



## Dietary pattern

### Introduction

People with mental disorders may be at increased risk of nutritional deficiencies due to poor diet. Poor diet is a major and modifiable cause of comorbid conditions, including metabolic syndrome and obesity. During pregnancy, it also contributes to the risk of developmental problems in the foetus. This topic summarised the evidence pertaining to dietary patterns in people with schizophrenia.

### Method

We have included only systematic reviews (systematic literature search, detailed methodology with inclusion/exclusion criteria) published in full text, in English, from the year 2000 that report results separately for people with a diagnosis of schizophrenia, schizoaffective disorder, schizophreniform disorder or first episode psychosis/schizophrenia. Reviews were identified by searching the databases MEDLINE, EMBASE, CINAHL, Current Contents, PsycINFO and the Cochrane library. Hand searching reference lists of identified reviews was also conducted. When multiple copies of reviews were found, only the most recent version was included. Reviews with pooled data are prioritised for inclusion.

Review reporting assessment was guided by the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) checklist that describes a preferred way to present a meta-analysis<sup>1</sup>. Reviews rated as having less than 50% of items checked have been excluded from the library. The PRISMA flow diagram is a suggested way of providing information about studies included and excluded with reasons for exclusion. Where no flow diagram has been presented by individual reviews, but identified studies have been described in the text, reviews have been checked for this item. Note that early reviews may have been guided by less stringent

reporting checklists than the PRISMA, and that some reviews may have been limited by journal guidelines.

Evidence was graded using the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE) Working Group approach where high quality evidence such as that gained from randomised controlled trials (RCT) may be downgraded to moderate or low if review and study quality is limited, if there is inconsistency in results, indirect comparisons, imprecise or sparse data and high probability of reporting bias. It may also be downgraded if risks associated with the intervention or other matter under review are high. Conversely, low quality evidence such as that gained from observational studies may be upgraded if effect sizes are large or if there is a dose dependent response. We have also taken into account sample size and whether results are consistent, precise and direct with low associated risks (see end of table for an explanation of these terms)<sup>2</sup>. The resulting table represents an objective summary of the available evidence, although the conclusions are solely the opinion of staff of NeuRA (Neuroscience Research Australia).

### Results

We found seven systematic reviews that met inclusion criteria<sup>3-9</sup>.

- Moderate to low quality evidence finds poor dietary patterns in people with schizophrenia, including decreased fibre and fruit intake, and increased energy, sodium and saturated fat intake. People with schizophrenia may have high LDL and low HDL blood levels, and increased fasting glucose.
- Moderate to high quality evidence shows people with schizophrenia have lower



## Dietary pattern

vitamin D levels compared to controls (large effect), and compared to people with other psychoses (small effect), but have similar vitamin D levels as people with major depression.

- Moderate quality evidence suggests decreased folate levels in people with schizophrenia, particularly Caucasian and Asian people, and people aged under 50 years. High quality evidence finds no differences in vitamin B12 levels.
- Moderate quality evidence shows people with first-episode psychosis also have a large effect of lower vitamin D levels, and a medium-sized effect of lower folate levels than controls. Moderate to low quality evidence finds lower levels of vitamin C in people with first-episode psychosis, with no differences in B12, vitamin A, vitamin E, or any dietary mineral.



## Dietary pattern

Belvederi Murri M, Respino M, Masotti M, Innamorati M, Mondelli V, Pariante C, Amore M

### Vitamin D and psychosis: Mini meta-analysis

Schizophrenia Research 2013; 150: 235-239

[View review abstract online](#)

Comparison	Vitamin D levels in people with schizophrenia vs. controls, and vs. other psychoses or depression.
Summary of evidence	<p>Moderate quality evidence (large samples, inconsistent, imprecise, direct) suggests a large effect of lower Vitamin D in people with schizophrenia compared to controls.</p> <p>High quality evidence (large samples, consistent, precise, direct) suggests a small effect of lower Vitamin D in people with schizophrenia compared to people with other psychoses.</p> <p>Moderate to high quality evidence (large samples, inconsistent, precise, direct,) suggests no differences in Vitamin D between people with schizophrenia and people with major depression.</p>
<b>Vitamin D deficiency</b>	
<p><i>A significant, large effect of lower Vitamin D levels in people with schizophrenia vs. controls;</i> 6 studies, N = 7,924, <math>g = -1.23</math>, 95%CI -1.87 to -0.59, <math>p &lt; 0.001</math>, <math>I^2 = 95%</math>, <math>p &lt; 0.001</math></p> <p><i>A small trend effect of lower Vitamin D in people with schizophrenia vs. other psychoses;</i> 3 studies, N = 342, <math>g = -0.26</math>, 95%CI -0.53 to 0.01, <math>p = 0.059</math>, <math>I^2 = 31%</math>, <math>p = 0.23</math></p> <p><i>No significant differences in Vitamin D between people with schizophrenia and people with major depression;</i> 3 studies, N = 338, <math>g = -0.35</math>, 95%CI -0.89 to 0.18, <math>p = 0.19</math>, <math>I^2 = 74%</math>, <math>p = 0.02</math></p>	
Consistency <sup>‡</sup>	Consistent for schizophrenia vs. other psychoses, inconsistent for schizophrenia vs. controls and schizophrenia vs. major depression.
Precision <sup>§</sup>	Precise for schizophrenia vs. other psychoses and schizophrenia vs. major depression, imprecise for schizophrenia vs. controls.
Directness <sup>  </sup>	Direct



