Environmental toxins

Introduction

Exposure to environmental toxins can cause problems to both physical and mental health. Pollutants of main public health interest involve organic and elemental carbons, metals such as lead, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, carbon monoxide, ozone, nitrogen dioxide, sulphur dioxide, and perchloroethylene commonly used in dry cleaning. Being born or raised in an urban environment has been related to a higher incidence of schizophrenia, and air pollution could be one of the explanatory factors.

Method

We have included only systematic reviews (systematic literature search, detailed methodology with inclusion/exclusion criteria) published in full text, in English, from the year 2000 that report results separately for people with а diagnosis of schizophrenia, schizoaffective schizophreniform disorder. disorder or first episode schizophrenia. Reviews were identified by searching the databases MEDLINE, EMBASE, CINAHL, Current Contents, PsycINFO and the Cochrane library. Hand searching reference lists of identified reviews was also conducted. When multiple copies of reviews were found, only the most recent version was included. Reviews with pooled data are prioritised for inclusion.

Review reporting assessment was guided by the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Meta-Analyses Reviews and (PRISMA) checklist that describes a preferred way to present a meta-analysis¹. Reviews with less than 50% of items checked have been excluded from the library. The PRISMA flow diagram is a suggested way of providing information about studies included and excluded with reasons for exclusion. Where no flow diagram has been presented by individual reviews, but identified studies have been described in the text, reviews have been checked for this item. Note that early reviews may have been guided by less stringent



SCHIZOPHRENIA LIBRARY

reporting checklists than the PRISMA, and that some reviews may have been limited by journal guidelines.

Evidence was graded using the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE) Working Group approach where high quality evidence such as that gained from randomised controlled trials (RCTs) may be downgraded to moderate or low if review and study quality is limited, if there is inconsistency in results, indirect comparisons, imprecise or sparse data and high probability of reporting bias. It may also be downgraded if risks associated with the intervention or other matter under review are high. Conversely, low quality evidence such as that gained from observational studies may be upgraded if effect sizes are large or if there is a dose dependent response. We have also taken into account sample size and whether results are consistent, precise and direct with low associated risks (see end of table for an explanation of these terms)². The resulting table represents an objective summary of the available evidence, although the conclusions are solely the opinion of staff of NeuRA (Neuroscience Research Australia).

Results

We found one systematic review that met inclusion criteria³.

 Moderate to low quality evidence suggests exposure to high levels of air pollution may be associated with increased risk for schizophrenia. Prenatal and early childhood exposure to perchloroethylene may also be associated with increased risk of schizophrenia.

Environmental toxins



SCHIZOPHRENIA LIBRARY

Attademo L, Bernardini F, Garinella R, Compton MT

Environmental pollution and risk of psychotic disorders: A review of the science to date

Schizophrenia Research 2017; 181: 55-9

View review abstract online

Comparison	Exposure to environmental toxins.
Summary of evidence	Moderate to low quality evidence (large samples, unable to assess consistency or precision, mostly indirect) suggests exposure to high levels of air pollution may be associated with increased risk for schizophrenia. Prenatal and early childhood exposure to perchloroethylene may also be associated with increased risk of schizophrenia.

Schizophrenia

- 1, 1-year population-level US study found a medium-sized association between increased groundlevel airborne $PM_{2.5}$ and increased number of emergency room admissions for schizophrenia (r = 0.61, p = 0.03).
- 1, 18-year population-level Australian study found a small association between hot days with high concentrations of O_3 and PM_{10} and increased hospital admission for psychosis (OR = 1.03, p < 0.05).
- 1, 30-year Danish study (N = 7,455) found children exposed to the highest traffic levels at birth had a medium to large increased risk of schizophrenia in adulthood (RR = 4.40).

1, 4-7 year population-level Irish study found urban areas with higher air pollution levels than rural areas were associated with a small increased risk of schizophrenia (males IRR = 1.92, females IRR = 1.34). Conversely, the occurrence of affective psychosis was reduced in urban vs. rural areas (males IRR = 0.48, females IRR = 0.60).

1, 3-year population-level Chinese study found an association between increased concentration of airborne PM₁₀, SO₂, and NO₂, and increased hospital admissions for psychosis.

1, 16-month population-level Israeli study found a trend towards an association between solid airsuspended PM in periods with dominant eastern winds and the number of people with an acute psychotic episode.

1 retrospective US study (N = 1,512) found prenatal and early childhood exposure to PCEcontaminated drinking water was associated with a 2.1-fold increased risk of schizophrenia.

1, 21-33 year Israeli study (N = 88,829) found parental work as a dry cleaner (proxy for PCE exposure) was associated with a medium-sized increase risk of schizophrenia in the offspring (RR =

Environmental toxins



SCHIZOPHRENIA LIBRARY

3.4).	
1 Russian study (N not reported) found the quantity of oxygen radicals (e.g. OH) concentrations in serum were significantly higher in people with schizophrenia than in people without schizophrenia.	
Consistency in results	Unable to assess; no measure of consistency is reported.
Precision in results	Unable to assess; no CIs are reported.
Directness of results	Indirect

Explanation of acronyms

CI = confidence interval, CO = carbon monoxide, N = number of participants, NO₂ = nitrogen dioxide, NOx = oxides of nitrogen, O₃ = ozone, OH = hydroxyl radicals, PCE = perchloroethylene, PM_{10} = particulate matter with diameters of 10 µmor less, $PM_{2.5}$ = particulate matter with diameters of 2.5 µm or less, SO_2 = sulfur dioxide

Environmental toxins



SCHIZOPHRENIA LIBRARY

Explanation of technical terms

- Bias has the potential to affect reviews of both RCT and observational studies. Forms of bias include; reporting bias - selective reporting of results; publication bias - trials that are not formally published tend to show less effect than published trials, further if there are statistically significant differences between groups in a trial, these trial results tend to get published before those of trials without significant differences; language bias - only including English language reports; funding bias - source of funding for the primary research with selective reporting of results within primary studies; outcome variable selection bias; database bias including reports from some databases and not others; citation bias - preferential citation of authors. Trials can also be subject to bias when evaluators are not blind to treatment condition and selection bias of participants if trial samples are small⁴.
- † Different effect measures are reported by different reviews.

