Age at illness onset



SCHIZOPHRENIA LIBRARY

Introduction

Differences are observed in the age at onset of psychotic symptoms, which may be influenced by genetic or environmental risk factors, or sex. For example, although schizophrenia typically has an onset during late adolescence or early adulthood, research has shown that males generally display a younger age at onset than females. Understanding the factors that impact on age at the onset of symptoms could lead to better understanding of the risk factors for the disorder and earlier and improved intervention strategies for patients.

Method

We have included only systematic reviews with detailed literature search, methodology, and inclusion/exclusion criteria that were published in full text, in English, from the year 2000. Reviews were identified by searching the databases MEDLINE, EMBASE, PsycINFO. Reviews with pooled data are prioritized for inclusion. Reviews reporting fewer than 50% of items on the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA1) checklist have been excluded from the library. The evidence was graded guided by the Grading Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE) Working Group approach². The resulting table represents an objective summary of the available evidence, although the conclusions are solely the opinion of staff of NeuRA (Neuroscience Research Australia).

Results

We found eight reviews that met inclusion criteria³⁻¹⁰.

 Moderate to high quality evidence suggests the median age at onset of schizophrenia is around 25 years old.

- Moderate to high quality evidence suggests the incidence (new cases) of schizophrenia is higher in males up until 40 years of age, then higher in females after 50 years of age.
- Moderate to high quality evidence finds a small effect of any lifetime substance use being associated with an earlier age of onset of psychosis. The effect was medium sized for cannabis use, and there was no effect of tobacco use.
- Moderate to high quality evidence suggests a small effect of an earlier age at onset in people with a family history of psychosis than in people without a family history of psychosis.
- Moderate quality evidence finds small associations between younger age at onset and more hospitalisations, more negative but not positive symptoms, more relapses, poorer overall functioning, and poorer overall clinical outcomes (in males only).
- Moderate to low quality evidence suggests there were more males than females in firstepisode psychosis samples, and a younger age at first contact with services in males compared to females in Western countries (small effects).

Neural Ne

Age at illness onset

SCHIZOPHRENIA LIBRARY

Cascio, MT, Cella, M, Preti, A, Meneghelli, A, Cocchi, A

Gender and duration of untreated psychosis: A systematic review and meta-analysis

Early Intervention in Psychiatry 2012; 6(2): 115-127

View review abstract online

Comparison	Sex differences in duration of untreated psychosis (DUP) and age at first contact with treatment.
Summary of evidence	Moderate to low quality evidence (unclear sample size, some imprecision, inconsistent, direct) suggests more males in first-episode psychosis samples, and a younger age at first contact with services in Western countries (small effects).

DUP and age at first episode

Small effects shows males had a younger age at first contact with a mental health professional, but only in studies using 'any definition' of DUP, and only in samples from Western countries;

DUP by any definition: 16 samples, N not reported, g = -0.18, 95%CI -0.37 to 0.001, p = 0.051

DUP defined as the start of psychotic symptoms to first treatment: 7 samples, g = -0.11, 95%CI - 0.41 to 0.20, p = 0.49

Samples from Western countries: 15 samples, g = -0.37, 95%CI -0.56 to -0.17, p = 0.0001

Samples from non-Western countries: 7 samples, g = -0.08, 95%CI -0.33 to 0.11, p = 0.54

A significant, medium-sized effect of more males than females in first-episode psychosis samples;

23 samples, OR = 2.1, 95%Cl 1.6 to 2.9, p = 0.0001

Consistency in results [‡]	Authors state that heterogeneity was substantial (>60%).
Precision in results [§]	Imprecise for the overall analysis, precise for other analyses.
Directness of results	Direct

Esterberg ML, Trotman HD, Holtzman C, Compton MT, Walker EF

The impact of a family history of psychosis on age-at-onset and positive and negative symptoms of schizophrenia: A meta-analysis

Neura Neura Discover. Conquer. Cure.



Age at illness onset

Schizophrenia Research 2010; 120: 121-130 <u>View review abstract online</u>	
Comparison	The impact of a family history of psychosis on age at onset of first psychotic symptom or age at first treatment.
Summary of evidence	Moderate to high quality evidence (large sample, inconsistent, precise, direct) suggests a small effect of people with a family history of psychosis having an earlier age at onset than people without a family history of psychosis. Males without a family history of psychosis may have an earlier age at onset than females without a family history of psychosis.

