

## Respiratory disorders

### Introduction

In general, people with schizophrenia are reported to have increased rates of co-occurring conditions. These may include disorders of the respiratory system, such as chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). This summary table investigates the evidence for this association.

### Method

We have included only systematic reviews (systematic literature search, detailed methodology with inclusion/exclusion criteria) published in full text, in English, from the year 2000 that report results separately for people with a diagnosis of schizophrenia, schizoaffective disorder, schizophreniform disorder or first episode schizophrenia. Reviews were identified by searching the databases MEDLINE, EMBASE, CINAHL, Current Contents, PsycINFO and the Cochrane library. Hand searching reference lists of identified reviews was also conducted. When multiple copies of reviews were found, only the most recent version was included. Reviews with pooled data are given priority for inclusion.

Review reporting assessment was guided by the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) checklist that describes a preferred way to present a meta-analysis<sup>1</sup>. Reviews rated as having less than 50% of items checked have been excluded from the library. The PRISMA flow diagram is a suggested way of providing information about studies included and excluded with reasons for exclusion. Where no flow diagram has been presented by individual reviews, but identified studies have been described in the text, reviews have been checked for this item. Note that early reviews may have been guided by less stringent reporting checklists than the PRISMA, and that some reviews may have been limited by journal guidelines.

Evidence was graded using the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE) Working Group approach where high quality evidence such as that gained from randomised controlled trials (RCTs) may be downgraded to moderate or low if review and study quality is limited, if there is inconsistency in results, indirect comparisons, imprecise or sparse data and high probability of reporting bias. It may also be downgraded if risks associated with the intervention or other matter under review are high. Conversely, low quality evidence such as that gained from observational studies may be upgraded if effect sizes are large, there is a dose dependent response or if results are reasonably consistent, precise and direct with low associated risks (see end of table for an explanation of these terms)<sup>2</sup>. The resulting table represents an objective summary of the available evidence, although the conclusions are solely the opinion of staff of NeuRA (Neuroscience Research Australia).

### Results

We found four systematic reviews that met our inclusion criteria<sup>3-6</sup>.

- Moderate to high quality evidence found reduced cardiorespiratory fitness in people with schizophrenia, which was improved by exercise, particularly regular (min 3 times per week), supervised and high intensity exercise.
- Moderate to high quality evidence found increased rates of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, respiratory problems, and deteriorating lung capacity in people with schizophrenia compared to controls without schizophrenia. High rates of smoking in patients may contribute to these findings.
- Moderate quality evidence found people with schizophrenia have greater mortality risk due to respiratory illness than controls.



**Respiratory disorders**

*Oud M, Mayboom-de Jong B*

**Somatic disease in patients with schizophrenia in general practice: their prevalence and health care**

**BioMed Central Family Practice 2009; 10: 32**

[View review abstract online](#)

<b>Comparison</b>	<b>Prevalence of respiratory disorders in people with schizophrenia vs. controls</b>
<b>Summary of evidence</b>	<b>Moderate quality evidence (large sample, unable to assess consistency or precision, direct) suggests increased rates of respiratory problems, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, and deteriorating lung capacity in people with schizophrenia compared with controls.</b>
<b>Respiratory disease</b>	
<p>3 studies (N = 730,405) report a significant, small effect of increased frequency of respiratory problems, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (22.6%, OR = 1.88) and deteriorating lung capacity in people with schizophrenia compared with controls.</p> <p>The sample showed increased rates of smoking compared with controls (60.5%, OR = 1.82).</p>	
<b>Consistency in results</b>	Unable to assess; no measure of consistency is reported.
<b>Precision in results</b>	Unable to assess; no measure of precision is reported.
<b>Directness of results</b>	Direct

*Sáiz Ruiz J, Bobes García J, Vallejo Ruiloba J, Giner Ubago J, Garcia-Portilla González MP*

**Consensus on the physical health of patients with schizophrenia from the Spanish Societies of Psychiatry and Biological Psychiatry**

**Actas Españolas de psiquiatría 2008; 36(5): 251-264**

[View review abstract online](#)

<b>Comparison</b>	<b>Prevalence of respiratory disorders in people with</b>
-------------------	---

**Respiratory disorders**

	<b>schizophrenia vs. controls.</b>
<b>Summary of evidence</b>	<b>Moderate quality evidence (unclear sample size, unable to assess consistency, precise, direct) suggests people with schizophrenia have increased mortality risk due to respiratory illness compared to the general population.</b>
<b>Mortality</b>	
<i>Both males and females with schizophrenia showed increased risk of mortality due to respiratory disease compared to the general population;</i> Males: SMR = 2.44, 95%CI 1.94 to 3.06 Females: SMR = 2.55, 95%CI 2.14 to 3.04	
<b>Consistency in results</b>	Unable to assess; no measure of consistency is reported.
<b>Precision in results</b>	Precise
<b>Directness of results</b>	Direct

