Skin and hair disorders



SCHIZOPHRENIA LIBRARY

Introduction

with schizophrenia People often show increased rates of co-occurring conditions, including skin disorders. Psoriasis is a longterm autoimmune condition that accelerates the life cycle of skin cells. This allows cells to build up on the surface of the skin, which form scales and red patches that are often itchy and painful. Pemphigoid is a rare autoimmune disorder that can develop at any age but is most often seen in elderly patients. It results in skin rashes and blistering on the legs, arms, and abdomen. Alopecia areata is another autoimmune disease, but rather than affecting the skin directly, it is characterized by non-scarring hair loss on the scalp, face or body. Hidradenitis suppurativa is a chronic inflammatory skin disorder of the hair follicles, characterized by recurrent lesions affecting the apocrine glands including nodules, abscesses, fistulas and draining sinus tracts.

Method

We have included only systematic reviews (systematic literature search. detailed methodology with inclusion/exclusion criteria) published in full text, in English, from the year 2000 that report results separately for people with diagnosis of schizophrenia, а schizoaffective disorder. schizophreniform disorder or first episode schizophrenia. Reviews were identified by searching the databases MEDLINE, EMBASE, CINAHL, Current Contents, PsycINFO and the Cochrane library. Hand searching reference lists of identified reviews was also conducted. When multiple copies of reviews were found, only the most recent version was included. Reviews with pooled data are prioritised for inclusion.

Review reporting assessment was guided by the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) checklist that describes a preferred way to present a meta-analysis¹. Reviews rated as having less than 50% of items checked have been excluded from the library. The PRISMA flow diagram is a suggested way of providing information about studies included and excluded with reasons for exclusion. Where no flow diagram has been presented by individual reviews, but identified studies have been described in the text, reviews have been checked for this item. Note that early reviews may have been guided by less stringent reporting checklists than the PRISMA, and that some reviews may have been limited by journal guidelines.

Evidence was graded using the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE) Working Group approach where high quality evidence such as that gained from randomised controlled trials (RCTs) may be downgraded to moderate or low if review and study quality is limited, if there is inconsistency in results, indirect comparisons, imprecise or sparse data and high probability of reporting bias. It may also be downgraded if risks associated with the intervention or other matter under review are high. Conversely, low quality evidence such as that gained from observational studies may be upgraded if effect sizes are large, there is a dose dependent response or if results are reasonably consistent. precise and direct with low associated risks (see end of table for an explanation of these terms)2. The resulting table represents an objective summary of the available evidence, although the conclusions are solely the opinion of staff of NeuRA (Neuroscience Research Australia).

Results

We found three systematic reviews that met our inclusion criteria³⁻⁵.

 Moderate quality evidence finds small increases in rates of psoriasis and pemphigoid in people with schizophrenia or

Neura Discover. Conquer. Cure.

Skin and hair disorders

- other psychotic disorders, with no differences in rates of alopecia areata.
- High quality evidence finds people with hidradenitis suppurativa had higher rates of schizophrenia than people without hidradenitis suppurativa. They also had higher rates of schizophrenia than people with psoriasis.



air disorders SCHIZOPHRENIA LIBRARY

Skin and hair disorders

Cullen AE, Holmes S, Pollak TA, Blackman G, Joyce DW, Kempton MJ, Murray RM, McGuire P, Mondelli V

Associations between non-neurological autoimmune disorders and psychosis: a meta-analysis

Biological Psychiatry 2019; 85: 35-48

View review abstract online

Comparison	Rates of non-neurological autoimmune skin and hair disorders in people with schizophrenia or other psychotic disorders vs. controls.
Summary of evidence	Moderate quality evidence (large samples, mostly inconsistent, imprecise, direct) finds small increases in rates of psoriasis and pemphigoid in people with schizophrenia or other psychotic disorders, with no differences in rates of alopecia areata.

