Homelessness

Introduction

'Prevalence' estimates the number of individuals in a population who have a disease during a specific time-period. Many studies have reported a high prevalence of various health problems, including mental health problems, among homeless people. The rate of schizophrenia in this population may be difficult to measure due to diversity between studies in the definitions of homelessness and the diagnostic criteria used.

Method

We have included only systematic reviews with detailed literature search, methodology, and inclusion/exclusion criteria that were published in full text, in English, from the year 2000. Reviews were identified by searching the databases MEDLINE. EMBASE. and PsycINFO. Reviews with pooled data are prioritized for inclusion. Reviews reporting fewer than 50% of items on the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA1) checklist have been excluded from the library. The evidence was graded guided by the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE) Working Group approach². The resulting table represents an objective summary of the available evidence, although the conclusions are solely the opinion of staff of NeuRA (Neuroscience Research Australia).

Results

We found five systematic reviews that met our inclusion criteria³⁻⁷.

- Moderate to high quality evidence found the prevalence of schizophrenia spectrum disorders was around 12.4% in high-income countries.
- Moderate quality evidence found the prevalence rate of any psychotic disorder in homeless people was around 21%. The



SCHIZOPHRENIA LIBRARY

prevalence rate of schizophrenia is lower, around 10%, which is highest in developing (22%) than developed (9%) countries.

 Moderate quality evidence suggests overall prevalence rates of schizophrenia or related psychotic disorders in homeless populations in western countries is between 11 and 13%, with rates varying substantially across regions. Rates are higher for those who are homeless over the long term compared to the short term and are higher for women than for men.

NeuRA



SCHIZOPHRENIA LIBRARY

Homelessness

Ayano G, Tesfaw G, Shumet S

The prevalence of schizophrenia and other psychotic disorders among homeless people: A systematic review and meta-analysis

BMC Psychiatry 2019; 19: 370

View review abstract online

Comparison	Prevalence of psychotic disorders in homeless populations.
Summary of evidence	Moderate quality evidence (large sample, mostly inconsistent, appears imprecise, direct) suggests overall prevalence rate of any psychotic disorder in homeless people is around 21%. The prevalence rate of schizophrenia is lower, around 10%, which is highest in developing (22%) than developed (9%) countries.

Prevalence of schizophrenia and other psychotic disorders

Prevalence of any psychotic disorder = 21.21%, 95%Cl 13.73 to 31.29%, l² = 99.43%

Prevalence of schizophrenia = 10.29%, 95%CI 6.44 to 16.02%, I² = 98.76%

Prevalence of schizophreniform disorder = 2.48%, 95%CI 6.16 to 28.11%, I² = 88.84%

Prevalence of schizoaffective disorder = 3.53%, 95%CI 1.33 to 9.05%, I² = 31.63%

Prevalence of psychotic disorders not otherwise specified = 9%, 95%CI 6.92 to 11.62%, I² = 33.38%

The prevalence of schizophrenia was highest in developing (22.15%) than developed (8.83%) countries.

Consistency in results [‡]	Mostly inconsistent
Precision in results [§]	Appears imprecise
Directness of results [∥]	Direct



Homelessness

SCHIZOPHRENIA LIBRARY

Fazel S, Khosla V, Doll H, Geddes J

The prevalence of mental disorders among the homeless in western countries: systematic review and meta-regression analysis

PLoS Medicine 2008; 5(12): e225

View review abstract online

Comparison	Prevalence of psychotic disorders in homeless populations in western countries.
Summary of evidence	Moderate quality evidence (large sample, inconsistent, unable to assess precision, direct) suggests overall prevalence rates of any psychotic disorder is around 13% in western countries.
	Non-affective psychosis
The pooled p	prevalence of non-affective psychotic disorders was 12.7%
28 studies,	N ~ 5,684, 95%Cl 10.2% to 15.2%, $l^2 = 88.6\%$, $p = 0.001$
Subgroup a	nalysis investigating prevalence rates in different regions;
Mainland Europ	e = 12%, 8 studies, 95%Cl 7% to 16%, l^2 = 83.4%, p = 0.000
UK = 199	%, 6 studies, 95%Cl 9% to 29%, l² = 92.4%, <i>p</i> = 0.000
US = 9%	, 10 studies, 95%Cl 6% to 11%, l² = 86.7%, <i>p</i> = 0.000
Australia = 1	6%, 8 studies, 95%Cl 10% to 22%, l ² = 82.4%, <i>p</i> = 0.001
	ewer was a mental health clinician had significantly higher prevalence llness than studies using a lay interviewer ($b = 0.08$, $p = 0.042$).
-	esponse rates (< 85%) had a trend effect of lower prevalence rates than h higher survey response rates ($b = -0.06$, $p = 0.071$).
Studies with a sample ≥ 20	10 had a trend effect of lower prevalence rates than those with a sample of < 200 (b = -0.08, p = 0.055).
0	el including these three characteristics, only response rate remained a lower response rates being associated with lower prevalence rates of psychotic illness ($b = -0.08$, $p = 0.015$).
Consistency in results	Inconsistent
Precision in results	Unable to assess; no measure of precision is reported.
Directness of results	Direct

