Visuospatial ability



SCHIZOPHRENIA LIBRARY

Introduction

Visuospatial ability refers to a person's capacity to identify visual and spatial relationships among objects. Visuospatial ability is measured in terms of the ability to imagine objects, to make global shapes by locating small components, or to understand the differences and similarities between objects.

Several tests have been designed to assess visuospatial ability. The Weschler Adult Intelligence Scale (WAIS) block-design subtest requires subjects to use small blocks to recreate a larger block pattern. The WAIS arrangement subtest assesses perceptual skills and involves study participants placing pictures in a logical order. The WAIS Object Assembly subtest assesses speed and accuracy of jigsaw puzzle completion. The WAIS Picture Completion task requires participants to visually scan an image and identify what is missing. The WAIS Matrix Reasoning subtest requires participants to select the missing design in a patterned sequence. The Benton Judgement of Line Orientation Test requires participants to identify the orientation of a line in comparison to a target line; and both the Rey-Osterrieth Complex Figure Test (ROCFT) and the Repeatable Battery for the Assessment of Neuropsychological Status (RBANS) visuospatial/constructional involve subtest, replicating a complex figure from memory.

Method

We have included only systematic reviews with detailed literature search, methodology, and inclusion/exclusion criteria that were published in full text, in English, from the year 2000. Reviews were identified by searching the databases MEDLINE, EMBASE, and PsycINFO. Reviews with pooled data are prioritized for inclusion. Reviews reporting fewer than 50% of items on the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and

Meta-Analyses (PRISMA¹) checklist have been excluded from the library. The evidence was graded guided by the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE) Working Group approach². The resulting table represents an objective summary of the available evidence, although the conclusions are solely the opinion of staff of NeuRA (Neuroscience Research Australia).

Results

We found 15 systematic reviews that met our inclusion criteria³⁻¹⁷.

- Moderate to high quality evidence shows a medium to large effect of poorer visuospatial memory in people with schizophrenia compared to controls. Moderate to high quality evidence suggests a large effect of poorer global visuospatial ability in people with first-episode schizophrenia.
- Moderate to high quality evidence suggests a medium to large effect of poorer perceptual problem solving, including block design and line orientation compared to controls.
- Moderate to high quality evidence suggests no differences in visuospatial processing between patients taking first generation or second-generation antipsychotics. Patients taking olanzapine show improvement after treatment, and patients receiving clozapine or risperidone show no improvement.
- Moderate to low quality evidence suggests a medium to large association between impaired understanding, appreciation, reasoning and lower levels of occupational activity and poorer visuospatial ability.
- Moderate to high quality evidence found a small to medium-sized effect of poorer visuospatial ability in people at clinical highrisk for psychosis compared to controls. High quality evidence suggests people at clinical high risk are more impaired on

NeuRA Discover. Conquer. Cure.

SCHIZOPHRENIA LIBRARY

Visuospatial ability

visuospatial working memory than those at familial high-risk of psychosis.

 Moderate to low quality evidence suggests patients using cannabis have a small to medium-sized effect of better visuospatial ability compared to patients who do not use cannabis. There were no differences between tobacco smokers vs. non-smokers.

NeuRA Discover. Conquer. Cure.

Visuospatial ability

SCHIZOPHRENIA LIBRARY

Bora E, Lin A, Wood SJ, Yung AR, McGorry PD, Pantelis C

Cognitive deficits in youth with familial and clinical high risk to psychosis: A systematic review and meta-analysis

Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica 2014; 130(1): 1-15

View review abstract online

Comparison	Cognitive functioning in people at clinical high risk (UHR) and familial high risk (FHR) for psychosis.
Summary of evidence	High quality evidence (medium to large samples, consistent, precise, direct) suggests people at clinical high risk of psychosis were more impaired on visuospatial working memory than people at familial risk of psychosis.

Visuospatial working memory

Significant, small to medium size effect of poor visuospatial working memory in UHR and FHR groups compared with controls, with the UHR group showing the greatest deficit;

UHR: 9 studies, N = 802, d = 0.71, 95%CI 0.39 to 1.04, p < 0.001, I² = 0.18%, Q-test p < 0.001 FHR: 4 studies, N = 426, d = 0.35, 95%CI 0.01 to 0.71, p = 0.04, I² = 0.09%, Q-test p = 0.02

 $Q_B = 4.6, p = 0.03$

Authors report no publication bias.