*Vancampfort D, Rosenbaum S, Schuch F, Ward PB, Richards J, Mugisha J, Probst M, Stubbs B*

**Cardiorespiratory Fitness in Severe Mental Illness: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis**

**Sports Medicine 2017; 47: 343-52**

[View review abstract online](#)

<b>Comparison</b>	<b>Cardiorespiratory fitness (CRF) in people with schizophrenia vs. controls.</b> <b>The overall sample also included people with major depressive disorder or bipolar disorder.</b>
<b>Summary of evidence</b>	<b>Moderate to high quality evidence (large sample, some inconsistency, precise, direct) suggests people with schizophrenia have reduced cardiorespiratory fitness compared to people without a severe mental illness. Exercise improved cardiorespiratory fitness, particularly regular (min 3 times per week) and supervised interventions with high intensity.</b>



**Respiratory disorders**

<b>Cardiorespiratory</b>	
<p><i>People with a severe mental illness had significantly lower CRF compared with controls;</i>            9 studies, N = 645, <math>g = -1.01</math>, 95%CI -1.18 to -0.85, <math>p &lt; 0.001</math>, <math>I^2 = 47\%</math></p> <p>There were no differences in effect sizes when diagnostic groups were analysed separately (schizophrenia or bipolar disorder vs. major depressive disorder). First-episode and inpatients had the highest CRF.</p> <p>Exercise improved CRF but did not reduce body mass index. High intensity, supervised, and frequent interventions (at least three times per week) gave the best results.</p>	
<b>Consistency in results</b>	Some inconsistency.
<b>Precision in results</b>	Precise
<b>Directness of results</b>	Direct

<p><i>Zareifopoulos N, Bellou A, Spiropoulou A, Spiropoulos K</i></p> <p><b>Prevalence of Comorbid Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease in Individuals Suffering from Schizophrenia and Bipolar Disorder: A Systematic Review</b></p> <p><b>COPD: Journal of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease 2018; 15: 612-20</b></p> <p><a href="#">View review abstract online</a></p>	
<b>Comparison</b>	<b>Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) in people with schizophrenia vs. controls.</b>
<b>Summary of evidence</b>	<b>Moderate to high quality evidence (large sample, inconsistent, precise, direct) suggests people with schizophrenia have increased COPD compared to controls.</b>
<b>COPD</b>	
<p><i>People with schizophrenia had a significantly higher rate of COPD compared with controls;</i>            4 studies, N = 1,530,642, OR = 1.57, 95%CI 1.44 to 1.72, <math>p &lt; 0.05</math></p> <p>Authors state that further research is required to ascertain the impact of smoking on this relationship.</p>	
<b>Consistency in results</b>	Authors state results are inconsistent.



## Respiratory disorders

<b>Precision in results</b>	Precise
<b>Directness of results</b>	Direct

### Explanation of acronyms

CI = confidence interval, COPD = chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, CRF = cardiorespiratory fitness,  $g$  = Hedges'  $g$  standardised mean difference,  $I^2$  = the percentage of the variability in effect estimates that is due to heterogeneity rather than sampling error (chance),  $N$  = number of participants, OR = odds ratio, SMR = standardised mortality rate

## Respiratory disorders

### Explanation of technical terms

\* Bias has the potential to affect reviews of both RCT and observational studies. Forms of bias include; reporting bias – selective reporting of results; publication bias - trials that are not formally published tend to show less effect than published trials, further if there are statistically significant differences between groups in a trial, these trial results tend to get published before those of trials without significant differences; language bias – only including English language reports; funding bias - source of funding for the primary research with selective reporting of results within primary studies; outcome variable selection bias; database bias - including reports from some databases and not others; citation bias - preferential citation of authors. Trials can also be subject to bias when evaluators are not blind to treatment condition and selection bias of participants if trial samples are small<sup>7</sup>.

† Different effect measures are reported by different reviews.

Prevalence refers to how many existing cases there are at a particular point in time. Incidence refers to how many new cases there are per population in a specified time period. Incidence is usually reported as the number of new cases per 100,000 people per year. Alternatively some studies present the number of new cases that have accumulated over several years against a person-years denominator. This denominator is the sum of individual units of time that the persons in the population are at risk of becoming a case. It takes into account the size of the underlying population sample and its age structure over the duration of observation.

Reliability and validity refers to how accurate the instrument is. Sensitivity is the proportion

of actual positives that are correctly identified (100% sensitivity = correct identification of all actual positives) and specificity is the proportion of negatives that are correctly identified (100% specificity = not identifying anyone as positive if they are truly not).

Weighted mean difference scores refer to mean differences between treatment and comparison groups after treatment (or occasionally pre to post treatment) and in a randomised trial there is an assumption that both groups are comparable on this measure prior to treatment. Standardised mean differences are divided by the pooled standard deviation (or the standard deviation of one group when groups are homogenous) that allows results from different scales to be combined and compared. Each study's mean difference is then given a weighting depending on the size of the sample and the variability in the data. 0.2 represents a small effect, 0.5 a moderate effect, and 0.8 and over represents a large effect<sup>7</sup>.