NeuRA

Homelessness

April 2022



SCHIZOPHRENIA LIBRARY

Homelessness

Folsom D, Jeste DV		
Schizophrenia in hom	eless persons: a systematic review of the literature	
Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica 2002; 105(6): 404-413		
View review abstract online		
Comparison	Prevalence of schizophrenia and related psychotic disorders in homeless populations.	
Summary of evidence	Moderate quality evidence (large sample, inconsistent, unable to assess precision, direct) suggests the overall prevalence rate of schizophrenia or related psychotic disorder is around 11%. The rate is higher for those who are homeless over the long-term compared to the short-term and rates are higher for women than for men.	
	Outcome: prevalence of schizophrenia	
10 studies from the US, Australia, Brazil, France, Spain and Germany, with representative samples and standardised diagnostic tools, reported an average rate of 11% (range 4.4 to 16%) of homeless people having a diagnosis of schizophrenia or related psychotic disorder		
Prevalence	of schizophrenia in homeless people according to age;	
	In Los Angeles, 13% of 18 to 30 year olds	
	In New York, 21% of 17 to 29 year olds	
	In Los Angeles, 21% of 31 to 40 year olds	
	In New York, 13% of 30 to 40 year olds	
	In Los Angeles, 8% of 41 to 60 year olds	
	In New York, 14% of > 40 year olds	
	In Philadelphia, 4% of 18 to 30 year olds	
	In Philadelphia, 7% of 31 to 45 year olds	
In Philadelphia, 9% of > 45 years olds		
Prevalence of clinical	-level psychotic symptoms in homeless people according to age;	
	In Los Angeles, 44% of 18 to 49 year olds	
	In Los Angeles, 25% of > 50 year olds	
Prevalence of schizoph	rrenia diagnosis or treatment in homeless people according to sex;	
4/6 studies found women had higher rates of schizophrenia		

NeuRA

Homelessness

April 2022



Homelessness

SCHIZOPHRENIA LIBRARY

In Philadelphia, 11% of women received treatment for schizophrenia vs. 7% of men.			
In Baltimore, 17% of women were diagnosed with schizophrenia vs.12% of men.			
In Munich, 34% of women were diagnosed with schizophrenia vs. 12% of men.			
In Melbourne, 35% of women were diagnosed with schizophrenia vs. 8% of men.			
In St Louis, 4% of women were diagnosed with schizophrenia vs. 6% of men.			
In Madrid, ther	e were similar rates of schizophrenia in women and men.		
Differences	in schizophrenia according to length of homelessness;		
In Los Angeles, 18% of people who were classed as long-term homeless (time not specified) had a diagnosis of schizophrenia vs. 13% of cyclically homeless, and 2% of newly homeless.			
In New York, 27% of the chronically homeless had a diagnosis of psychosis compared with 14% in newly homeless.			
In Brazil, authors report that the duration of homelessness was longer in persons with schizophrenia than in the homeless sample as a whole.			
Rates of treatment in homeless people;			
In Paris, 68% of homeles	s people with schizophrenia received treatment in the previous year.		
In St Louis, 31% of the homeless persons with schizophrenia received treatment in the previous year, 24% reported seeking treatment but were unable to obtain it and 45% did not seek treatment.			
In Toronto, 829	% received some psychiatric treatment over their lifetime.		
In Edinburgh, 7	5% received some psychiatric treatment over their lifetime.		
In London, 66% of homel	ess people with schizophrenia were not receiving current treatment.		
Consistency in results	Unable to assess; no measure of consistency is reported.		
Precision in results	Unable to assess; no measure of precision is reported.		
Directness of results	Direct		
Gutwinski S, Schreiter S, Deutscher K, Fazel S			
The prevalence of mental disorders among homeless people in high- income countries: An updated systematic review and meta-regression analysis			
PLoS Medicine 2021; 18(8) e1003750			
View review abstract online			