Consistency [‡]	Consistent
Precision [§]	Precise
Directness	Direct

Catalan A, Salazar De Pablo G, Aymerich C, Damiani S, Sordi V, Radua J, Oliver D, McGuire P, Giuliano AJ, Stone WS, Fusar-Poli P

Neurocognitive Functioning in Individuals at Clinical High Risk for Psychosis: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis

JAMA Psychiatry 2021; 78(8): 859-67

NeuRA Discover. Conquer. Cure.

Visuospatial ability



View review abstract online			
Comparison	Visuospatial ability in individuals at clinical high-risk of psychosis vs. controls.		
Summary of evidence	Moderate to high quality evidence (large samples, inconsistent, precise, direct) shows a small to medium-sized effect of poorer visuospatial ability in people at clinical high-risk for psychosis compared to controls.		
	Visuospatial ability		
A small to medium-sized e	effect showed people at clinical high-risk of psychosis performed more poorly than controls on visuospatial tasks:		
5 studies, N = 1,636, g = -0.32, 95%CI -0.44 to -0.20, p < 0.001			
(Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale/Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children Block Design)			
Consistency	Authors report moderate to high heterogeneity		
Precision	Precise		
Directness	Direct		

Christensen T

The influence of neurocognitive dysfunctions on work capacity in schizophrenia patients: a systematic review of the literature

International Journal of Psychiatry in Clinical Practice 2007; 11(2): 89-101

View review abstract online

Comparison	Association between work capacity and cognitive performance in people with schizophrenia.
	Note: work capacity is the ability to obtain and maintain competitive work and work behaviours and skills.
Summary of evidence	Moderate to low quality evidence (small sample, direct, unable to assess consistency or precision) finds lower occupational activity and functioning are associated with poor visuospatial ability.



Visuospatial ability

SCHIZOPHRENIA LIBRARY

Visuospatial ability	
2 studies (N = 128) reported that poor <i>visuospatial processing/ ability, visual recall and visual scanning</i> was associated with worse occupational activity and functioning.	
Consistency	Unable to assess; no measure of consistency is reported.
Precision	Unable to assess; no measure of precision is reported.
Directness	Direct

Dickinson D, Ramsey ME, Gold JM

Overlooking the Obvious: A meta-analytic comparison of digit symbol coding tasks and other cognitive measures in schizophrenia

Archives of General Psychiatry 2007; 64: 532-542

View review abstract online

Comparison	Perceptual problem solving in people with schizophrenia vs. controls.
Summary of evidence	Moderate to high quality (medium to large samples, direct, unable to assess consistency, precise) suggests a medium to large effect size of poorer performance on perceptual problemsolving tasks, including block design, and line orientation.

Perceptual problem solving

Large effect size suggests people with schizophrenia showed poorer performance on the block design task compared with controls;

10 studies, N = 1,300, g = -0.84, 95%Cl -1.06 to -0.61, p < 0.05

Medium effect size suggests people with schizophrenia showed poorer performance on a line orientation task compared with controls;

5 studies, N = 624, q = -0.62, 95%CI -0.94 to -0.30, p < 0.05

Consistency	Unable to assess; no measure of precision is reported.
Precision	Precise

Neural Ne

Visuospatial ability

SCHIZOPHRENIA LIBRARY

Directness	Direct
------------	--------

Forbes NF, Carrick LA, McIntosh AM, Lawrie SM

Working memory in schizophrenia: a meta-analysis

Psychological Medicine 2009; 39: 889-905

View review abstract online

Comparison	Working memory performance in people with schizophrenia vs. controls.
Summary of evidence	Moderate to high quality evidence (unclear sample size, direct, mostly consistent and precise) suggests a medium to large effect of poorer visuospatial working memory in people with schizophrenia.

