### Diffusion tensor imaging

#### Introduction

Diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) is a specialised imaging technique that uses MRI technology to investigate the movement of water within tissues of interest. It is a powerful imaging method for characterising the integrity of white matter circuitry because it links anatomical and functional neuroimaging together.

By applying a magnetic field, the movement ("diffusivity") of water molecules can be visualised in vivo. The diffusion of water is influenced by the cellular structure of the surrounding tissues, and measures such as fractional anisotropy (FA) were derived as an approximate measurement for the freedom of movement. In areas of high structural coherence such as white matter (WM), FA is highest, indicating that water is moving in relatively fixed directions. It is lower in grey matter (GM), and close to zero in cerebrospinal fluid (CSF), indicating that water is moving freely. Consequently, changes in FA values are interpreted to be representing alterations in the structural integrity of the regional white matter. However, as alterations in FA cannot be linked directly to specific tissue characteristics, such as myelination, other measures including radial diffusivity (RD) have been investigated to determine the degree of myelination.

Region-of-interest (ROI) studies assess white matter integrity in individual brain regions, while voxel-based analyses (VBA) assess whole brain white matter integrity. Tract-based spatial statistics (TBSS) isolates the central core of white matter tracts with the highest FA and reports significant clusters within that white matter skeleton. Three classes of white matter tracts have been identified. Commissural tracts connect the two hemispheres of the brain, association tracts connect regions within the same hemisphere, and projection tracts connect each region to other parts of the brain or spinal cord.

Understanding neurological structural alterations using DTI in people with bipolar



disorder may provide insight into the molecular neurobiology of aberrant neurotransmission, by highlighting brain regions where reduced cellular integrity may contribute to symptom expression.

#### Method

We have included only systematic reviews literature (systematic search. detailed methodology with inclusion/exclusion criteria) published in full text, in English, from the year 2010 that report results separately for people with a diagnosis of bipolar or related disorders. Reviews were identified by searching the databases MEDLINE. EMBASE. and PsycINFO. Hand searching reference lists of identified reviews was also conducted. When multiple copies of review topics were found, only the most recent and comprehensive version was included. Reviews with pooled data are prioritised for inclusion.

Review reporting assessment was guided by the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) checklist that describes a preferred way to present a meta-analysis. Reviews were assigned a low, medium or high possibility of reporting bias\* depending on how many items were checked. Reviews rated as having less than 50% of items checked have now been excluded from the library. The PRISMA flow diagram is a suggested way of providing information about studies included and excluded with reasons for exclusion. Where no flow diagram has been presented by individual reviews, but identified studies have been described in the text, reviews have been checked for this item. Note that early reviews may have been guided by less stringent reporting checklists than the PRISMA, and that some reviews may have been limited by journal guidelines.

Evidence was graded using the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation (<u>GRADE</u>) Working Group approach where high quality evidence such as that gained from randomised controlled trials

### Diffusion tensor imaging

(RCTs) may be downgraded to moderate or low if review and study quality is limited, if there is inconsistency in results, indirect comparisons, imprecise or sparse data and high probability of reporting bias. It may also be downgraded if risks associated with the intervention or other matter under review are high. Conversely, low quality evidence such as that gained from observational studies may be upgraded if effect sizes are large, there is a dose dependent response or if results are reasonably consistent, precise and direct with low associated risks (see end of table for an explanation of these terms)<sup>1</sup>. The resulting table represents an objective summary of the available evidence, although the conclusions are solely the opinion of staff of NeuRA (Neuroscience Research Australia).

#### Results

We found three systematic reviews that met our inclusion criteria  $^{2\text{-}4}\!\!\!\!\!$  .

- Moderate quality evidence finds decreased fractional anisotropy and increased radical diffusivity in the right corpus callosum, anterior thalamic radiations, fronto-orbitopolar tract, and superior longitudinal fasciculus of people with bipolar disorder. Fractional anisotropy showed additional reductions in the right interstriatal white matter of patients, and radical diffusivity showed additional increases in the right corticospinal tract of patients.
- Moderate quality evidence suggests similar decreases in white matter integrity in the genu of the corpus callosum extending to anterior thalamic radiation/cingulum fibers/inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus, and in left posterior cingulum fibers of people with bipolar disorder or people with schizophrenia.
- Moderate quality evidence finds decreases in white matter integrity in relatives of people with bipolar disorder in the right corpus callosum body, left corpus callosum splenium, and the left corticospinal tract.



