Neural Ne

SCHIZOPHRENIA LIBRARY

GABA-acting medications

Introduction

A supplementary, or adjunctive, treatment is administered in conjunction with a patient's antipsychotic therapy. Adjunct ongoing medications prescribed to treat side effects of antipsychotic medication may contribute to adherence increasing to antipsychotic medications and reduce the risk of psychotic relapse. GABA (gamma-aminobutyric acid) is a common neurotransmitter in the brain, and GABA-ergic neurons are thought to interact with antipsychotic medications, contributing to side effects such as tardive dyskinesia1. GABAmedications, such as baclofen, progabide, or sodium valproate, may contribute to increasing the activity of GABA neurons, potentially leading to reduced medication side effects.

Method

We have included only systematic reviews (systematic literature search, detailed methodology with inclusion/exclusion criteria) published in full text, in English, from the year 2000 that report results separately for people with diagnosis of schizophrenia. schizoaffective disorder, schizophreniform disorder or first episode schizophrenia. Reviews were identified by searching the databases MEDLINE, EMBASE, CINAHL, Current Contents, PsycINFO and the Cochrane library. Hand searching reference lists of identified reviews was also conducted. When multiple copies of reviews were found, only the most recent version was included. Reviews with pooled data are prioritised for inclusion.

Review reporting assessment was guided by the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) checklist that describes a preferred way to present a meta-analysis². Reviews with less than 50% of items checked have been excluded from the library. The PRISMA flow diagram is a suggested way of providing information about studies included and

excluded with reasons for exclusion. Where no flow diagram has been presented by individual reviews, but identified studies have been described in the text, reviews have been checked for this item. Note that early reviews may have been guided by less stringent reporting checklists than the PRISMA, and that some reviews may have been limited by journal guidelines.

Evidence was graded using the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE) Working Group approach where high quality evidence such as that gained from randomised controlled trials (RCTs) may be downgraded to moderate or low if review and study quality is limited, if there is inconsistency in results, indirect comparisons, imprecise or sparse data and high probability of reporting bias. It may also be downgraded if risks associated with the intervention or other matter under review are high. Conversely, low quality evidence such as that gained from observational studies may be upgraded if effect sizes are large or if there is a dose dependent response. We have also taken into account sample size and whether results are consistent. precise and direct with low associated risks (see end of table for an explanation of these terms)³. The resulting table represents an objective summary of the available evidence, although the conclusions are solely the opinion of staff of NeuRA (Neuroscience Research Australia).

Results

We found one systematic review that met our inclusion criteria⁴.

Moderate quality evidence suggests a small benefit of GABA-acting agents for tardive dyskinesia, with no benefits for mental state or study retention. There may be more sedation and dizziness with GABA-acting agents than with placebo.



GABA-acting medications

SCHIZOPHRENIA LIBRARY

Alabed S, Latifeh Y, Mohammad HA, Rifai A

Gamma-aminobutyric acid agonists for antipsychotic-induced tardive dyskinesia

Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2018; 4: CD000203.

View review abstract online

Comparison	GABA-acting agents (varying doses of gamma-aminobutyric acid, baclofen, progabide or sodium valproate) plus antipsychotic medications vs. placebo plus antipsychotic medications.
Summary of evidence	Moderate quality evidence (small to medium-sized samples, consistent, precise, direct) suggests a small benefit of GABA-acting agents over placebo for tardive dyskinesia, with no benefits for mental state or study retention. There may be more sedation and dizzyness with GABA-acting agents.

Tardive dyskinesia

A significant, small effect of more clinically important improvement with GABA-acting agents; 6 RCTs, N = 258, RR = 0.83, 95%Cl 0.74 to 0.92, p = 0.00047, $l^2 = 0\%$, p = 0.54 Authors report the trials were of low quality.

Mental state

There was no significant difference between groups; 6 RCTs, N = 121, RR = 2.65, 95%Cl 0.71 to 9.86, p = 0.15, l^2 = 0%, p = 0.98

Leaving the study early

There was no significant difference between groups; 6 RCTs, N = 218, RR = 1.47, 95%Cl 0.69 to 3.15, p = 0.32, $l^2 = 40\%$, p = 0.19

Risks	There was more sedation and dizzyness with GABA-acting agents.
Consistency in results [‡]	Consistent
Precision in results§	Precise for tardive dyskinesia only.
Directness of results	Direct

NeuRA GABA-acting medications



GABA-acting medications

Explanation of acronyms

CI = confidence interval, GABA = gamma-amino butyric acid, I^2 = the percentage of the variability in effect estimates that is due to heterogeneity rather than sampling error (chance), N = number of participants, p = statistical probability of obtaining that result (p < 0.05 generally regarded as significant), RR = relative risk, TD = tardive dyskinesia, vs. = versus

Neural Ne

SCHIZOPHRENIA LIBRARY

GABA-acting medications

Explanation of technical terms

Bias has the potential to affect reviews of both RCTs and observational studies. Forms of bias include; reporting bias - selective reporting of results; publication bias - trials that are not formally published tend to show less effect than published trials, further if there are statistically significant differences between groups in a trial, these trial results tend to get published before those of trials without significant differences; language bias - only including English language reports; funding bias - source of funding for the primary research with selective reporting of results within primary studies; outcome variable selection bias; database bias including reports from some databases and not others; citation bias - preferential citation of authors. Trials can also be subject to bias when evaluators are not blind to treatment condition and selection bias of participants if trial samples are small⁵.

