# Neura Discover. Conquer. Cure.

### SCHIZOPHRENIA LIBRARY

#### Post-traumatic stress disorder

#### Introduction

The Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders' (DSM-5) criteria for a diagnosis of post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) includes having been exposed to a trauma via direct means (e.g. threats, experience, or witnessing), or indirect means (e.g. learning that a relative or close friend has been exposed to a trauma).

For a diagnosis of PTSD, symptoms must last for more than one month and create distress and functioning impairment such as an inability to work, go to school, or socialise. Symptoms persistently re-experiencing include traumatic event via intrusive thoughts, nightmares, or flashbacks. These can be brought on with exposure to traumatic reminders and are associated with emotional distress. As a result, avoidance of traumarelated stimuli occurs. There is often an inability to recall key features of the trauma.

Other symptoms include negative thoughts and assumptions about oneself or the world, exaggerated blame of self or others for causing the trauma, negative affect, decreased interest in activities, feelings of isolation, irritability or aggression, risky or destructive behavior, hypervigilance, heightened startle reaction, and difficulty concentrating and sleeping.

#### Method

We have included only systematic reviews (systematic literature search. detailed methodology with inclusion/exclusion criteria) published in full text, in English, from the year 2000 that report results separately for people with diagnosis of schizophrenia, а schizoaffective disorder, schizophreniform disorder or first episode schizophrenia. Reviews were identified by searching the databases MEDLINE, EMBASE, CINAHL, Current Contents, PsycINFO and the Cochrane library. Hand searching reference lists of identified reviews was also conducted. When multiple copies of reviews were found, only the most recent version was included. We prioritised reviews with pooled data for inclusion.

Review reporting assessment was guided by the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) checklist that describes a preferred way to present a meta-analysis<sup>1</sup>. Reviews with less than 50% of items checked have been excluded from the library. The PRISMA flow diagram is a suggested way of providing information about studies included excluded with reasons for exclusion. Where no flow diagram has been presented by individual reviews, but identified studies have been described in the text, reviews have been checked for this item. Note that early reviews may have been guided by less stringent reporting checklists than the PRISMA, and that some reviews may have been limited by journal guidelines.

Evidence was graded using the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE) Working Group approach where high quality evidence such as that gained from randomised controlled trials (RCTs) may be downgraded to moderate or low if review and study quality is limited, if there is inconsistency in results, indirect comparisons, imprecise or sparse data and high probability of reporting bias. It may also be downgraded if risks associated with the intervention or other matter under review are high. Conversely, low quality evidence such as that gained from observational studies may be upgraded if effect sizes are large or if there is a dose dependent response. We have also taken into account sample size and whether results are consistent, precise and direct with low associated risks (see end of table for an explanation of these terms)<sup>2</sup>. The resulting table represents an objective summary of the available evidence, although the conclusions are solely the opinion

NeuRA Post-traumatic stress disorder

June 2020

# Neura Discover. Conquer. Cure. SCHIZOPHRENIA LIBRARY

### Post-traumatic stress disorder

of staff of NeuRA (Neuroscience Research Australia).

#### Results

We found two systematic reviews that met our inclusion criteria<sup>3, 4</sup>.

- Moderate quality evidence suggests the prevalence rate of PTSD in people with schizophrenia is around 12.4%.
- Following a first-episode of psychosis (up to 2.5 years), approximately 42% of patients experience PTSD symptoms and 30% are diagnosed with PTSD. Prevalence may be highest in patients with affective psychosis and in inpatient groups. Depression and anxiety may increase the risk of PTSD following a first episode of psychosis.



## SCHIZOPHRENIA LIBRARY

### Post-traumatic stress disorder

Achim A, Maziade M, Raymond E, Olivier D, Merette C, Roy M

How Prevalent Are Anxiety Disorders in Schizophrenia? A Meta-Analysis and Critical Review on a Significant Association

Schizophrenia Bulletin 2011; 37(4): 811-821

View review abstract online

Comparison	Prevalence of PTSD in patients with schizophrenia.
Summary of evidence	Moderate quality evidence (large sample, appears imprecise, inconsistent, direct) suggests the prevalence rate of PTSD in people with schizophrenia is around 12.4%.