Comparison

Prevalence of schizophrenia spectrum disorders in homeless

NeuRA

April 2022



Homelessness

SCHIZOPHRENIA LIBRARY

	populations in high-income countries.
Summary of evidence	Moderate to high quality evidence (large sample, inconsistent, appears precise, direct) suggests the prevalence of schizophrenia spectrum disorders is around 12.4% in high- income countries.
Prevalence of schizophrenia	
39 studies, N = 8,049	
35 studies reported schizophrenia, prevalence = 12.4% , 95% Cl 9.5% to 15.7% , $l^2 = 93\%$	
A multivariable model with sample size, proportion of female participants, and study location in Germany accounted for a small share of the heterogeneity ($R^2 = 16\%$).	
Consistency in results	Inconsistent
Precision in results	Appears precise
Directness of results	Direct

Saha S, Chant D, Welham J, McGrath J

A systematic review of the prevalence of schizophrenia

PLoS Medicine / Public Library of Science 2005; 2(5): e141

View review abstract online

Comparison	Distribution rates of the prevalence of schizophrenia in homeless populations.
Summary of evidence	Moderate quality evidence (large population samples, unable to assess consistency or precision, direct) suggests the prevalence rate of schizophrenia in Sydney is around 30% of homeless people and is around 13% of homeless people in Los Angeles.
Pre	evalence of schizophrenia in homeless people
	N = unclear, population level data
In Overlage and managements	ce estimates 300 per 1,000 homeless people have schizophrenia.

In Los Angles, prevalence estimates 131 per 1,000 homeless people have schizophrenia.

NeuRA



Homelessness

SCHIZOPHRENIA LIBRARY

Consistency in results	Unable to assess; no measure of consistency is reported.
Precision in results	Unable to assess; no measure of precision is reported.
Directness of results	Direct

Explanation of acronyms

b = correlation coefficient, CI = confidence interval, I^2 = the percentage of the variability in effect estimates that is due to heterogeneity rather than sampling error (chance), N = number of participants, *p* = statistical probability of obtaining that result (*p* < 0.05 generally regarded as significant), Q = Q statistic (chi-square) for the test of heterogeneity, se = standard error, vs. = versus

Homelessness

Explanation of technical terms

- Bias has the potential to affect reviews of both RCT and observational studies. Forms of bias include; reporting bias - selective reporting of results; publication bias - trials that are not formally published tend to show less effect than published trials, further if there are statistically significant differences between groups in a trial, these trial results tend to get published before those of trials without significant differences; language bias - only including English language reports; funding bias - source of funding for the primary research with selective reporting of results within primary studies; outcome variable selection bias; database bias including reports from some databases and not others; citation bias - preferential citation of authors. Trials can also be subject to bias when evaluators are not blind to treatment condition and selection bias of participants if trial samples are small⁸.
- † Different effect measures are reported by different reviews.

Prevalence refers to how many existing cases there are at a particular point in time. Incidence refers to how many new cases there are per population in a specified time period. Incidence is usually reported as the number of new cases per 100,000 people per year. Alternatively some studies present the number of new cases that have accumulated over several years against a person-years denominator. This denominator is the sum of individual units of time that the persons in the population are at risk of becoming a case. It takes into account the size of the underlying population sample and its age structure over the duration of observation.



SCHIZOPHRENIA LIBRARY

Reliability and validity refers to how accurate the instrument is. Sensitivity is the proportion of actual positives that are correctly identified (100% sensitivity = correct identification of all actual positives) and specificity is the proportion of negatives that are correctly identified (100% specificity = not identifying anyone as positive if they are truly not).

Weighted mean difference scores refer to mean differences between treatment and comparison groups after treatment (or occasionally pre to post treatment) and in a randomised trial there is an assumption that both groups are comparable on this measure Standardised mean prior to treatment. differences are divided by the pooled standard deviation (or the standard deviation of one group when groups are homogenous) that allows results from different scales to be combined and compared. Each study's mean difference is then given a weighting depending on the size of the sample and the variability in the data. 0.2 represents a small effect, 0.5 a medium effect, and 0.8 and over represents a large effect⁸.