† Different effect measures are reported by different reviews.

Prevalence refers to how many existing cases there are at a particular point in time. Incidence refers to how many new cases there are per population in a specified time period. Incidence is usually reported as the number of new cases per 100,000 people per year. Alternatively some studies present the number of new cases that have accumulated over several years against a person-years denominator. This denominator is the sum of individual units of time that the persons in the population are at risk of becoming a case. It takes into account the size of the underlying population sample and its age structure over the duration of observation.

Reliability and validity refers to how accurate the instrument is. Sensitivity is the proportion of actual positives that are correctly identified (100% sensitivity = correct identification of all actual positives) and specificity is the proportion of negatives that are correctly identified (100% specificity = not identifying anyone as positive if they are truly not).

Weighted mean difference scores refer to mean differences between treatment and comparison groups after treatment (or occasionally pre to post treatment) and in a randomised trial there is an assumption that both groups are comparable on this measure Standardised mean prior to treatment. differences are divided by the pooled standard deviation (or the standard deviation of one group when groups are homogenous) which allows results from different scales to be combined and compared. Each study's mean difference is then given a weighting depending on the size of the sample and the variability in the data. Less than 0.4 represents a small effect, around 0.5 a medium effect, and over 0.8 represents a large effect⁵.

Odds ratio (OR) or relative risk (RR) refers to the probability of a reduction (< 1) or an increase (> 1) in a particular outcome in a treatment group, or a group exposed to a risk factor, relative to the comparison group. For example, a RR of 0.75 translates to a reduction in risk of an outcome of 25% relative to those not receiving the treatment or not exposed to the risk factor. Conversely, a RR of 1.25 translates to an increased risk of 25% relative to those not receiving treatment or not having been exposed to a risk factor. A RR or OR of 1.00 means there is no difference between groups. A medium effect is considered if RR > 2 or < 0.5 and a large effect if RR > 5 or < 0.26. InOR stands for logarithmic OR where a InOR of 0 shows no difference between groups. Hazard ratios

Neural Ne

SCHIZOPHRENIA LIBRARY

GABA-acting medications

measure the effect of an explanatory variable on the hazard or risk of an event.

Correlation coefficients (eg, r) indicate the strength of association or relationship between variables. They can provide an indirect indication of prediction, but do not confirm causality due to possible and often unforseen confounding variables. An r of 0.10 represents a weak association, 0.25 a medium association and 0.40 and over represents а strong association. Unstandardised (b) regression coefficients indicate the average change in the dependent variable associated with a 1 unit change in the independent variable, statistically controlling for the other independent Standardised variables. regression coefficients represent the change being in units of standard deviations to comparison across different scales.

‡ Inconsistency refers to differing estimates of effect across studies (i.e. heterogeneity or variability in results) is not explained by subgroup analyses and therefore reduces confidence in the effect estimate. I2 is the percentage of the variability in effect estimates that is due to heterogeneity rather than sampling error (chance) - 0% to 40%: heterogeneity might not be important, 30% to 60%: may represent moderate heterogeneity, 50% to 90%: may represent considerable heterogeneity and over this is considerable heterogeneity. I² can calculated from Q (chi-square) for the test of heterogeneity with the following formula⁵;

$$I^2 = \left(\frac{Q - df}{Q}\right) \times 100\%$$

Imprecision refers to wide confidence intervals indicating a lack of confidence in the estimate. effect Based **GRADE** recommendations, a result for continuous data (standardised mean differences, not weighted mean differences) is considered imprecise if the upper or lower confidence limit crosses an effect size of 0.5 in either direction, and for binary and correlation data, an effect size of 0.25. GRADE also recommends downgrading the evidence when sample size is smaller than 300 (for binary data) and 400 (for continuous data), although for some topics, these criteria should be relaxed⁷.

Indirectness of comparison occurs when a comparison of intervention A versus B is not available but A was compared with C and B was compared with C that allows indirect comparisons of the magnitude of effect of A B. Indirectness versus of population, comparator and/or outcome can also occur when the available evidence regarding a population, particular intervention, comparator, or outcome is not available and is therefore inferred from available evidence. These inferred treatment effect sizes are of lower quality than those gained from head-tohead comparisons of A and B.

Neural Discover. Conquer. Cure.

GABA-acting medications

SCHIZOPHRENIA LIBRARY

References

- 1. Alabed S, Latifeh Y, Mohammad H, Rifai A (2011): Gamma-aminobutyric acid agonists for neuroleptic-induced tardive dyskinesia. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*: CD000203.
- 2. Kontaxakis VP, Ferentinos PP, Havaki-Kontaxaki BJ, Roukas DK (2005): Randomized controlled augmentation trials in clozapine-resistant schizophrenic patients: a critical review. *European Psychiatry: the Journal of the Association of European Psychiatrists* 20: 409-15.
- 3. GRADEWorkingGroup (2004): Grading quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. *British Medical Journal* 328: 1490.
- 4. Alabed S, Latifeh Y, Mohammad HA, Bergman H (2018): Gamma-aminobutyric acid agonists for antipsychotic-induced tardive dyskinesia. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 4: CD000203.
- 5. CochraneCollaboration (2008): Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions. Accessed 24/06/2011.
- 6. Rosenthal JA (1996): Qualitative Descriptors of Strength of Association and Effect Size. *Journal of Social Service Research* 21: 37-59.
- 7. GRADEpro (2008): [Computer program]. Jan Brozek, Andrew Oxman, Holger Schünemann. *Version* 32 for Windows