### Diffusion tensor imaging



Dong D, Wang Y, Chang X, Jiang Y, Klugah-Brown B, Luo C, Yao D

# Shared abnormality of white matter integrity in schizophrenia and bipolar disorder: A comparative voxel-based meta-analysis

#### Schizophrenia Research 2017; 185: 41-50

View online review abstract

Comparison 1	Whole brain white matter integrity in people with bipolar disorder vs. controls.
Summary of evidence	Moderate quality evidence (large sample, some inconsistency, unable to assess precision, direct) suggests decreases in white matter integrity in patients in the left cingulum fibers extending to genu of corpus callosum (forceps minor)/anterior thalamic radiation/inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus/uncinate fasciculus, the right anterior superior longitudinal fasciculus, and the right anterior thalamic projections.

#### White matter integrity

#### 23 studies, N = 1,384

Significant decreases in white matter integrity in people with bipolar disorder were found in;

Left cingulum fibers extending to genu of corpus callosum (forceps minor)/anterior thalamic radiation/inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus/uncinate fasciculus: 720 voxels, MNI = -14,-32, 36, *p* < 0.001

Right anterior superior longitudinal fasciculus: 63 voxels, MNI = 30, 26, 16, p < 0.001

Right anterior thalamic projections: 21 voxels, MNI = 16, 12, 2, p < 0.001

Meta-regression analysis indicated that the reduced white matter in the left cingulum fibers was associated with shorter illness duration and younger age.

There were no significant moderating effects of image acquisition parameters, bipolar disorder type, or euthymia.

Comparison 2	Whole brain white matter integrity in people with bipolar disorder vs. people with schizophrenia, controlling for age, sex and illness duration.
Summary of evidence	Moderate quality evidence (large sample, some inconsistency, unable to assess precision, direct) suggests similar decreases in white matter integrity in the genu of the corpus callosum extending to anterior thalamic radiation/cingulum fibers/inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus, and in left posterior cingulum fibers.

NeuRA Diffusion tensor imaging

### Diffusion tensor imaging



White matter integrity		
47 studies, N = 1,459		
Both groups showed significant decreases compared to controls, with no differences between patients in;		
The genu of the corpus callosum extending to anterior thalamic radiation/cingulum fibers/inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus: 288 voxels, MNI = -18, 38, 2, <i>p</i> < 0.001		
Left posterior cingulum fibers: 74 voxels, MNI = -18, -36, 34, $p < 0.001$		
Consistency in results <sup>‡</sup>	Authors report some of the results were inconsistent.	
Precision in results <sup>§</sup>	Unable to assess; no measure of precision is reported (CIs).	
Directness of results <sup>  </sup>	Direct	

Hu R, Stavish C, Leibenluft E, Linke JO

White Matter Microstructure in Individuals With and At Risk for Bipolar Disorder: Evidence for an Endophenotype From a Voxel-Based Metaanalysis

Biological Psychiatry: Cognitive Neuroscience and Neuroimaging 2020; 5: 1104-13

View online review abstract

Comparison 1	White matter integrity in people with bipolar disorder vs. controls.
Summary of evidence	Moderate quality evidence (large sample, inconsistent, unable to assess precision, direct) finds decreased fractional anisotropy and increased radical diffusivity in the right corpus callosum, anterior thalamic radiations, fronto-orbito-polar tract, and superio longitudinal fasciculus of people with bipolar disorder. Fractional anisotropy showed additional reductions in the right interstriatal white matter of patients, and radical diffusivity showed additional increases in the right corticospinal tract of patients.
	Fractional anisotropy
	57 studies, N = 4,631
Significant decreases	in fractional anisotropy in people with bipolar disorder were found in;

Right corpus callosum and right anterior thalamic radiations: 2,089 voxels, MNI = 24, 34, 8, p <

0.00001

Right corpus callosum: 33 voxels, MNI = 14, 40, 36, *p* = 0.00001; 22 voxels, MNI = 20, 246, 54, *p* 

### Diffusion tensor imaging



#### = 0.00001

Left superior longitudinal fasciculus: 42 voxels, MNI = 242, 250, 36, p = 0.00007

Right interstriatal: 31 voxels, MNI = 12, 0, 210, p = 0.00025

The effects in the corpus callosum were strongest in older people. The effects in the fronto-orbitopolar tract and the superior longitudinal fasciculus were strongest in younger people.

Females showed greater decreases in the anterior corpus callosum, fronto-orbito-polar tract and the superior longitudinal fasciculus, while males showed greater decreases in the posterior corpus callosum and the arcuate fasciculus.

Manic symptoms were associated with more decreases in the posterior corpus callosum, while depressive symptoms were associated with more decreases in the right corticospinal tract, anterior thalamic radiations, and bilateral fronto-orbito-polar tract.

Lithium and antispsychotics were associated with less pronounced fractional anisotropy changes.

A conjunction analysis revealed both reduced fractional anisotropy and increased radical diffusivity in the right corpus callosum, anterior thalamic radiations, fronto-orbito-polar tract, and superior longitudinal fasciculus.