Visuospatial memory

Significant medium effect size of poorer performance on the following visuospatial memory tests in people with schizophrenia compared to controls;

Visual paired associate learning test: 6 studies, d = -0.91, 95%CI -1.24 to -0.57, p < 0.001, $I^2 = 51.5$, p = 0.067

Benton visual retention test: 9 studies, d = -1.29, 95%CI -1.73 to -0.85, p < 0.001, $l^2 = 83$, p < 0.001Complex figure reproduction (errors): 3 studies, d = 0.95, 95%CI 0.49 to 1.41, p < 0.001, $l^2 = 18.1$, p = 0.295

Tests of immediate facial recognition: 15 studies, d = -0.82, 95%Cl -1.02 to -0.61, p < 0.001, $l^2 = 44.1$, p = 0.034

Tests of pattern recognition: 9 studies, d = -0.84, 95%CI -1.04 to -0.64, p < 0.001, $I^2 = 42.5$, p = 0.85Spatial delayed response task (error distance): 3 studies, d = 0.85, 95%CI 0.5 to 1.19, p < 0.001, $I^2 = 0$, p = 0.955

Test of spatial recognition: 5 studies, d = -0.76, 95%Cl -0.96 to -0.56, p < 0.001, l² = 0, p = 0.41 Spatial span backwards: 6 studies, d = -0.99, 95%Cl -1.27 to -0.72, p < 0.001, l² = 27.6, p <= 0.227 Spatial span forward: 19 studies, d = -0.94, 95%Cl -1.08 to -0.79, p < 0.001, l² = 39.1, p = 0.042 Immediate visual recall tests: 33 studies, d = -0.87, 95%Cl -1.01 to -0.72, p < 0.001, l² = 63.2, p < 0.001

Visuospatial span - forwards and backwards: 3 studies, d = -0.51, 95%CI -0.88 to -0.16, p < 0.001,



Visuospatial ability

SCHIZOPHRENIA LIBRARY

 $l^2 = 53.4$, p = 0.117

Meta-regression analysis suggests a significant association between longer duration of illness and poorer performance on visual paired associate learning test (b = -0.15, p = 0.017) and immediate visual recall test (b = -0.062p = 0.005).

Consistency	Inconsistent for all except visual paired associate learning test
Precision	Precise
Directness	Direct

Jiang WL, Zhang X, Cai DB, Sun JW, Yin F, Ren PC, Zhao M, Wu HW, Xiang YQ, Liang WN, Zheng W

Use of the RBANS to Evaluate Cognition in Patients with Schizophrenia and Metabolic Syndrome: a Meta-Analysis of Case-Control Studies.

Psychiatric Quarterly 2021; doi: 10.1007/s11126-021-09889-9

View review abstract online

Comparison	Visuospatial ability in people with schizophrenia and metabolic syndrome vs. people with schizophrenia without metabolic syndrome.	
Summary of evidence	High quality evidence (large sample, consistent, precise, direct) finds no differences between groups.	
Visuospatial ability		
	No significant differences between groups;	
5 studies, N =	= 992, SMD = 0.04, 95%CI -0.09 to 0.17, $p = 0.56$, $l^2 = 6\%$	
Consistency	Consistent	
Precision	Precise	
Directness	Direct	

NeuRA Visuospatial ability

March 2022

Neural Ne

Visuospatial ability



Mesholam-Gately R, Giuliano A, Goff K, Faraone S, Seidman L

Neurocognition in first-episode schizophrenia: a meta analytic review

Neuropsychology 2009; 23(3): 315-335

View review abstract online

Comparison	Visuospatial ability in people with first-episode schizophrenia vs. controls.
	Note: participants defined as 'first-episode' had either a first presentation of psychosis, initial psychiatric hospitalisation, or a minimal duration of illness/treatment.
Summary of evidence	Moderate to high quality evidence (medium to large samples, direct, inconsistent, precise) suggests a large effect of poorer global visuospatial ability in people with first-episode schizophrenia compared with controls, including impaired task-specific performance on the WAIS block design and ROCFT Copy task. High quality evidence (consistent) supported this effect in WAIS picture arrangement, object assembly, picture completion and Benton test.

Visuospatial abilities

Large effect sizes suggest people with first-episode schizophrenia showed significantly poorer visuospatial ability compared with controls, including in global measures as well as in specific subtests:

Global Assessment: 12 studies, N = 1,685 d = -0.88, 95%CI -1.01 to -0.75, p < 0.001, Q_w = 64.79, p < 0.001

Small effect size associated with a higher proportion of males, p = 0.05

WAIS-R Picture Arrangement: 2 studies, N = 529, d = -1.36, 95%CI -1.57 to -1.14, p < 0.001, Q_w = 0.05, p = 0.83

WAIS Block Design: 7 studies, N = 1,009, d = -0.90, 95%CI -1.14 to -0.66, p < 0.001, Q_w = 14.12, p = 0.03

