugs for schizophrenia: A meta-analytic investigation of randomized controlled trials. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry* 53: 742-59.
- 8. CochraneCollaboration (2008): Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions. Accessed 24/06/2011.
- 9. Rosenthal JA (1996): Qualitative Descriptors of Strength of Association and Effect Size. *Journal of Social Service Research* 21: 37-59.
- 10. GRADEpro (2008): [Computer program]. Jan Brozek, Andrew Oxman, Holger Schünemann. Version 32 for Windows