Psoriasis

A small, significant effect of increased rates of psoriasis in people with psychosis; 8 population-level studies, OR = 1.70, 95%Cl 1.51 to 1.91, p < 0.001, $l^2 = 62\%$, p = 0.010

Pemphigoid

A small, significant effect of increased rates of pemphigoid in people with psychosis; 6 population-level studies, OR = 1.90, 95%Cl 1.62 to 2.24, p < 0.001, $l^2 = 14\%$, p = 0.32

Alopecia areata

No significant differences between groups; 3 population-level studies, OR = 0.90, 95%Cl 0.38 to 1.10, p = 0.80, $l^2 = 78\%$, p = 0.010

Consistency in results	Inconsistent, apart from pemphigoid.
Precision in results	Imprecise
Directness of results	Direct

Phan K, Huo YR, Smith SD

Hidradenitis suppurativa and psychiatric comorbidities, suicides, and



Skin and hair disorders

SCHIZOPHRENIA LIBRARY

substance abuse: S	vstematic re	view and n	neta-analysis
Cabctailes abacei e	, otolliatio i o	11011 WIIW II	iota ailaiyolo

Annals of Translational Medicine 2020; 8: 821

View review abstract online

Comparison	Rates of schizophrenia in people with hidradenitis suppurativa vs. controls without hidradenitis suppurativa.
Summary of evidence	High quality evidence (large sample, consistent, precise, direct) finds people with hidradenitis suppurativa had higher rates of schizophrenia than people without hidradenitis suppurativa. They also had higher rates of schizophrenia than people with psoriasis.

Hidradenitis suppurativa

People with hidradenitis suppurativa had a small, significantly higher rate of schizophrenia; 4 studies, N = 87,137,131, OR = 1.66, 95%CI 1.53 to 1.79, p < 0.05, $I^2 = 10\%$

One study also found increased rates of schizophrenia in people with hidradenitis suppurativa compared to people with psoriasis.

Consistency in results	Consistent
Precision in results	Precise
Directness of results	Direct

Ungprasert P, Wijarnpreecha K, Cheungpasitporn W

Patients with schizophrenia have a higher risk of psoriasis: A systematic review and meta-analysis

Psychiatry Research 2018; 259: 422-6

View review abstract online

Comparison	Rates of psoriasis in people with schizophrenia vs. controls.
Summary of evidence	Moderate to low quality evidence (direct, large sample, inconsistent, imprecise) suggests a small increased risk of psoriasis in people with schizophrenia.
Psoriasis	



Skin and hair disorders

SCHIZOPHRENIA LIBRARY

A significant, small effect of increased rates of psoriasis in people with schizophrenia; 6 studies, N ~ 6.1 million, RR = 1.83, 95%CI 1.36 to 2.47, p < 0.05, $I^2 = 73\%$

Subgroup analysis revealed a larger effect size in the 2 cohort studies than in the 4 case-control studies (RR = 2.22 vs. 1.48)

Authors report no evidence of publication bias.

Consistency in results	Inconsistent
Precision in results	Imprecise
Directness of results	Direct

Explanation of acronyms

CI = Confidence Interval, I^2 = the percentage of the variability in effect estimates that is due to heterogeneity rather than sampling error (chance), N = number of participants, OR = odds ratio, p = p-value for statistical significance, RR = relative risk, vs. = versus

Skin and hair disorders



SCHIZOPHRENIA LIBRARY

Explanation of technical terms

Bias has the potential to affect reviews of both RCT and observational studies. Forms of bias include; reporting bias - selective reporting of results; publication bias - trials that are not formally published tend to show less effect than published trials, further if there are statistically significant differences between groups in a trial, these trial results tend to get published before those of trials without significant differences; language bias - only including English language reports; funding bias - source of funding for the primary research with selective reporting of results within primary studies; outcome variable selection bias; database bias including reports from some databases and not others; citation bias - preferential citation of authors. Trials can also be subject to bias when evaluators are not blind to treatment condition and selection bias of participants if trial samples are small⁶.

† Different effect measures are reported by different reviews.

Prevalence refers to how many existing cases there are at a particular point in time. Incidence refers to how many new cases there are per population in a specified time period. Incidence is usually reported as the number of new cases per 100,000 people per year. Alternatively some studies present the number of new cases that have accumulated over several years against a person-years denominator. This denominator is the sum of individual units of time that the persons in the population are at risk of becoming a case. It takes into account the size of the underlying population sample and its age structure over the duration of observation.

Reliability and validity refers to how accurate the instrument is. Sensitivity is the proportion of actual positives that are correctly identified (100% sensitivity = correct identification of all actual positives) and specificity is the proportion of negatives that are correctly identified (100% specificity = not identifying anyone as positive if they are truly not).

Weighted mean difference scores refer to mean differences between treatment and comparison groups after treatment (or occasionally pre to post treatment) and in a randomised trial there is an assumption that both groups are comparable on this measure prior to treatment. Standardised mean differences are divided by the pooled standard deviation (or the standard deviation of one group when groups are homogenous) that allows results from different scales to be combined and compared. Each study's mean difference is then given a weighting depending on the size of the sample and the variability in the data. 0.2 represents a small effect, 0.5 a moderate effect, and 0.8 and over represents a large effect⁶.