WAIS-R Object Assembly: 3 studies, N = 697, d = -0.90, 95%CI -1.17 to -0.63, p < 0.001, Q_w = 3.19, p = 0.14

WAIS-R Picture Completion: 2 studies, N = 709, d = -0.86, 95%CI -1.04 to -0.69, p < 0.001, Q_w = 1.62, p = 0.45

Neural Ne

Visuospatial ability

SCHIZOPHRENIA LIBRARY

Benton Judgement of Line Orientation: 3 studies, N = 292, d = -0.83, 95%CI -1.08 to -0.59, p < 0.001, Q_w = 0.39, p = 0.82	
ROCFT Copy: 5 studies, N = 902, d = -0.61, 95%CI -0.91 to -0.31, p < 0.001, Q_w = 12.44, p = 0.01	
Consistency	Consistent for all measures except global visuospatial ability, WAIS Block Design and ROCFT Copy.
Precision	Precise
Directness	Direct

Nieto R, Castellanos F

A Meta-Analysis of Neuropsychological Functioning in Patients with Early Onset Schizophrenia and Paediatric Bipolar Disorder

Journal of Clinical Child & Adolescent Psychology 2012; 40:2, 266-280

View review abstract online

Comparison	Cognitive performance in patients with early onset schizophrenia (EOS: mean age 15.8 years) and in paediatric bipolar disorder (PBD: mean age 13.6 years) vs. controls.
Summary of evidence	Moderate to high quality evidence (medium-sized samples, some inconsistency, precise, direct) suggests a large effect of poor visuospatial ability in EOS vs. controls.
	High quality evidence (small to medium-sized sample, consistent, precise, direct) suggests a large effect of poor visuospatial ability in PBD vs. controls.

Visuospatial ability

Large effect in EOS and a medium effect in PBD of poorer visuospatial ability vs. controls;

EOS: 7 studies, N = 540, g = -0.96, 95%CI -1.28 to -0.64, p < 0.005, Q = 14.69, p = 0.02

PBD: 3 studies, N = 234, g = -0.44, 95%CI -0.79 to -0.09, p = 0.02, Q = 1.56 p = 0.46

Visuospatial ability was significantly lower in EOS vs. controls than PBD vs. controls (p < 0.001).

Moderator analyses revealed significantly smaller effect sizes in studies with a higher percentage of males in both diagnostic groups.

Neural Ne

Visuospatial ability

SCHIZOPHRENIA LIBRARY

Precision	Precise
Directness	Direct

Palmer BW, Savla GN

The association of specific neuropsychological deficits with capacity to consent to research or treatment

Journal of the International Neuropsychological Society 2007; 13: 1047-1059

View review abstract online

Comparison	Association between visuospatial ability and capacity to consent to treatment and research in people with schizophrenia spectrum disorders, in terms of their <i>understanding</i> of the information; <i>appreciation</i> of the context; and <i>reasoning</i> of the consequences of their decision.
Summary of evidence	Moderate to low quality evidence (small samples, unable to assess precision or consistency, direct) suggests medium to large effects of impaired understanding, appreciation and reasoning being associated with poorer visuospatial ability in people with schizophrenia.

Capacity to consent (understanding, appreciation and reasoning) and visuospatial ability

- 1 study (N = 25) reported medium associations between understanding and RBANS and Matrix reasoning (r = 0.44 to 0.71, p < 0.05).
 - 1 study (N = 108) reported a medium association between understanding and perceptual organisation (r = 0.31, p < 0.05).
- 1 study (N = 25) reported a medium association between appreciation and Matrix reasoning (r = 0.64, p < 0.05).
 - 2 studies (N = 55) reported a medium association between appreciation and RBANS visuospatial/constructional (r = 0.24-0.54, p < 0.05).
- 1 study (N = 25) reported a medium association between reasoning and Matrix reasoning (r = 0.45, p < 0.05).
- 1 study (N = 108) reported a medium association between reasoning and perceptual organisation (r = 0.47 p < 0.01).