Age at onset

Significant, small effect suggests people with a family history of psychosis have a younger age at onset of psychosis symptoms;

15 studies, N = 6,969, d = -0.17, 95%Cl -0.14 to -0.20, p < 0.05, Q-test p < 0.05

No differences were reported between males and females with a family history of psychosis, although a medium effect size shows males without a family history of psychosis have a younger age at symptom onset than females without a family history;

d = -0.47, 95%CI= -0.40 to -0.55

Consistency in results	Inconsistent
Precision in results	Precise
Directness of results	Direct

Immonen J, Jaaskelainen E, Korpela H, Miettunen J

Age at onset and the outcomes of schizophrenia: A systematic review and meta-analysis

Early Intervention in Psychiatry 2017; 11: 453-60

View review abstract online

Comparison	Associations between age at onset and outcomes.
Summary of evidence	Moderate quality evidence (unclear sample sizes, mostly inconsistent, precise, direct) finds small associations between

Neura Neura Discover. Conquer. Cure.

Age at illness onset



younger age at onset and more hospitalisations, negative but
not positive symptoms, relapses, poorer overall functioning,
and poorer clinical outcomes (in males only).

Age at onset and outcomes

Significant, small associations between younger age at onset and more;

Hospitalisations: 9 studies, r = 0.17, 95%CI 0.09 to 0.25, p < 0.001, $I^2 = 95\%$, p < 0.001

Negative symptoms: 7 studies, r = 0.14; 95%CI 0.01 to 0.27, p = 0.04, $I^2 = 63\%$, p = 0.01

Relapses: 3 studies, r = 0.11, 95%Cl 0.02 to 0.20, p = 0.01, $l^2 = 11$ %, p = 0.32

Poorer social/occupational functioning: 12 studies, r = 0.15, 95%1Cl 0.05 to 0.25, p = 0.002, $l^2 = 0.002$

81%, *p* < 0.001

Poorer global outcomes: 13 studies, r = 0.14, 95%Cl 0.07 to 0.22, p < 0.001, $l^2 = 48\%$, p = 0.03

The association between younger age at onset and poorer general clinical was significant only in

samples with a higher proportion of males.

There were no significant associations with remission, positive or total symptoms, or employment, and no moderating effects of length of illness and study design.

There was no evidence of publication bias.

Consistency in results	Consistent for relapse only
Precision in results	Precise
Directness of results	Direct

Large M, Sharma S, Compton MT, Slade T, Nielssen O

Cannabis use and earlier onset of psychosis

Archives of General Psychiatry 2011; 68(6): 555-561

View review abstract online

Comparison	Age at onset in people with schizophrenia who have comorbid substance use, compared to people with schizophrenia and no substance use.
Summary of evidence	Moderate to high quality evidence (large sample, inconsistent, mostly precise, direct) finds a small effect of any lifetime substance use being associated with an earlier age at onset of

Neura Neura Discover. Conquer. Cure.

Age at illness onset

SCHIZOPHRENIA LIBRARY

psychosis.

Age of onset

Any substance use

A small effect shows patients who had used any substance had a significantly younger age at onset than those who had not used any substances;

131 samples, N = 22,519, d = -0.264, 95% CI -0.453 to -0.075, p = 0.006, $I^2 = 78.1\%$, p < 0.001

No significant differences in this effect between males and females;

Females: 13 samples, d = -0.365, 95%CI -0.622 to -0.108

Males 24 samples, d = -0.325, 95%CI -0.513 to -0.138

No significant differences in this effect between heavy and light substance use;

Light/discontinued use: 10 samples, d = -0.301, 95%CI -0.522 to -0.08

Heavy/continuous use: 10 samples, d = -0.428, 95%CI -0.644 to -0.211

Lifetime cannabis use

A medium-sized effect shows patients who had used cannabis had a significantly younger age at onset than those who had not used cannabis;

41 samples, d = -0.414, 95%CI -0.526 to -0.301, p < 0.001

Alcohol use

There was no significant difference in age of onset between patients with and without alcohol use;

22 samples, d = -0.038, 95%CI -0.196 to 0.120, p = 0.64

Consistency in results	Inconsistent
Precision in results	Mostly precise
Directness of results	Direct

Myles N, Newall H, Compton MT, Curtis J, Nielssen O, Large M

The age at onset of psychosis and tobacco use: a systematic metaanalysis

Social Psychiatry Psychiatric Epidemiology 2012; 47: 1243-1250

View review abstract online



Age at illness onset



Comparison	The impact of tobacco use on age at onset.
Summary of evidence	Moderate to high quality evidence (large sample, inconsistent, precise, direct) suggests no differences in the age at onset between people who use tobacco and people who do not use tobacco.