**Dietary pattern**

*Cao B, Wang DF, Xu MY, Liu YQ, Yan LL, Wang JY, Lu QB*

**Vitamin B12 and the risk of schizophrenia: A meta-analysis**

Schizophrenia Research 2016; 172: 216-7

[View review abstract online](#)

<b>Comparison</b>	<b>Vitamin B12 levels in people with schizophrenia compared to controls.</b>
<b>Summary of evidence</b>	<b>High quality evidence (large sample, consistent, precise, direct) suggests no differences in vitamin B12 levels in people with schizophrenia compared to controls.</b>
<b>B12 levels</b>	
<p><i>No significant differences between patients and controls;</i>                      13 studies, N = 2,113, <math>d = 0.09</math>, 95%CI -0.03 to 0.22, <math>p = 0.067</math>, <math>I^2 = 40%</math>, <math>p &lt; 0.05</math>                      Moderator analyses indicated that results varied significantly across geographic areas (Asia, Europe, America, Africa; pooled subgroup results are not reported).                      There were no effects of patient age, source (serum/plasma), or method of assessment on the effect size.                      Authors report no evidence of publication bias.</p>	
<b>Consistency in results</b>	Consistent
<b>Precision in results</b>	Precise
<b>Directness of results</b>	Direct

*Dipasquale S, Pariante CM, Dazzan P, Aguglia E, McGuire P, Mondelli V*

**The dietary pattern of patients with schizophrenia: A systematic review**

Journal of Psychiatric Research 2013; 47: 197-207

[View review abstract online](#)

<b>Comparison</b>	<b>Dietary patterns in people with schizophrenia vs. controls.</b>
-------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------



**Dietary pattern**

<b>Summary of evidence</b>	<b>Moderate to low quality evidence (medium to large samples, unable to assess precision and consistency, direct) suggests poor dietary patterns in people with schizophrenia, including decreased fibre and fruit intake, and increased saturated fat intake. They may also have high LDL and low HDL blood levels, and increased fasting glucose (pre-diabetes).</b>
<p>10 studies (N = 971) reported that patients with schizophrenia were more likely than controls to consume a diet poor in fibre and fruit intake, and 7 studies (N = 544) reported they were more likely to consume a diet rich in saturated fat. 2 studies (N = 157) reported increased intake of calories compared to controls, and 1 study (N = 88) reported a low consumption of both monounsaturated and polyunsaturated fatty acids. However, 6 studies (N = 571) did not report any significant difference in the diet of patients with schizophrenia compared with healthy subjects or with patients affected by depression or bipolar disorder.</p> <p>4 studies (N = 325) reported high LDL-c blood levels, 2 studies (N = 176) reported low HDL-c blood levels, and 2 studies (1,827) reported increased fasting glucose (pre-diabetes).</p> <p>7 studies (N = 731) reported that subjects with a poor diet and an unhealthy lifestyle were more likely to be overweight or obese, and 5 studies (N = 2,072) reported that patients showed a significantly increased cardiovascular risk due to their unhealthy lifestyle.</p>	
<b>Consistency in results</b>	Unable to assess; no measure of consistency is reported.
<b>Precision in results</b>	Unable to assess; no measure of precision is reported.
<b>Directness of results</b>	Direct

*Firth J, Carney R, Stubbs B, Teasdale S, Vancampfort D, Ward P, Berk M, Sarris J*

**Nutritional Deficiencies and Clinical Correlates in First-Episode Psychosis: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis**

Schizophrenia Bulletin 2018; 44: 1275-92

[View review abstract online](#)