Consistency	Unable to assess; no measure of consistency is reported.
-------------	--



Visuospatial ability

CCL	וסחלו		LIBRARY
	IZUFI	IRCINIA	LIDRARI

Precision	Unable to assess; no measure of precision is reported.
Directness	Direct

Rabin RA, Zakzanis KK, George TP

The effects of cannabis use on neurocognition in schizophrenia: a metaanalysis

Schizophrenia Research 2011; 128: 111-116

View review abstract online

Comparison	Relationship between current cannabis use and cognitive ability in people with schizophrenia.
Summary of evidence	Moderate to low quality evidence (unable to assess consistency or precision, direct) suggests patients using cannabis have a small to medium-sized effect of better visuospatial ability compared to patients who do not use cannabis.

Visuospatial ability

A significant, small to medium-sized effect of better visuospatial ability in patients with cannabis use;

3 studies, d = 0.33, SD = 0.27, p < 0.05

Consistency	Unable to assess; no measure of consistency is reported.
Precision	Unable to assess; no measure of precision is reported.
Directness	Direct

Rajji TK, Mulsant BH

Nature and course of cognitive function in late-life schizophrenia: a systematic review

Schizophrenia Research 2008; 102: 122-140

Neural Ne

Visuospatial ability



View review abstract online	2
Comparison	Visuospatial ability in people with schizophrenia aged over 50 years (late-life schizophrenia, LLS).
Summary of evidence	Low to moderate quality evidence (large samples, direct, unable to assess consistency or precision) suggests people with latelife schizophrenia may have impaired visuospatial ability.
	Visuospatial ability

Visuospatial ability was consistently found to be impaired in ten studies in LLS.

One study (N = 181) reported LLS were impaired in composite measures of visuospatial ability; three studies (N = 445) reported LLS impairments in visuospatial tasks combined with information processing tasks, and six further studies (N = 951) reported impairments in individual tests of visuospatial ability.

These deficits were consistent across hospitalised patients, ambulatory subjects and mixed subject groups, but may be more prevalent in late onset schizophrenia. One study (N = 75) additionally reported no difference in visuospatial ability in hospitalised patients compared with ambulatory patients, after controlling for illness severity and medication.

Consistency	Unable to assess; no measure of consistency is reported.
Precision	Unable to assess; no measure of precision is reported.
Directness	Direct

Rajji TK, Ismail Z, Mulsant BH

Age at onset and cognition in schizophrenia: meta-analysis

The British Journal of Psychiatry 2009; 195: 286-293

View review abstract online

Comparison	Visuospatial performance in people with schizophrenia with different age of onset (first-episode schizophrenia, youth-onset schizophrenia and late-onset schizophrenia) vs. controls.
	Note: maximum age for youth-onset was 19 years, minimum age for late-onset was 40 years, and people with any other age at onset were classified as first-episode schizophrenia.
Summary of evidence	Low to moderate quality evidence (direct, unable to assess

NeuRA Discover. Conquer. Cure.

Visuospatial ability

SCHIZOPHRENIA LIBRARY

consistency or precision, large sample) suggests poorer performance in visuospatial construction in people with first-episode, youth-onset and late-onset schizophrenia compared with controls
with controls.

Visuospatial construction

N = 4057 first-episode schizophrenia, 692 youth-onset schizophrenia, 261 late-onset schizophrenia.

All three groups showed considerable visuospatial impairment, with significant between group variability;

First-episode schizophrenia: 34 studies, d = 0.83, SE 0.03, p < 0.05Youth-onset schizophrenia: 10 studies, d = 0.98, SE 0.08, p < 0.05Late-onset schizophrenia: 3 studies, d = 1.41, SE 0.18, p < 0.05

 $Q_B = 12.97, p < 0.01$

Consistency	Unable to assess; no measure of consistency is reported.
Precision	Unable to assess; no measure of precision is reported.
Directness	Direct

Szöke A, Tranfafir A, Dunpont ME, Méary A, Schürhoff F

Longitudinal studies of cognition in schizophrenia: meta-analysis

The British Journal of Psychiatry 2008; 192: 248-257

View review abstract online

Comparison	Visuospatial ability in people with schizophrenia tested on two separate occasions more than 1 month apart.
Summary of evidence	Moderate to high quality evidence (precise, direct, unable to assess consistency, large samples) suggests that people with schizophrenia may show improved performance on the WAIS block design task, but not on the ROCFT.
Visuospatial	

Neural Ne

Visuospatial ability

SCHIZOPHRENIA LIBRARY

Significant small effect of improved performance on the WAIS block design test but not the ROCFT at retest compared with baseline;