Age at onset

No differences between groups;

29 studies, N = 5,062, d = -0.03, 95%CI -0.14 to 0.08, p = 0.59, $I^2 = 60.6$ %

Authors report that no study or sample characteristic contributed significantly to between-study heterogeneity. These characteristics were male vs. female, first episode vs. chronic patients, age at first treatment vs. onset of positive symptoms, different measurement of tobacco use, different measurement of diagnosis, schizoaffective disorder vs. schizophrenia, differences in study quality, and study recruitment techniques.

Consistency in results	Inconsistent
Precision in results	Precise
Directness of results	Direct

Myles N, Newall H, Nielssen O, Large M

The association between cannabis use and earlier age at onset of schizophrenia and other psychoses: meta-analysis of possible confounding factors

Current Pharmaceutical Design 2012; 18: 5055-69

View review abstract online

Comparison	The impact of cannabis or tobacco use on age at onset.
Summary of evidence	Moderate to high quality evidence (large sample, inconsistent, precise, direct) suggests a medium-sized effect of cannabis use being associated with an earlier age at onset of psychosis, with no effect of tobacco use.
Age at onset	

Neural Ne

Age at illness onset

SCHIZOPHRENIA LIBRARY

Clinically significant cannabis use

A medium-sized effect shows patients with cannabis use had a significantly younger age at onset (equivalent to 32 months);

46 samples, N = 8,914, SMD = -0.399, 95% CI -0.493 to -0.306, p < 0.001, I^2 = 73% <u>Daily tobacco smoking</u>

No significant differences between groups;

47 samples, N = 9,664, SMD = 0.002, 95%CI -0.094 to 0.097, p = 0.974, $I^2 = 67\%$

The effect sizes increased when the analysis contained only people with a diagnosis of a schizophrenia-spectrum disorder (+25%), people of the same sex (+12%), and studies using initiation of symptoms (not initiation of treatment) as the marker of age at onset (+12%).

The effect sizes decreased when the analysis contained only studies of consecutively recruited patients (-31%), studies using systematic methods to assess substance use (-10%) or psychiatric diagnosis (-9%), higher quality studies (-9%), and results adjusted for publication bias (-19%).

Consistency in results	Inconsistent
Precision in results	Precise
Directness of results	Direct

Solmi M, Radua J, Olivola M, Croce E, Soardo L, Salazar de Pablo G, Il Shin J, Kirkbride JB, Jones P, Kim JH, Kim JY, Carvalho AF, Seeman MV, Correll CU, Fusar-Poli P

Age at onset of mental disorders worldwide: large-scale meta-analysis of 192 epidemiological studies

Molecular Psychiatry 2021

Link to review abstract

Comparison	Age of onset of schizophrenia.
Summary of evidence	Moderate to high quality evidence (large sample, unable to assess consistency, appears precise, direct) suggests the median age at onset of schizophrenia is around 25 years old.
Age at onset	

Neural Ne

Age at illness onset

SCHIZOPHRENIA LIBRARY

25 population studies

Median age at onset = 25 years, IQR 21 to 35 years

14 years: 2%

18 years: 8.2% 25 years: 47.4%

There was a trend towards younger ages for males (median = 1 year earlier), and from symptoms to hospital admission (median = 1 year later) to diagnosis (median another year later).

Consistency in results	Unable to assess; no measure of consistency is reported.
Precision in results	Appears precise
Directness of results	Direct

van der Werf M, Hanssen M, Kohler S, Verkaaik M, Verhey FR, RISE Investigators, van Winkel R, van Os J, Allardyce J

Systematic review and collaborative recalculation of 133693 incident cases of schizophrenia

Psychological Medicine 2014; 44(1): 9-16

Link to review abstract

Comparison	Distribution of rates of the incidence of schizophrenia by age and sex.
Summary of evidence	Moderate to high quality evidence (large samples, direct, unable to assess consistency, precise) suggests higher incidence of schizophrenia in males up until 39 years.
	Moderate quality evidence (large samples, direct, unable to assess consistency, imprecise) suggests no differences in incidence between 40 to 49 years, and higher incidence of schizophrenia in females over 50 years.

