Incidence in ethnic groups

Neural Ne

SCHIZOPHRENIA LIBRARY

Introduction

The incidence of schizophrenia refers to how many new cases there are per population in a specified time period. It is different from prevalence, which refers to how many existing cases there are at a particular point in time. Incidence is usually reported as the number of new cases per 100,000 people per year. Alternatively some studies present the number of new cases that have accumulated over against several years а person-years denominator. This denominator is the sum of individual units of time that the persons in the population are at risk of developing schizophrenia. It takes into account the size of the underlying population sample and its age structure over the duration of observation¹.

Differences in the incidence of a disorder can provide clues to its possible causes. For example, a population register with information gained from consensus data helps to identify all adults who were born within a certain time period (an age cohort) and where they were born. Cross linking this information with a mental health register can be used to identify those who received treatment for schizophrenia over particular times. This can provide information regarding the incidence schizophrenia within different ethnic groups.

Method

We have included only systematic reviews with detailed literature search, methodology, and inclusion/exclusion criteria that were published in full text, in English, from the year 2000. Reviews were identified by searching the MEDLINE, EMBASE, databases PsycINFO. Reviews with pooled data are prioritized for inclusion. Reviews reporting fewer than 50% of items on the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA1) checklist have been excluded from the library. The evidence was guided by the Grading Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE) Working Group

approach². The resulting table represents an objective summary of the available evidence, although the conclusions are solely the opinion of staff of NeuRA (Neuroscience Research Australia).

Results

We found one systematic review that met our inclusion criteria⁴.

 Moderate to high quality evidence suggests the incidence of psychotic disorders in ethnic minority groups in the UK and the Netherlands is higher than in the majority population in those areas (large effect). This effect is largest in areas with low ethnic density compared to areas with high ethnic density.

NeuRA Incidence in ethnic groups April 2022



Incidence in ethnic groups

SCHIZOPHRENIA LIBRARY

Bosqui TJ, Hoy K, Shannon C

A systematic review and meta-analysis of the ethnic density effect in psychotic disorders

Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology 2014; 41(4): 519-529

View review abstract online

| Comparison | The incidence of psychotic disorders in ethnic minority groups in areas with high or low levels of ethnic density compared with the majority population. |
|---------------------|--|
| Summary of evidence | Moderate to high quality evidence (large samples, consistent, unable to assess precision, direct) suggests the incidence of psychotic disorders in ethnic minority groups in the UK and the Netherlands is higher than in the majority population in those areas (large effect). This effect is largest in areas with low ethnic density compared to areas with high ethnic density. |

Incidence of psychotic disorders in ethnic minority groups

High density ethnic minority areas

3 studies from the UK reported significant, large increased incidence in psychotic disorders in ethnic minority groups from high density ethnic minority areas compared to the majority population (N = 4671, IRR 2.38 to 3.81, p < 0.05). Two studies (one from the UK and one from the Netherlands) reported non-significant, small increased incidence in psychotic disorders in ethnic minority groups compared to the majority population (N = 740, IRR 1.25 to 1.48, p > 0.05).

Low density ethnic minority areas

5 studies (4 from the UK, one from the Netherlands) reported significant, large to very large increased incidence in psychotic disorders in ethnic minority groups from low density ethnic minority areas compared to the majority population (N = 5411, IRR 2.36 to 6.60, p < 0.05).

Authors report $I^2 = 0\%$ for both comparisons

| Consistency in results [‡] | Consistent |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Precision in results§ | Unable to assess; no CIs reported. |
| Directness of results | Direct |

NeuRA Incidence in ethnic groups April 2022



Incidence in ethnic groups

SCHIZOPHRENIA LIBRARY

Explanation of acronyms

IRR = incidence rate ratio, N = number of participants, p = statistical probability of obtaining that result (p < 0.05 generally regarded as significant)

Incidence in ethnic groups

Explanation of technical terms

* Bias has the potential to affect reviews of both RCT and observational studies. Forms of bias include; reporting bias - selective reporting of results; publication bias - trials that are not formally published tend to show less effect than published trials, further if there are statistically significant differences between groups in a trial, these trial results tend to get published before those of trials without significant differences; language bias - only including English language reports; funding bias - source of funding for the primary research with selective reporting of results within primary studies; outcome variable selection bias; database bias - including reports from some databases and not others; citation bias - preferential citation of authors. Trials can also be subject to bias when evaluators are not blind to treatment condition and selection bias of participants if trial samples are small.

† Different effect measures are reported by different reviews.

Median rate ratio refers to the ratio between prevalence or incidence rates of two groups, based on the median rather than the mean. The median is often used as a better measure of central tendency than the mean when data are skewed. Harmonic means are also used when data are skewed and are appropriate for rate data.

Weighted mean difference scores refer to mean differences between treatment and comparison groups after treatment (or occasionally pre to post treatment) and in a randomised trial there is an assumption that both groups are comparable on this measure prior to treatment. Standardised mean differences are divided by the pooled standard deviation (or the standard deviation



SCHIZOPHRENIA LIBRARY

of one group when groups are homogenous) that allows results from different scales to be combined and compared. Each study's mean difference is then given a weighting depending on the size of the sample and the variability in the data. 0.2 represents a small effect, 0.5 a moderate effect, and 0.8 and over represents a large treatment effect⁵.