#### **PTSD** rates

20 studies, N = 1,388, 12.4%, 95%CI 4.0% to 20.8%,  $X^2$  = 294.09, p < 0.001

PTSD prevalence rates were higher in samples with a schizophrenia diagnosis vs. a schizophrenia spectrum diagnosis (21.8% vs. 7.8%, p < 0.001), and were higher in males than females (r = 0.65, p = 0.012).

No significant differences in rates were reported according to sampling methods, outpatients vs. inpatients, chronic vs. first-episode samples, or for differences in age.

Consistency in results‡	Inconsistent; authors state that not all heterogeneity was explained by the moderators reported above.
Precision in results§	Appears imprecise.
Directness of results	Direct

#### Rodrigues R, Anderson KK

The traumatic experience of first-episode psychosis: A systematic review and meta-analysis

Schizophrenia Research 2017; Feb 14

View review abstract online





#### Post-traumatic stress disorder

Comparison	Prevalence of post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) diagnosis or symptoms after a first-episode of psychosis.
Summary of evidence	Moderate to low quality evidence (medium-sized samples inconsistent, appears imprecise, direct) suggests approximately 42% of people experienced PTSD symptoms and 30% were diagnosed with PTSD following a first psychotic episode. PTSD prevalence may be highest in people with affective psychosis and in inpatient groups. Depression and anxiety may increase the risk of PTSD following a first episode of psychosis.

#### **PTSD**

PTSD symptoms up to 2.5 years following the first-episode of psychosis;

8 studies, N = 398, prevalence = 42%, 95%Cl 30% to 55%, l<sup>2</sup> = 83.8%, p < 0.01

PTSD diagnosis up to 2 years following the first-episode of psychosis;

4 studies, N = 204, prevalence = 30%, 95%Cl 21% to 40%, l<sup>2</sup> = 53.9%, p = 0.07

Authors report that prevalence rates were highest in affective psychosis and inpatient samples, and that comorbid depression and anxiety increase the risk of psychosis-related PTSD symptoms

Consistency in results	Inconsistent
Precision in results	Appears imprecise
Directness of results	Direct

### **Explanation of acronyms**

CI = confidence interval,  $I^2$  = the percentage of the variability in effect estimates that is due to heterogeneity rather than sampling error (chance), N = number of participants, p = statistical probability of obtaining that result (p < 0.05 generally regarded as significant, vs.= versus,  $X^2$  = Chisquare statistic

### Post-traumatic stress disorder

# Neura Discover. Conquer. Cure.

### SCHIZOPHRENIA LIBRARY

### Explanation of technical terms

Bias has the potential to affect reviews of both RCT and observational studies. Forms of bias include; reporting bias - selective reporting of results; publication bias - trials that are not formally published tend to show less effect than published trials, further if there are statistically significant differences between groups in a trial, these trial results tend to get published before those of trials without significant differences; language bias - only including English language reports: funding bias - source of funding for the primary research with selective reporting of results within primary studies; outcome variable selection bias; database bias including reports from some databases and not others; citation bias - preferential citation of authors. Trials can also be subject to bias when evaluators are not blind to treatment condition and selection bias of participants if trial samples are small<sup>5</sup>.

† Different effect measures are reported by different reviews.

Prevalence refers to how many existing cases there are at a particular point in time. Incidence refers to how many new cases there are per population in a specified time period. Incidence is usually reported as the number of new cases per 100,000 people per year. Alternatively some studies present the number of new cases that have accumulated over several years against a person-years denominator. This denominator is the sum of individual units of time that the persons in the population are at risk of becoming a case. It takes into account the size of the underlying population sample and its age structure over the duration of observation.

Reliability and validity refers to how accurate the instrument is. Sensitivity is the proportion of actual positives that are correctly identified (100% sensitivity = correct identification of all actual positives) and specificity is the proportion of negatives that are correctly identified (100% specificity = not identifying anyone as positive if they are truly not).

Weighted mean difference scores refer to mean differences between treatment and comparison groups after treatment (or occasionally pre to post treatment) and in a randomised trial there is an assumption that both groups are comparable on this measure prior to treatment. Standardised mean differences are divided by the pooled standard deviation (or the standard deviation of one group when groups are homogenous) that allows results from different scales to be combined and compared. Each study's mean difference is then given a weighting depending on the size of the sample and the variability in the data. Less than 0.4 represents a small effect, around 0.5 a medium effect, and over 0.8 represents a large effect<sup>5</sup>.