Neural Ne

Age at illness onset

SCHIZOPHRENIA LIBRARY

The risk of schizophrenia was significantly greater in men aged 20 to 39 years, and in women aged over 50 years, after adjusting for year of study, sample size, sampling frame (admission or contact), case ascertainment (clinical, systematic or interview) and diagnostic classification system. No differences were found between males and females aged 40 to 49 years;

33 samples, N = 63,550 incident cases of schizophrenia, females vs. males

< 20 years: IRR 0.53, 95%CI 0.41 to 0.69, p < 0.05 20–29 years: IRR 0.47, 95%CI 0.41 to 0.54, p < 0.05 30–39 years: IRR 0.80, 95%CI 0.71 to 0.91, p < 0.05 40–49 years: IRR 1.18, 95%CI 0.99 to 1.41, p > 0.05 50–59 years: IRR 1.50, 95%CI 1.25 to 1.80, p < 0.05 60–69 years: IRR 1.50, 95%CI 1.13 to 1.99, p < 0.05 ≥ 70 years: IRR 1.38, 95%CI 0.93 to 2.05, p > 0.05

Consistency in results	Unable to assess; no measure of consistency is reported within age groups
Precision in results	Precise for 20-to-39 year age groupings only
Directness of results	Direct

Explanation of acronyms

CI = confidence interval, d = Cohen's d, measure of effect, I^2 = the percentage of the variability in effect estimates that is due to heterogeneity rather than sampling error (chance), IRR = incidence rate ratio, IQR = interquartile range, N = number of participants, p = statistical probability of obtaining that result (p < 0.05 generally regarded as significant), Q = Q statistic for the test of heterogeneity, SMD = standardised mean difference, vs. = versus

Age at illness onset

Explanation of technical terms

- Bias has the potential to affect reviews of both RCT and observational studies. Forms of bias include; reporting bias - selective reporting of results; publication bias - trials that are not formally published tend to show less effect than published trials, further if there are statistically significant differences between groups in a trial, these trial results tend to get published before those of trials without significant differences; language bias - only including English language reports; funding bias - source of funding for the primary research with selective reporting of results within primary studies; outcome variable selection bias; database bias including reports from some databases and not others; citation bias - preferential citation of authors. Trials can also be subject to bias when evaluators are not blind to treatment condition and selection bias of participants if trial samples are small¹¹.
- † Different effect measures are reported by different reviews.

Prevalence refers to how many existing cases there are at a particular point in time. Incidence refers to how many new cases there are per population in a specified time period. Incidence is usually reported as the number of new cases per 100,000 people per year. Alternatively some studies present the number of new cases that have accumulated over several years against a person-years denominator. This denominator is the sum of individual units of time that the persons in the population are at risk of becoming a case. It takes into account the size of the underlying population sample and its age structure over the duration of observation.

Reliability and validity refers to how accurate the instrument is. Sensitivity is the proportion



SCHIZOPHRENIA LIBRARY

of actual positives that are correctly identified (100% sensitivity = correct identification of all actual positives) and specificity is the proportion of negatives that are correctly identified (100% specificity = not identifying anyone as positive if they are truly not).

Weighted mean difference scores refer to mean differences between treatment and comparison groups after treatment (or occasionally pre to post treatment) and in a randomised trial there is an assumption that both groups are comparable on this measure prior to treatment. Standardised mean differences are divided by the pooled standard deviation (or the standard deviation of one group when groups are homogenous) that allows results from different scales to be combined and compared. Each study's mean difference is then given a weighting depending on the size of the sample and the variability in the data. Less than 0.4 represents a small effect, around 0.5 a medium effect, and over 0.8 represents a large effect¹¹.

Odds ratio (OR) or relative risk (RR) refers to the probability of a reduction (< 1) or an increase (> 1) in a particular outcome in a treatment group, or a group exposed to a risk factor, relative to the comparison group. For example, a RR of 0.75 translates to a reduction in risk of an outcome of 25% relative to those not receiving the treatment or not exposed to the risk factor. Conversely, a RR of 1.25 translates to an increased risk of 25% relative to those not receiving treatment or not having been exposed to a risk factor. A RR or OR of 1.00 means there is no difference between groups. A medium effect is considered if RR > 2 or < 0.5 and a large effect if RR > 5 or < 0.2^{12} . InOR stands for logarithmic OR where a InOR of 0 shows no difference between groups. Hazard ratios measure the effect of an explanatory variable on the hazard or risk of an event.