WAIS block design: 9 studies, N = 368, g = 0.22, 95%CI 0.08 to 0.37, p < 0.05

ROCFT: 5 studies, N = 157, g = 0.09, 95%CI -0.14 to 0.31, p > 0.05

Consistency	Unable to assess; no measure of consistency is reported.
Precision	Precise
Directness	Direct

Wang YY, Wang S, Zheng W, Zhong B-L, Ng CH, Ungvari GS, Wang CX, Xiang YT. Li XH

Cognitive functions in smoking and non-smoking patients with schizophrenia: A systematic review and meta-analysis of comparative studies

Psychiatry Research 2019; 272: 155-63

View review abstract online

Comparison	Visuospatial ability in people with schizophrenia who smoke vs. people with schizophrenia who don't smoke.
Summary of evidence	Moderate to high quality evidence (large sample, inconsistent, precise, direct) suggests no differences in visuospatial ability.

Visuospatial ability

Repeatable Battery for Neuropsychological Status (RBANS) test

No significant difference between groups;

2 studies, N = 739, SMD = -0.07, 95%CI -0.52 to 0.36, p = 0.77, $I^2 = 84\%$

Consistency	Inconsistent
Precision	Precise
Directness	Direct

Neural Ne

Visuospatial ability

SCHIZOPHRENIA LIBRARY

Woodward ND, Purdon SE, Meltzer HY, Zald DH

A meta-analysis of neuropsychological change to clozapine, olanzapine, quetiapine, and risperidone in schizophrenia

International Journal of Neuropsychopharmacology 2005; 8: 457-472

View review abstract online

Comparison	Visuospatial processing in people with schizophrenia receiving second generation antipsychotics (clozapine, olanzapine, risperidone and quetiapine).
Summary of evidence	Moderate to high quality evidence (small to medium-sized sample, consistent, precise, direct) shows no differences in visuospatial processing between patients receiving first or second-generation antipsychotics.
	Moderate quality evidence (small samples, unable to assess precision) suggests patients receiving olanzapine show improvement pre- to post-treatment, however patients receiving clozapine or risperidone show no improvement. Low quality evidence (very small sample) is unable to determine the effect of quetiapine on visuospatial processing.

Visuospatial processing

No difference in attention was reported between patients receiving second generation antipsychotics compared with patients receiving first generation antipsychotics;

10 studies, N= 253, g = 0.00, 95%Cl - 0.18 to 0.02, p = 0.988, Q-test p > 0.05

Post-treatment, patients receiving olanzapine showed improved performance;

Olanzapine: 5 studies, N = 144, g = 0.50, (Cl not reported), p > 0.006, Q-test p > 0.05

Clozapine, risperidone or quetiapine showed no significant improvement post medication;

Clozapine: 9 studies, N = 179, g = 0.20, (CI not reported), p > 0.05, Q-test p > 0.05 Risperidone: 3 studies, N = 65, g = 0.39, (CI not reported), p > 0.05, Q-test p > 0.05

Quetiapine: 1 study, N = 11, g = 0.56, (CI not reported), p > 0.05, Q-test p > 0.05

Consistency	Consistent
Precision	Precise for first vs. second generation antipsychotics.
Directness	Direct

Neura Discover. Conquer. Cure.

SCHIZOPHRENIA LIBRARY

Visuospatial ability

Explanation of acronyms

CI = confidence interval, d = Cohen's d and g = Hedges' g = standardised mean differences, ES = effect size, I² = the percentage of the variability in effect estimates that is due to heterogeneity rather than sampling error (chance), LLS = late life schizophrenia, N = number of participants, p = statistical probability of obtaining that result (p < 0.05 generally regarded as significant), Q = Q statistic for the test of heterogeneity, Q_B = test for between group differences (heterogeneity between groups of studies for an outcome of interest), RBANS = Repeatable Battery for the Assessment of Neuropsychological Status, RCT = randomised controlled trail, ROCFT = Rey-Osterrieth Complex Figure Test, SE = standard error, SMD = standardised mean difference, vs = versus, WAIS-R = Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale (Revised)

Visuospatial ability

Neural Ne

SCHIZOPHRENIA LIBRARY

Explanation of technical terms

Bias has the potential to affect reviews of both RCT and observational studies. Forms of bias include; reporting bias - selective reporting of results; publication bias - trials that are not formally published tend to show less effect than published trials, further if there are statistically significant differences between groups in a trial, these trial results tend to get published before those of trials without significant differences; language bias - only including English language reports; funding bias - source of funding for the primary research with selective reporting of results within primary studies; outcome variable selection bias; database bias including reports from some databases and not others; citation bias - preferential citation of authors. Trials can also be subject to bias when evaluators are not blind to treatment condition and selection bias of participants if trial samples are small¹⁸.