<b>Comparison</b>	<b>Nutritional deficits in people with first-episode psychosis vs. controls</b>
<b>Summary of evidence</b>	<b>Moderate quality evidence (large samples, inconsistent, imprecise, direct) suggests people with first-episode psychosis have a large effect of lower levels of vitamin D and a medium-sized effect of lower levels of folate than controls. Moderate to low quality evidence (small sample) also suggests lower levels</b>

## Dietary pattern

	<b>of vitamin C. No differences were found in B12, vitamin A, vitamin E, or any dietary mineral.</b>
<p><i>A significant, large effect of lower blood levels of vitamin D (matched for age and ethnicity);</i> 6 studies, N = 906, <math>g = -1.055</math>, 95%CI -1.990 to -0.119, <math>p = 0.027</math>, <math>I^2 = 97\%</math></p> <p><i>A significant, medium-sized effect of lower blood levels of folate;</i> 6 studies, N = 827, <math>g = -0.624</math>, 95%CI -1.176 to -0.072, <math>p = 0.027</math>, <math>I^2 = 92\%</math></p> <p><i>A significant, large effect of lower blood levels of vitamin C;</i> 2 studies, N = 96, <math>g = -2.207</math>, 95%CI -3.710 to -0.710, <math>p = 0.004</math>, <math>I^2 = 92\%</math></p> <p>Lower levels of folate and vitamin D were related to increased psychiatric symptoms. One small study reported vitamin C supplementation was associated with greater symptomatic improvement.</p> <p>No differences were found in B12, vitamins A and E, or any dietary mineral (calcium, copper, magnesium, sodium, zinc, iron, manganese, potassium, selenium or chromium).</p> <p>There was no evidence of publication bias.</p>	
<b>Consistency in results</b>	Inconsistent
<b>Precision in results</b>	Imprecise
<b>Directness of results</b>	Direct

*McColl H, Dhillon M, Howard LM*

### **A systematic review of the nutritional status of women of a childbearing age with severe mental illness**

**Archives of women's mental health 2013; 16: 39-46**

[View review abstract online](#)

<b>Comparison</b>	<b>Nutritional status of women with schizophrenia who are of a childbearing age compared to controls.</b>
<b>Summary of evidence</b>	<b>Low quality evidence (small samples) is unable to assess nutritional status of women with schizophrenia who are of a childbearing age.</b>
<p>2 studies (N = 78) reported low serum folate and vitamin B<sub>12</sub> levels in women with schizophrenia compared to controls.</p> <p>1 study (N = 68) found increased total calories, carbohydrates proteins, fibre and fats. However, 1 study (N = 13) reported less median daily intake than controls for energy, total fibre, retinol,</p>	





## Dietary pattern

<p>carotene and vitamins C and E.</p> <p>2 studies (N = 144) found mean homocysteine levels to be elevated compared to controls.</p>	
<b>Consistency in results</b>	Unable to assess; no measure of consistency is reported.
<b>Precision in results</b>	Unable to assess; no measure of precision is reported.
<b>Directness of results</b>	Direct

<p><i>Teasdale SB, Ward PB, Samaras K, Firth J, Stubbs B, Tripodi E, Burrows TL</i></p> <p><b>Dietary intake of people with severe mental illness: systematic review and meta-analysis</b></p> <p>British Journal of Psychiatry 2019; 214: 251-9</p> <p><a href="#">View review abstract online</a></p>	
<b>Comparison</b>	<b>Dietary intake in people with schizophrenia vs. controls.</b>
<b>Summary of evidence</b>	<b>Moderate quality evidence (large sample, inconsistent, imprecise, direct) finds people with schizophrenia have higher energy and sodium intake.</b>
<b>Dietary intake</b>	
<p><i>A significant effect of more energy and sodium intake in people with schizophrenia vs. controls;</i></p> <p>Energy (kilojoules): 4 studies, N = 466, MD = 1695, 95%CI 380 to 3010, <math>p = 0.012</math>, <math>I^2 = 77\%</math></p> <p>Sodium: 3 studies, N = 387, <math>g = 0.414</math>, 95%CI 0.181 to 0.646, <math>p &lt; 0.001</math>, <math>I^2 = 12\%</math></p> <p><i>There were no significant differences in;</i></p> <p>Vitamin B6: 3 studies, N = 387, <math>g = 0.484</math>, 95%CI -0.532 to 1.499, <math>p = 0.351</math>, <math>I^2 = 95\%</math></p> <p>Vitamin C: 3 studies, N = 387, <math>g = 0.132</math>, 95%CI -0.530 to 0.794, <math>p = 0.696</math>, <math>I^2 = 88\%</math></p> <p>Zinc: 3 studies, N = 387, <math>g = 0.369</math>, 95%CI -0.233 to 0.971, <math>p = 0.229</math>, <math>I^2 = 85\%</math></p>	
<b>Consistency</b>	Authors report the data are inconsistent.
<b>Precision</b>	Appears imprecise.
<b>Directness</b>	Direct