Odds ratio (OR) or relative risk (RR) refers to the probability of a reduction (< 1) or an increase (> 1) in a particular outcome in a treatment group, or a group exposed to a risk factor, relative to the comparison group. For example, a RR of 0.75 translates to a reduction in risk of an outcome of 25% relative to those not receiving the treatment or not exposed to the risk factor. Conversely, a RR of 1.25 translates to an increased risk of 25% relative to those not receiving treatment or not having been exposed to a risk factor. A RR or OR of 1.00 means there is no difference between groups. A medium effect is considered if RR > 2 or < 0.5 and a large effect if RR > 5 or < 0.2^9 . InOR stands for logarithmic OR where a InOR of 0 shows no difference between groups. Hazard ratios

NeuRA

Homelessness

measure the effect of an explanatory variable on the hazard or risk of an event.

Correlation coefficients (eg, r) indicate the strength of association or relationship between variables. They can provide an indirect indication of prediction, but do not confirm causality due to possible and often unforseen confounding variables. An r of 0.10 represents a weak association, 0.25 a medium association and 0.40 and over represents а strong association. Unstandardised (b) regression coefficients indicate the average change in the dependent variable associated with a 1 unit change in the independent variable. statistically controlling for the other independent Standardised variables. regression coefficients represent the change being in units of standard deviations to allow comparison across different scales.

‡ Inconsistency refers to differing estimates of effect across studies (i.e. heterogeneity or variability in results) that is not explained by subgroup analyses and therefore reduces confidence in the effect estimate. I² is the percentage of the variability in effect estimates that is due to heterogeneity rather than sampling error (chance) - 0% to 40%: heterogeneity might not be important, 30% to 60%: may represent moderate heterogeneity, 50% to 90%: may represent considerable heterogeneity and over this is considerable heterogeneity. I² can be calculated from Q (chi-square) for the test of heterogeneity with the following formula⁸;

$$|^2 = \left(\frac{Q - df}{Q}\right) \times 100\%$$



SCHIZOPHRENIA LIBRARY

- Imprecision refers to wide confidence intervals indicating a lack of confidence in the estimate. effect Based on GRADE recommendations, a result for continuous data (standardised mean differences, not weighted mean differences) is considered imprecise if the upper or lower confidence limit crosses an effect size of 0.5 in either direction, and for binary and correlation data, an effect size of 0.25. GRADE also recommends downgrading the evidence when sample size is smaller than 300 (for binary data) and 400 (for continuous data), although for some topics, these criteria should be relaxed¹⁰.
- Indirectness of comparison occurs when a comparison of intervention A versus B is not available but A was compared with C and B was compared with C that allows indirect comparisons of the magnitude of effect of A В. Indirectness versus of population, comparator and/or outcome can also occur when the available evidence regarding a population, particular intervention. comparator, or outcome is not available and is therefore inferred from available evidence. These inferred treatment effect sizes are of lower quality than those gained from head-tohead comparisons of A and B.

NeuRA

Homelessness



References

- 1. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, PRISMAGroup (2009): Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *British Medical Journal* 151: 264-9.
- 2. GRADEWorkingGroup (2004): Grading quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. *British Medical Journal* 328: 1490.
- 3. Fazel S, Khosla V, Doll H, Geddes J (2008): The prevalence of mental disorders among the homeless in western countries: systematic review and meta-regression analysis. *PLoS Medicine / Public Library of Science* 5: e225.
- 4. Folsom D, Jeste DV (2002): Schizophrenia in homeless persons: a systematic review of the literature. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica* 105: 404-13.
- 5. Saha S, Chant D, Welham J, McGrath J (2005): A systematic review of the prevalence of schizophrenia. *PLoS Medicine* 2: e141.
- 6. Ayano G, Tesfaw G, Shumet S (2019): The prevalence of schizophrenia and other psychotic disorders among homeless people: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Psychiatry* 19: 370.
- 7. Gutwinski S, Schreiter S, Deutscher K, Fazel S (2021): The prevalence of mental disorders among homeless people in high-income countries: An updated systematic review and metaregression analysis. *PLoS Medicine* 18(8): e1003750.
- 8. CochraneCollaboration (2008): Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions. Accessed 24/06/2011.
- 9. Rosenthal JA (1996): Qualitative Descriptors of Strength of Association and Effect Size. *Journal of Social Service Research* 21: 37-59.
- 10. GRADEpro (2008): [Computer program]. Jan Brozek, Andrew Oxman, Holger Schünemann. Version 32 for Windows