Odds ratio (OR) or relative risk (RR) refers to the probability of a reduction ( $< 1$ ) or an increase ( $> 1$ ) in a particular outcome in a treatment group, or a group exposed to a risk factor, relative to the comparison group. For example, a RR of 0.75 translates to a reduction in risk of an outcome of 25% relative to those not receiving the treatment or not exposed to the risk factor. Conversely, a RR of 1.25 translates to an increased risk of 25% relative to those not receiving treatment or not having been exposed to a risk factor. A RR or OR of 1.00 means there is no difference between groups. A medium effect is considered if  $RR > 2$  or  $< 0.5$  and a large effect if  $RR > 5$  or  $< 0.2$ <sup>8</sup>. lnOR stands for logarithmic OR where a lnOR of 0 shows no difference between groups. Hazard ratios measure the effect of an explanatory variable on the hazard or risk of an event.

## Respiratory disorders

Correlation coefficients (eg,  $r$ ) indicate the strength of association or relationship between variables. They can provide an indirect indication of prediction, but do not confirm causality due to possible and often unforeseen confounding variables. An  $r$  of 0.10 represents a weak association, 0.25 a medium association and 0.40 and over represents a strong association. Unstandardised ( $b$ ) regression coefficients indicate the average change in the dependent variable associated with a 1 unit change in the independent variable, statistically controlling for the other independent variables. Standardised regression coefficients represent the change being in units of standard deviations to allow comparison across different scales.

‡ Inconsistency refers to differing estimates of effect across studies (i.e. heterogeneity or variability in results) that is not explained by subgroup analyses and therefore reduces confidence in the effect estimate.  $I^2$  is the percentage of the variability in effect estimates that is due to heterogeneity rather than sampling error (chance) - 0% to 40%: heterogeneity might not be important, 30% to 60%: may represent moderate heterogeneity, 50% to 90%: may represent considerable heterogeneity and over this is considerable heterogeneity.  $I^2$  can be calculated from  $Q$  (chi-square) for the test of heterogeneity with the following formula<sup>7</sup>;

$$I^2 = \left( \frac{Q - df}{Q} \right) \times 100\%$$

§ Imprecision refers to wide confidence intervals indicating a lack of confidence in the effect estimate. Based on GRADE recommendations, a result for continuous

data (standardised mean differences, not weighted mean differences) is considered imprecise if the upper or lower confidence limit crosses an effect size of 0.5 in either direction, and for binary and correlation data, an effect size of 0.25. GRADE also recommends downgrading the evidence when sample size is smaller than 300 (for binary data) and 400 (for continuous data), although for some topics, these criteria should be relaxed<sup>9</sup>.

|| Indirectness of comparison occurs when a comparison of intervention A versus B is not available but A was compared with C and B was compared with C that allows indirect comparisons of the magnitude of effect of A versus B. Indirectness of population, comparator and/or outcome can also occur when the available evidence regarding a particular population, intervention, comparator, or outcome is not available and is therefore inferred from available evidence. These inferred treatment effect sizes are of lower quality than those gained from head-to-head comparisons of A and B.



## Respiratory disorders

### References

1. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, PRISMA Group (2009): Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *British Medical Journal* 151: 264-9.
2. GRADE Working Group (2004): Grading quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. *British Medical Journal* 328: 1490.
3. Sáiz Ruiz J, Bobes García J, Vallejo Ruiloba J, Giner Ubago J, Garcia-Portilla González MP (2008): Consensus on physical health of patients with schizophrenia from the Spanish Societies of Psychiatry and Biological Psychiatry. *Actas Españolas de Psiquiatría* 36: 251-64.
4. Oud MJ, Meyboom-De Jong B (2009): Somatic diseases in patients with schizophrenia in general practice: Their prevalence and health care. *BMC Family Practice* 10.
5. Vancampfort D, Rosenbaum S, Schuch F, Ward PB, Richards J, Mugisha J, *et al.* (2017): Cardiorespiratory Fitness in Severe Mental Illness: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Sports Medicine* 47: 343-52.
6. Zareifopoulos N, Bellou A, Spiropoulou A, Spiropoulos K (2018): Prevalence of Comorbid Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease in Individuals Suffering from Schizophrenia and Bipolar Disorder: A Systematic Review. *COPD: Journal of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease* 15: 612-20.
7. Cochrane Collaboration (2008): Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions. Accessed 24/06/2011.
8. Rosenthal JA (1996): Qualitative Descriptors of Strength of Association and Effect Size. *Journal of Social Service Research* 21: 37-59.
9. GRADEpro (2008): [Computer program]. Jan Brozek, Andrew Oxman, Holger Schünemann. *Version 3.2 for Windows*