Odds ratio (OR) or relative risk (RR) refers to the probability of a reduction (< 1) or an increase (> 1) in a particular outcome in a treatment group, or a group exposed to a risk factor, relative to the comparison group. For example, a RR of 0.75 translates to a reduction in risk of an outcome of 25% relative to those not receiving the treatment or not exposed to the risk factor. Conversely, a RR of 1.25 translates to an increased risk of 25% relative to those not receiving treatment or not having been exposed to a risk factor. A RR or OR of 1.00 means there is no difference between groups. A medium effect is considered if RR > 2 or < 0.5 and a large effect if RR > 5 or < 0.26. InOR stands for logarithmic OR where a InOR of 0 shows no difference between groups. Hazard ratios measure the effect of an explanatory variable on the hazard or risk of an event.

# Neural Ne

### SCHIZOPHRENIA LIBRARY

### Post-traumatic stress disorder

Correlation coefficients (eg, r) indicate the strength of association or relationship between variables. They can provide an indirect indication of prediction, but do not confirm causality due to possible and often unforseen confounding variables. An r of 0.10 represents a weak association, 0.25 a medium association and 0.40 and over represents strona association. а Unstandardised (b) regression coefficients indicate the average change in the dependent variable associated with a 1 unit change in independent variable, statistically controlling for the other independent variables. regression Standardised coefficients represent the change being in units of standard deviations to allow comparison across different scales.

‡ Inconsistency refers to differing estimates of effect across studies (i.e. heterogeneity or variability results) that in is not explained by subgroup analyses and therefore reduces confidence in the effect estimate. I2 is the percentage of the variability in effect estimates that is due to heterogeneity rather than sampling error (chance) - 0% to 40%: heterogeneity might not be important, 30% to 60%: may represent moderate heterogeneity, 50% to 90%: may represent considerable heterogeneity and over this is considerable heterogeneity. l² can calculated from Q (chi-square) for the test of heterogeneity with the following formula<sup>5</sup>;

$$I^2 = \left(\frac{Q - df}{Q}\right) \times 100\%$$

§ Imprecision refers to wide confidence intervals indicating a lack of confidence in the effect estimate. Based on GRADE recommendations, a result for continuous data (standardised mean differences, not weighted mean differences) is considered imprecise if the upper or lower confidence limit crosses an effect size of 0.5 in either direction, and for binary and correlation data, an effect size of 0.25. GRADE also recommends downgrading the evidence when sample size is smaller than 300 (for binary data) and 400 (for continuous data), although for some topics, these criteria should be relaxed<sup>7</sup>.

Indirectness of comparison occurs when a comparison of intervention A versus B is not available but A was compared with C and B was compared with C that allows indirect comparisons of the magnitude of effect of A Indirectness of population, versus comparator and/or outcome can also occur when the available evidence regarding a particular population, intervention, comparator, or outcome is not available and is therefore inferred from available evidence. These inferred treatment effect sizes are of lower quality than those gained from head-tohead comparisons of A and B.



### SCHIZOPHRENIA LIBRARY

#### Post-traumatic stress disorder

#### References

- 1. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, PRISMAGroup (2009): Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *British Medical Journal* 151: 264-9.
- 2. GRADEWorkingGroup (2004): Grading quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. *British Medical Journal* 328: 1490.
- 3. Achim AM, Maziade M, Raymond E, Olivier D, Merette C, Roy M-A (2011): How prevalent are anxiety disorders in schizophrenia? A meta-analysis and critical review on a significant association. *Schizophrenia Bulletin* 37: 811-21.
- 4. Rodrigues R, Anderson KK (2017): The traumatic experience of first-episode psychosis: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Schizophrenia Research* 09.
- 5. CochraneCollaboration (2008): Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions. Accessed 24/06/2011.
- 6. Rosenthal JA (1996): Qualitative Descriptors of Strength of Association and Effect Size. *Journal of Social Service Research* 21: 37-59.
- 7. GRADEpro (2008): [Computer program]. Jan Brozek, Andrew Oxman, Holger Schünemann. *Version 32 for Windows*