† Different effect measures are reported by different reviews.

Prevalence refers to how many existing cases there are at a particular point in time. Incidence refers to how many new cases there are per population in a specified time period. Incidence is usually reported as the number of new cases per 100,000 people per year. Alternatively some studies present the number of new cases that have accumulated over several years against a person-years denominator. This denominator is the sum of individual units of time that the persons in the population are at risk of becoming a case. It takes into account the size of the underlying population sample and its age structure over the duration of observation.

Reliability and validity refers to how accurate the instrument is. Sensitivity is the proportion of actual positives that are correctly identified (100% sensitivity = correct identification of all actual positives) and specificity is the proportion of negatives that are correctly identified (100% specificity = not identifying anyone as positive if they are truly not).

Weighted mean difference scores refer to mean differences between treatment and comparison groups after treatment (or occasionally pre to post treatment) and in a randomised trial there is an assumption that both groups are comparable on this measure prior to treatment. Standardised mean differences are divided by the pooled standard deviation (or the standard deviation of one group when groups are homogenous) that allows results from different scales to be combined and compared. Each study's mean difference is then given a weighting depending on the size of the sample and the variability in the data. Less than 0.4 represents a small effect, around 0.5 a medium effect, and over 0.8 represents a large effect¹⁸.

Odds ratio (OR) or relative risk (RR) refers to the probability of a reduction (< 1) or an increase (> 1) in a particular outcome in a treatment group, or a group exposed to a risk factor, relative to the comparison group. For example, a RR of 0.75 translates to a reduction in risk of an outcome of 25% relative to those not receiving the treatment or not exposed to the risk factor. Conversely, a RR of 1.25 translates to an increased risk of 25% relative to those not receiving treatment or not having been exposed to a risk factor. A RR or OR of 1.00 means there is no difference between groups. A medium effect is considered if RR > 2 or < 0.5 and a large effect if RR > 5 or < 0.2¹⁹. InOR stands for logarithmic OR where a InOR of 0 shows no difference between groups. Hazard ratios

Visuospatial ability



SCHIZOPHRENIA LIBRARY

measure the effect of an explanatory variable on the hazard or risk of an event.

Correlation coefficients (eg, r) indicate the strength of association or relationship between variables. They can provide an indirect indication of prediction, but do not confirm causality due to possible and often unforseen confounding variables. An r of 0.10 represents a weak association, 0.25 a medium association and 0.40 and over represents association. strong а Unstandardised (b) regression coefficients indicate the average change in the dependent variable associated with a 1 unit change in variable, independent statistically controlling for the other independent variables. Standardised regression coefficients represent the change being in of standard deviations to comparison across different scales.

‡ Inconsistency refers to differing estimates of effect across studies (i.e. heterogeneity or variability in results) that is not explained by subgroup analyses and therefore reduces confidence in the effect estimate. I2 is the percentage of the variability in effect estimates that is due to heterogeneity rather than sampling error (chance) - 0% to 40%: heterogeneity might not be important, 30% to 60%: may represent moderate heterogeneity, 50% to 90%: may represent considerable heterogeneity and over this is heterogeneity. I² can considerable calculated from Q (chi-square) for the test of heterogeneity with the following formula¹⁸;

$$I^2 = \left(\frac{Q - df}{Q}\right) \times 100\%$$

Imprecision refers to wide confidence intervals indicating a lack of confidence in the effect estimate. Based on **GRADE** recommendations, a result for continuous data (standardised mean differences, not weighted mean differences) is considered imprecise if the upper or lower confidence limit crosses an effect size of 0.5 in either direction, and for binary and correlation data, an effect size of 0.25. GRADE recommends downgrading the evidence when sample size is smaller than 300 (for binary data) and 400 (for continuous data), although for some topics, these criteria should be relaxed.20

Indirectness of comparison occurs when a comparison of intervention A versus B is not available but A was compared with C and B was compared with C that allows indirect comparisons of the magnitude of effect of A B. Indirectness of population, comparator and/or outcome can also occur when the available evidence regarding a population, comparator, or outcome is not available and is therefore inferred from available evidence. These inferred treatment effect sizes are of lower quality than those gained from head-tohead comparisons of A and B.