Odds ratio (OR) or relative risk (RR) refers to the probability of a reduction (< 1) or an increase (> 1) in a particular outcome in a treatment group, or a group exposed to a risk factor, relative to the comparison group. For example, a RR of 0.75 translates to a reduction in risk of an outcome of 25% relative to those not receiving the treatment or not exposed to the risk factor. Conversely, an RR of 1.25 translates to an increased risk of 25% relative to those not receiving treatment or not having been exposed to a risk factor. An RR or OR of 1.00 means there is no difference between groups. A medium effect is considered if RR > 2 or < 0.5 and a large effect if RR > 5 or < 0.26. InOR stands for logarithmic OR where a InOR of 0 shows no difference between groups. Hazard ratios measure the effect of an explanatory variable on the hazard or risk of an event.

Correlation coefficients (eg, r) indicate the strength of association or relationship between variables. They are an indication of prediction, but do not confirm causality due to possible and often unforseen confounding variables. An r of 0.10 represents a weak association, 0.25 a medium association and 0.40 and over represents а strong association. Unstandardised (b) regression coefficients indicate the average change in the dependent variable associated with a 1 unit change in the dependent variable, statistically controlling for the variables. independent Standardised regression coefficients represent the change being in units of standard deviations to allow comparison across different scales.

NeuRA

Incidence in ethnic groups

April 2022

Neural Ne

SCHIZOPHRENIA LIBRARY

Incidence in ethnic groups

Incidence refers to how many new cases there are per population in a specified time period. Incidence is usually reported as the number of new cases per 100,000 people per year. Alternatively some studies present the number of new cases that have accumulated over several years against a person-years denominator. This denominator is the sum of individual units of time that the persons in the population are at risk of becoming a case. It takes into account the size of the underlying population sample and its age structure over the duration of observation. Prevalence refers to how many existing cases there are at a particular point in time.

Reliability and validity refers to how accurate the instrument is. Sensitivity is the proportion of actual positives that are correctly identified (100% sensitivity = correct identification of all actual positives) and specificity is the proportion of negatives that are correctly identified (100% specificity = not identifying anyone as positive if they are truly not).

‡ Inconsistency refers to differing estimates of treatment effect across studies (i.e. heterogeneity or variability in results) that is not explained by subgroup analyses and therefore reduces confidence in the effect estimate. I2 is the percentage of the variability in effect estimates that is due to heterogeneity rather than sampling error (chance) - 0% to 40%: heterogeneity might not be important, 30% to 60%: may represent moderate heterogeneity, 50% to 90%: may represent substantial heterogeneity and 75% to 100%: heterogeneity. considerable l² can be calculated from Q (chi-square) for the test of heterogeneity with the following formula;

$$I^2 = \left(\frac{Q - df}{Q}\right) \times 100\%$$

Imprecision refers to wide confidence intervals indicating a lack of confidence in the effect estimate. Based on GRADE recommendations, a result for continuous data (standardised mean differences, not weighted mean differences) is considered imprecise if the upper or lower confidence limit crosses an effect size of 0.5 in either direction, and for binary and correlation data, an effect size of 0.25. GRADE also recommends downgrading the evidence when sample size is smaller than 300 (for binary data) and 400 (for continuous data), although for some topics, this criteria should be relaxed7.

Indirectness of comparison occurs when a comparison of intervention A versus B is not available but A was compared with C and B was compared with C that allows indirect comparisons of the magnitude of effect of A В. Indirectness of population, comparator and or outcome can also occur when the available evidence regarding a particular population, intervention, comparator, or outcome is not available so is inferred from available evidence. These inferred treatment effect sizes are of lower quality than those gained from head-to-head comparisons of A and B.

NeuRA

Incidence in ethnic groups



SCHIZOPHRENIA LIBRARY

Incidence in ethnic groups

References

- 1. Goldner EM, Hsu L, Waraich P, Somers JM (2002): Prevalence and incidence studies of schizophrenic disorders: a systematic review of the literature. *Canadian Journal of Psychiatry Revue Canadienne de Psychiatrie* 47: 833-43.
- 2. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, PRISMAGroup (2009): Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *British Medical Journal* 151: 264-9.
- 3. GRADEWorkingGroup (2004): Grading quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. *British Medical Journal* 328: 1490.
- 4. Bosqui TJ, Hoy K, Shannon C (2014): A systematic review and meta-analysis of the ethnic density effect in psychotic disorders. *Social psychiatry and psychiatric epidemiology* 49: 519-29.
- CochraneCollaboration (2008): Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions. Accessed 24/06/2011.
- 6. Rosenthal JA (1996): Qualitative Descriptors of Strength of Association and Effect Size. *Journal of Social Service Research* 21: 37-59.
- 7. GRADEpro (2008): [Computer program]. Jan Brozek, Andrew Oxman, Holger Schünemann. *Version* 32 for Windows

NeuRA Incidence in ethnic groups April 2022