## Dietary pattern

Wang D, Zhai JX, Liu DW

### Serum folate levels in schizophrenia: A meta-analysis

Psychiatry Research 2016; 235: 83-89

[View review abstract online](#)

<b>Comparison</b>	<b>Serum folate levels in people with schizophrenia compared to controls.</b>
<b>Summary of evidence</b>	<b>Moderate quality evidence (large sample, inconsistent, imprecise, direct) suggests decreased folate levels in people with schizophrenia, particularly Caucasian and Asian people, and people aged under 50 years.</b>
<b>Folate levels</b>	
<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Significant decreased serum folate levels in people with schizophrenia;</i></p> <p>26 studies, N = 3,703, WMD = -1.57, 95%CI -2.11 to -1.02, <math>p &lt; 0.00001</math>, <math>I^2 = 90\%</math>, <math>p &lt; 0.00001</math></p> <p>Subgroup analyses found that the effect was significant in Caucasian and Asian samples, but not in African, Latino, or mixed population samples. The effect was significant in samples with age &lt; 50 years but not &gt; 50 years.</p> <p>There were no moderating effects of publication language, duration of illness, medication status, or sex.</p>	
<b>Consistency in results</b>	Inconsistent
<b>Precision in results</b>	Imprecise
<b>Directness of results</b>	Direct

## Explanation of acronyms

CI = confidence interval,  $d$  = Cohen's  $d$  and  $g$  = Hedges'  $g$  = standardised mean differences,  $I^2$  = the percentage of the variability in effect estimates that is due to heterogeneity rather than sampling error (chance), MD = mean difference, N = number of participants,  $p$  = statistical probability of obtaining that result ( $p < 0.05$  generally regarded as significant), vs. = versus, WMD = weighted mean difference





## Dietary pattern

### Explanation of technical terms

\* Bias has the potential to affect reviews of both RCT and observational studies. Forms of bias include; reporting bias – selective reporting of results; publication bias - trials that are not formally published tend to show less effect than published trials, further if there are statistically significant differences between groups in a trial, these trial results tend to get published before those of trials without significant differences; language bias – only including English language reports; funding bias - source of funding for the primary research with selective reporting of results within primary studies; outcome variable selection bias; database bias - including reports from some databases and not others; citation bias - preferential citation of authors. Trials can also be subject to bias when evaluators are not blind to treatment condition and selection bias of participants if trial samples are small<sup>10</sup>.

† Different effect measures are reported by different reviews.

Prevalence refers to how many existing cases there are at a particular point in time. Incidence refers to how many new cases there are per population in a specified time period. Incidence is usually reported as the number of new cases per 100,000 people per year. Alternatively some studies present the number of new cases that have accumulated over several years against a person-years denominator. This denominator is the sum of individual units of time that the persons in the population are at risk of becoming a case. It takes into account the size of the underlying population sample and its age structure over the duration of observation.

Reliability and validity refers to how accurate the instrument is. Sensitivity is the proportion of actual positives that are correctly identified (100% sensitivity = correct identification of all actual positives) and specificity is the proportion of negatives that are correctly identified (100% specificity = not identifying anyone as positive if they are truly not).

Weighted mean difference scores refer to mean differences between treatment and comparison groups after treatment (or occasionally pre to post treatment) and in a randomised trial there is an assumption that both groups are comparable on this measure prior to treatment. Standardised mean differences are divided by the pooled standard deviation (or the standard deviation of one group when groups are homogenous) which allows results from different scales to be combined and compared. Each study's mean difference is then given a weighting depending on the size of the sample and the variability in the data. Less than 0.4 represents a small effect, around 0.5 a medium effect, and over 0.8 represents a large effect<sup>10</sup>.

Odds ratio (OR) or relative risk (RR) refers to the probability of a reduction ( $< 1$ ) or an increase ( $> 1$ ) in a particular outcome in a treatment group, or a group exposed to a risk factor, relative to the comparison group. For example, a RR of 0.75 translates to a reduction in risk of an outcome of 25% relative to those not receiving the treatment or not exposed to the risk factor. Conversely, a RR of 1.25 translates to an increased risk of 25% relative to those not receiving treatment or not having been exposed to a risk factor. A RR or OR of 1.00 means there is no difference between groups. A medium effect is considered if  $RR > 2$  or  $< 0.5$  and a large effect if  $RR > 5$  or  $< 0.2$ <sup>11</sup>. InOR stands for logarithmic OR where a InOR of 0 shows no difference between groups. Hazard ratios

## Dietary pattern

measure the effect of an explanatory variable on the hazard or risk of an event.