Neural Ne

Visuospatial ability

SCHIZOPHRENIA LIBRARY

References

- 1. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, PRISMAGroup (2009): Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *British Medical Journal* 151: 264-9.
- 2. GRADEWorkingGroup (2004): Grading quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. *British Medical Journal* 328: 1490.
- 3. Mesholam-Gately RI, Giuliano AJ, Goff KP, Faraone SV, Seidman LJ (2009): Neurocognition in first-episode schizophrenia: a meta-analytic review. *Neuropsychology* 23: 315-36.
- 4. Rajji TK, Ismail Z, Mulsant BH (2009): Age at onset and cognition in schizophrenia: meta-analysis. *British Journal of Psychiatry* 195: 286-93.
- 5. Rajji TK, Mulsant BH (2008): Nature and course of cognitive function in late-life schizophrenia: a systematic review. *Schizophrenia Research* 102: 122-40.
- 6. Szoke A, Trandafir A, Dupont ME, Meary A, Schurhoff F, Leboyer M (2008): Longitudinal studies of cognition in schizophrenia: meta-analysis. *British Journal of Psychiatry* 192: 248-57.
- 7. Palmer BW, Savla GN (2007): The association of specific neuropsychological deficits with capacity to consent to research or treatment. *Journal of the International Neuropsychological Society* 13: 1047-59.
- 8. Christensen TO (2007): The influence of neurocognitive dysfunctions on work capacity in schizophrenia patients: A systematic review of the literature. *International Journal of Psychiatry in Clinical Practice* 11: 89-101.
- 9. Woodward ND, Purdon SE, Meltzer HY, Zald DH (2005): A meta-analysis of neuropsychological change to clozapine, olanzapine, quetiapine, and risperidone in schizophrenia. *International Journal of Neuropsychopharmacology* 8: 457-72.
- 10. Dickinson D, Ramsey ME, Gold JM (2007): Overlooking the obvious: a meta-analytic comparison of digit symbol coding tasks and other cognitive measures in schizophrenia. *Archives of General Psychiatry* 64: 532-42.
- 11. Forbes NF, Carrick LA, McIntosh AM, Lawrie SM (2009): Working memory in schizophrenia: a meta-analysis. *Psychological Medicine* 39: 889-905.
- 12. Nieto RG, Xavier Castellanos F (2012): A meta-analysis of neuropsychological functioning in patients with early onset schizophrenia and pediatric bipolar disorder. *Journal of Clinical Child and Adolescent Psychology* 40: 266-80.
- 13. Bora E, Lin A, Wood SJ, Yung AR, McGorry PD, Pantelis C (2014): Cognitive deficits in youth with familial and clinical high risk to psychosis: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica* 130: 1-15.
- 14. Rabin RA, Zakzanis KK, George TP (2011): The effects of cannabis use on neurocognition in schizophrenia: a meta-analysis. *Schizophrenia Research* 128: 111-6.
- 15. Wang Y-Y, Wang S, Zheng W, Zhong B-L, Ng CH, Ungvari GS, *et al.* (2019): Cognitive functions in smoking and non-smoking patients with schizophrenia: A systematic review and meta-analysis of comparative studies. *Psychiatry Research* 272: 155-63.
- 16. Jiang WL, Zhang X, Cai DB, Sun JW, Yin F, Ren PC, *et al.* (2021): Use of the RBANS to Evaluate Cognition in Patients with Schizophrenia and Metabolic Syndrome: a Meta-Analysis of Case-Control Studies. *Psychiatric Quarterly*.
- 17. Catalan A, Salazar De Pablo G, Aymerich C, Damiani S, Sordi V, Radua J, et al. (2021): Neurocognitive Functioning in Individuals at Clinical High Risk for Psychosis: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *JAMA Psychiatry* 78(8): 859-67.
- 18. CochraneCollaboration (2008): Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions. Accessed 24/06/2011.
- 19. Rosenthal JA (1996): Qualitative Descriptors of Strength of Association and Effect Size. *Journal of Social Service Research* 21: 37-59.
- 20. GRADEpro (2008): [Computer program]. Jan Brozek, Andrew Oxman, Holger Schünemann. *Version* 32 for Windows

Visuospatial ability