Odds ratio (OR) or relative risk (RR) refers to the probability of a reduction (< 1) or an increase (> 1) in a particular outcome in a treatment group, or a group exposed to a risk factor, relative to the comparison group. For example, a RR of 0.75 translates to a reduction in risk of an outcome of 25% relative to those not receiving the treatment or not exposed to the risk factor. Conversely, a RR of 1.25 translates to an increased risk of 25% relative to those not receiving treatment or not having been exposed to a risk factor. A RR or OR of 1.00 means there is no difference between groups. A medium effect is considered if RR > 2 or < 0.5 and a large effect if RR > 5 or < 0.27. InOR stands for logarithmic OR where a InOR of 0 shows no difference between groups. Hazard ratios measure the effect of an explanatory variable on the hazard or risk of an event.

Skin and hair disorders



SCHIZOPHRENIA LIBRARY

Correlation coefficients (eg, r) indicate the strength of association or relationship between variables. They can provide an indirect indication of prediction, but do not confirm causality due to possible and often unforseen confounding variables. An r of 0.10 represents a weak association, 0.25 a medium association and 0.40 and over represents strona association. а Unstandardized (b) regression coefficients indicate the average change in the dependent variable associated with a 1 unit change in independent variable, statistically controlling for the other independent variables. Standardized regression coefficients represent the change being in of standard deviations to allow comparison across different scales.

‡ Inconsistency refers to differing estimates of effect across studies (i.e. heterogeneity or variability results) that in is not explained by subgroup analyses and therefore reduces confidence in the effect estimate. I2 is the percentage of the variability in effect estimates that is due to heterogeneity rather than sampling error (chance) - 0% to 40%: heterogeneity might not be important, 30% to 60%: may represent moderate heterogeneity, 50% to 90%: may represent considerable heterogeneity and over this is considerable heterogeneity. l² can calculated from Q (chi-square) for the test of heterogeneity with the following formula⁶;

$$I^2 = \left(\frac{Q - df}{Q}\right) \times 100\%$$

§ Imprecision refers to wide confidence intervals indicating a lack of confidence in the effect estimate. Based on GRADE recommendations, a result for continuous data (standardised mean differences, not weighted mean differences) is considered imprecise if the upper or lower confidence limit crosses an effect size of 0.5 in either direction, and for binary and correlation data, an effect size of 0.25. GRADE also recommends downgrading the evidence when sample size is smaller than 300 (for binary data) and 400 (for continuous data), although for some topics, these criteria should be relaxed⁸.

Indirectness of comparison occurs when a comparison of intervention A versus B is not available but A was compared with C and B was compared with C that allows indirect comparisons of the magnitude of effect of A versus Indirectness of population, comparator and/or outcome can also occur when the available evidence regarding a particular population, intervention, comparator, or outcome is not available and is therefore inferred from available evidence. These inferred treatment effect sizes are of lower quality than those gained from head-tohead comparisons of A and B.



Skin and hair disorders

References

- 1. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, PRISMAGroup (2009): Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *British Medical Journal* 151: 264-9.
- 2. GRADEWorkingGroup (2004): Grading quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. *British Medical Journal* 328: 1490.
- 3. Ungprasert P, Wijarnpreecha K, Cheungpasitporn W (2018): Patients with schizophrenia have a higher risk of psoriasis: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Psychiatry Research* 259: 422-6.
- 4. Cullen AE, Holmes S, Pollak TA, Blackman G, Joyce DW, Kempton MJ, et al. (2019): Associations Between Non-neurological Autoimmune Disorders and Psychosis: A Meta-analysis. *Biological Psychiatry* 85: 35-48.
- 5. Phan K, Huo YR, Smith SD (2020): Hidradenitis suppurativa and psychiatric comorbidities, suicides and substance abuse: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Annals of Translational Medicine* 8: 821.
- 6. CochraneCollaboration (2008): Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions. Accessed 24/06/2011.
- 7. Rosenthal JA (1996): Qualitative Descriptors of Strength of Association and Effect Size. *Journal of Social Service Research* 21: 37-59.
- 8. GRADEpro (2008): [Computer program]. Jan Brozek, Andrew Oxman, Holger Schünemann. Version 32 for Windows