Correlation coefficients (eg,  $r$ ) indicate the strength of association or relationship between variables. They can provide an indirect indication of prediction, but do not confirm causality due to possible and often unforeseen confounding variables. An  $r$  of 0.10 represents a weak association, 0.25 a medium association and 0.40 and over represents a strong association. Unstandardised ( $b$ ) regression coefficients indicate the average change in the dependent variable associated with a 1 unit change in the independent variable, statistically controlling for the other independent variables. Standardised regression coefficients represent the change being in units of standard deviations to allow comparison across different scales.

‡ Inconsistency refers to differing estimates of effect across studies (i.e. heterogeneity or variability in results) that is not explained by subgroup analyses and therefore reduces confidence in the effect estimate.  $I^2$  is the percentage of the variability in effect estimates that is due to heterogeneity rather than sampling error (chance) - 0% to 40%: heterogeneity might not be important, 30% to 60%: may represent moderate heterogeneity, 50% to 90%: may represent considerable heterogeneity and over this is considerable heterogeneity.  $I^2$  can be calculated from  $Q$  (chi-square) for the test of heterogeneity with the following formula<sup>10</sup>;

$$I^2 = \left( \frac{Q - df}{Q} \right) \times 100\%$$

§ Imprecision refers to wide confidence intervals indicating a lack of confidence in the effect estimate. Based on GRADE recommendations, a result for continuous data (standardised mean differences, not weighted mean differences) is considered imprecise if the upper or lower confidence limit crosses an effect size of 0.5 in either direction, and for binary and correlation data, an effect size of 0.25. GRADE also recommends downgrading the evidence when sample size is smaller than 300 (for binary data) and 400 (for continuous data), although for some topics, these criteria should be relaxed<sup>12</sup>.

|| Indirectness of comparison occurs when a comparison of intervention A versus B is not available but A was compared with C and B was compared with C that allows indirect comparisons of the magnitude of effect of A versus B. Indirectness of population, comparator and/or outcome can also occur when the available evidence regarding a particular population, intervention, comparator, or outcome is not available and is therefore inferred from available evidence. These inferred treatment effect sizes are of lower quality than those gained from head-to-head comparisons of A and B.



## Dietary pattern

### References

1. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, PRISMA Group (2009): Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *British Medical Journal* 151: 264-9.
2. GRADE Working Group (2004): Grading quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. *British Medical Journal* 328: 1490.
3. McColl H, Dhillon M, Howard LM (2013): A systematic review of the nutritional status of women of a childbearing age with severe mental illness. *Archives of Women's Mental Health* 16: 39-46.
4. Dipasquale S, Pariante CM, Dazzan P, Aguglia E, McGuire P, Mondelli V (2013): The dietary pattern of patients with schizophrenia: A systematic review. *Journal of Psychiatric Research* 47: 197-207.
5. Firth J, Carney R, Stubbs B, Teasdale SB, Vancampfort D, Ward PB, *et al.* (2018): Nutritional Deficiencies and Clinical Correlates in First-Episode Psychosis: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Schizophrenia Bulletin* 44: 1275-92.
6. Belvederi Murri M, Respino M, Masotti M, Innamorati M, Mondelli V, Pariante C, *et al.* (2013): Vitamin D and psychosis: mini meta-analysis. *Schizophrenia Research* 150: 235-9.
7. Cao B, Wang DF, Xu MY, Liu YQ, Yan LL, Wang JY, *et al.* (2016): Vitamin B12 and the risk of schizophrenia: A meta-analysis. *Schizophrenia Research* 172: 216-7.
8. Wang D, Zhai JX, Liu DW (2016): Serum folate levels in schizophrenia: A meta-analysis. *Psychiatry Research* 235: 83-9.
9. Teasdale SB, Ward PB, Samaras K, Firth J, Stubbs B, Tripodi E, *et al.* (2019): Dietary intake of people with severe mental illness: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *British Journal of Psychiatry* 214: 251-9.
10. Cochrane Collaboration (2008): Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions. Accessed 24/06/2011.
11. Rosenthal JA (1996): Qualitative Descriptors of Strength of Association and Effect Size. *Journal of Social Service Research* 21: 37-59.
12. GRADEpro (2008): [Computer program]. Jan Brozek, Andrew Oxman, Holger Schünemann. Version 3.2 for Windows