Neural Ne

SCHIZOPHRENIA LIBRARY

Age at illness onset

Correlation coefficients (eg, r) indicate the strength of association or relationship between variables. They can provide an indirect indication of prediction, but do not confirm causality due to possible and often unforseen confounding variables. An r of 0.10 represents a weak association, 0.25 a medium association and 0.40 and over represents strona association. а Unstandardised (b) regression coefficients indicate the average change in the dependent variable associated with a 1 unit change in independent variable, statistically controlling for the other independent variables. Standardised regression coefficients represent the change being in of standard deviations comparison across different scales.

‡ Inconsistency refers to differing estimates of effect across studies (i.e. heterogeneity or variability that in results) is not explained by subgroup analyses and therefore reduces confidence in the effect estimate. I2 is the percentage of the variability in effect estimates that is due to heterogeneity rather than sampling error (chance) - 0% to 40%: heterogeneity might not be important, 30% to 60%: may represent moderate heterogeneity, 50% to 90%: may represent considerable heterogeneity and over this is considerable heterogeneity. l² can calculated from Q (chi-square) for the test of heterogeneity with the following formula¹¹;

$$I^2 = \left(\frac{Q - df}{Q}\right) \times 100\%$$

§ Imprecision refers to wide confidence intervals indicating a lack of confidence in the

effect estimate. Based on GRADE recommendations, a result for continuous data (standardised mean differences, not weighted mean differences) is considered imprecise if the upper or lower confidence limit crosses an effect size of 0.5 in either direction, and for binary and correlation data, effect size of 0.25. GRADE recommends downgrading the evidence when sample size is smaller than 300 (for binary data) and 400 (for continuous data), although for some topics, these criteria should be relaxed13.

Indirectness of comparison occurs when a comparison of intervention A versus B is not available but A was compared with C and B was compared with C that allows indirect comparisons of the magnitude of effect of A Indirectness population, versus В. of comparator and/or outcome can also occur when the available evidence regarding a particular population, intervention, comparator, or outcome is not available and is therefore inferred from available evidence. These inferred treatment effect sizes are of lower quality than those gained from head-tohead comparisons of A and B.

Neural Ne

SCHIZOPHRENIA LIBRARY

Age at illness onset

References

- 1. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, PRISMAGroup (2009): Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *British Medical Journal* 151: 264-9.
- 2. GRADEWorkingGroup (2004): Grading quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. *British Medical Journal* 328: 1490.
- 3. Esterberg ML, Trotman HD, Holtzman C, Compton MT, Walker EF (2010): The impact of a family history of psychosis on age-at-onset and positive and negative symptoms of schizophrenia: A meta-analysis. *Schizophrenia Research* 120: 121 30.
- 4. Cascio MT, Cella M, Preti A, Meneghelli A, Cocchi A (2012): Gender and duration of untreated psychosis: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Early intervention in psychiatry* 6: 115-27.
- 5. Myles N, Newall H, Compton MT, Curtis J, Nielssen O, Large M (2012): The age at onset of psychosis and tobacco use: a systematic meta-analysis. *Social psychiatry and psychiatric epidemiology* 47: 1243-50.
- 6. van der Werf M, Hanssen M, Kohler S, Verkaaik M, Verhey FR, Investigators R, et al. (2014): Systematic review and collaborative recalculation of 133,693 incident cases of schizophrenia. *Psychological medicine* 44: 9-16.
- 7. Large M, Sharma S, Compton MT, Slade T, Nielssen O (2011): Cannabis use and earlier onset of psychosis: a systematic meta-analysis. *Archives of General Psychiatry* 68: 555-61.
- 8. Myles N, Newall H, Nielssen O, Large M (2012): The association between cannabis use and earlier age at onset of schizophrenia and other psychoses: meta-analysis of possible confounding factors. *Current Pharmaceutical Design* 18: 5055-69.
- 9. Immonen J, Jaaskelainen E, Korpela H, Miettunen J (2017): Age at onset and the outcomes of schizophrenia: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Early Intervention in Psychiatry* 11: 453-60.
- 10. Solmi M, Radua J, Olivola M, Croce E, Soardo L, Salazar de Pablo G, et al. (2021): Age at onset of mental disorders worldwide: large-scale meta-analysis of 192 epidemiological studies. *Molecular Psychiatry*.
- 11. CochraneCollaboration (2008): Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions. Accessed 24/06/2011.
- 12. Rosenthal JA (1996): Qualitative Descriptors of Strength of Association and Effect Size. *Journal of Social Service Research* 21: 37-59.
- 13. GRADEpro (2008): [Computer program]. Jan Brozek, Andrew Oxman, Holger Schünemann. *Version* 32 for Windows