Prevalence refers to how many existing cases there are at a particular point in time. Incidence refers to how many new cases there are per population in a specified time period. Incidence is usually reported as the number of new cases per 100,000 people per year. Alternatively some studies present the number of new cases that have accumulated over several years against a person-years denominator. This denominator is the sum of individual units of time that the persons in the population are at risk of becoming a case. It takes into account the size of the underlying population sample and its age structure over the duration of observation. Reliability and validity refers to how accurate the instrument is. Sensitivity is the proportion of actual positives that are correctly identified (100% sensitivity = correct identification of all actual positives) and specificity is the proportion of negatives that are correctly identified (100% specificity = not identifying anyone as positive if they are truly not).

Mean difference scores refer to mean differences between treatment and comparison groups after treatment (or occasionally pre to post treatment) and in a randomised trial there is an assumption that both groups are comparable on this measure Standardised mean prior to treatment. differences are divided by the pooled standard deviation (or the standard deviation of one group when groups are homogenous) which allows results from different scales to be combined and compared. Each study's mean difference is then given a weighting depending on the size of the sample and the variability in the data. Less than 0.4 represents a small effect, around 0.5 a medium effect, and over 0.8 represents a large effect⁴.

Odds ratio (OR) or relative risk (RR) refers to the probability of a reduction (< 1) or an increase (> 1) in a particular outcome in a treatment group, or a group exposed to a risk factor, relative to the comparison group. For example, a RR of 0.75 translates to a reduction in risk of an outcome of 25% relative to those not receiving the treatment or not exposed to the risk factor. Conversely, a RR of 1.25 translates to an increased risk of 25% relative to those not receiving treatment or not having been exposed to a risk factor. A RR or OR of 1.00 means there is no difference between groups. A medium effect is considered if RR > 2 or < 0.5 and a large effect if RR > 5 or < 0.2^5 . InOR stands for logarithmic OR where a InOR of 0 shows no difference between groups. Hazard ratios

Environmental toxins



measure the effect of an explanatory variable on the hazard or risk of an event.

Correlation coefficients (eg, r) indicate the strength of association or relationship between variables. They can provide an indirect indication of prediction, but do not confirm causality due to possible and often unforseen confounding variables. An r of 0.10 represents a weak association, 0.25 a medium association and 0.40 and over represents а strong association. Unstandardised (b) regression coefficients indicate the average change in the dependent variable associated with a 1 unit change in the independent variable. statistically controlling for the other independent Standardised variables. regression coefficients represent the change being in units of standard deviations to allow comparison across different scales.

‡ Inconsistency refers to differing estimates of effect across studies (i.e. heterogeneity or variability in results) that is not explained by subgroup analyses and therefore reduces confidence in the effect estimate. I² is the percentage of the variability in effect estimates that is due to heterogeneity rather than sampling error (chance) - 0% to 40%: heterogeneity might not be important, 30% to 60%: may represent moderate heterogeneity, 50% to 90%: may represent considerable heterogeneity and over this is considerable heterogeneity. I² can be calculated from Q (chi-square) for the test of heterogeneity with the following formula⁴;

$$I^2 = \left(\frac{Q - df}{Q}\right) \times 100\%$$

Imprecision refers to wide confidence intervals indicating a lack of confidence in the estimate. Based effect on GRADE recommendations, a result for continuous data (standardised mean differences, not weighted mean differences) is considered imprecise if the upper or lower confidence limit crosses an effect size of 0.5 in either direction, and for binary and correlation data, an effect size of 0.25. GRADE also recommends downgrading the evidence when sample size is smaller than 300 (for binary data) and 400 (for continuous data), although for some topics, these criteria should be relaxed⁶.

Indirectness of comparison occurs when a comparison of intervention A versus B is not available but A was compared with C and B was compared with C that allows indirect comparisons of the magnitude of effect of A В. Indirectness population, versus of comparator and/or outcome can also occur when the available evidence regarding a population, particular intervention, comparator, or outcome is not available and is therefore inferred from available evidence. These inferred treatment effect sizes are of lower quality than those gained from head-tohead comparisons of A and B.

August 2020

NeuRA Environmental toxins

Environmental toxins



SCHIZOPHRENIA LIBRARY

References

- 1. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, PRISMAGroup (2009): Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *British Medical Journal* 151: 264-9.
- 2. GRADEWorkingGroup (2004): Grading quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. *British Medical Journal* 328: 1490.
- 3. Attademo L, Bernardini F, Garinella R, Compton MT (2017): Environmental pollution and risk of psychotic disorders: A review of the science to date. *Schizophrenia Research* 181: 55-9.
- 4. CochraneCollaboration (2008): Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions. Accessed 24/06/2011.
- 5. Rosenthal JA (1996): Qualitative Descriptors of Strength of Association and Effect Size. *Journal of Social Service Research* 21: 37-59.
- 6. GRADEpro (2008): [Computer program]. Jan Brozek, Andrew Oxman, Holger Schünemann. Version 32 for Windows.