#### Radial diffusivity

15 studies, N = 981

Significant increases in radical diffusivity in people with bipolar disorder were found in;

Right corpus callosum and right anterior thalamic radiations: 603 voxels, MNI = 24, 34, 8, p < 0.00001

Right corticospinal tract: 101 voxels, MNI = 26, 220, 34, *p* < 0.00001

Left superior longitudinal fasciculus: 48 voxels, MNI = 230, 218, 34, p = 0.00013

Right fronto-orbito-polar tract: 38 voxels, MNI = 16, 32, 216, p = 0.00008

Comparison 2	White matter integrity in relatives of people with bipolar disorder vs. controls.
Summary of evidence	Moderate quality evidence (large sample, inconsistent, unable to assess precision, direct) finds decreases in fractional anisotropy in relatives in the right corpus callosum body, left corpus callosum splenium, and left corticospinal tract.
	Fractional anisotropy
	10 studies, N = 753
Significant o	lecreases in fractional anisotropy in relatives were found in;
Right corpus	s callosum body: 80 voxels, MNI = 26, 256, 24, <i>p</i> < 0.00001
Left corpus ca	llosum splenium: 52 voxels, MNI = 212, 232, 26, <i>p</i> < 0.00024



### Diffusion tensor imaging

Left corticospinal tract: 37 voxels, MNI = 224, 220, 34, <i>p</i> = 0.00047	
Consistency in results	Authors report data were inconsistent.
Precision in results	Unable to assess; no measure of precision is reported (CIs).
Directness of results	Direct

Yang C, Li L, Hu X, Luo Q, Kuang W, Lui S, Huang X, Dai J, He M, Kemp GJ, Sweeney JA, Gong Q

Psychoradiologic abnormalities of white matter in patients with bipolar disorder: Diffusion tensor imaging studies using tract-based spatial statistics

#### Journal of Psychiatry and Neuroscience 2019; 44: 32-44

View online review abstract

Comparison	White matter integrity in people with bipolar disorder vs. controls
Summary of evidence	Moderate quality evidence (large sample, consistent, unable to assess precision, direct) suggests decreases in white matter integrity in patients in the genu and body of the corpus callosum.
	White matter integrity
	18 studies, N = 1,179
Significant decreases	n white matter integrity in people with bipolar disorder were found in;
	71 clusters in the genu of the corpus callosum
	2 clusters in the body of the corpus callosum
	nning through these regions provide interhemispheric connections to the bitofrontal, inferior temporal, and superior parietal cortices.
	ng effects of depression severity, age, age at onset or illness duration. ated with less white matter reduction in the body of the corpus callosum.
Consistency in results	Authors report the results were consistent.
Precision in results	Unable to assess; no measure of precision is reported (CIs).
Directness of results	Direct

NeuRA Diffusion tensor imaging

December 2021

Diffusion tensor imaging



### Explanation of acronyms

CI = confidence interval, MNI = Montreal Neurological Institute, N = number of participants, p =
probability of obtaining that result ( $p < 0.05$ generally regarded as significant), vs. = versus.

### Diffusion tensor imaging



### Explanation of technical terms

\* Bias has the potential to affect reviews of both RCT and observational studies. Forms of bias include; reporting bias - selective reporting of results, publication bias - trials that are not formally published tend to show less effect than published trials, further if there are statistically significant differences between groups in a trial, these trial results tend to get published before those of trials without significant differences: language bias - only including English language reports; funding bias - source of funding for the primary research with selective reporting of results within primary studies; outcome variable selection bias; database bias including reports from some databases and not others; citation bias - preferential citation of authors. Trials can also be subject to bias when evaluators are not blind to treatment condition and selection bias of participants if trial samples are small<sup>5</sup>.

† Different effect measures are reported by different reviews.

Prevalence refers to how many existing cases there are at a particular point in time. Incidence refers to how many new cases there are per population in a specified time period. Incidence is usually reported as the number of new cases per 100,000 people per year. Alternatively some studies present the number of new cases that have accumulated over several years against a person-years denominator. This denominator is the sum of individual units of time that the persons in the population are at risk of becoming a case. It takes into account the size of the underlying population sample and its age structure over the duration of observation.

Weighted mean difference scores refer to mean differences between treatment and

comparison groups after treatment (or occasionally pre to post treatment) and in a randomised trial there is an assumption that both groups are comparable on this measure prior to treatment. Standardised mean differences are divided by the pooled standard deviation (or the standard deviation of one group when groups are homogenous) that allows results from different scales to be combined and compared. Each study's mean difference is then given a weighting depending on the size of the sample and the variability in the data. 0.2 represents a small effect, 0.5 a medium effect, and 0.8 and over represents a large treatment effect<sup>5</sup>.

Reliability and validity refers to how accurate the instrument is. Sensitivity is the proportion of actual positives that are correctly identified (100% sensitivity = correct identification of all actual positives) and specificity is the proportion of negatives that are correctly identified (100% specificity = not identifying anyone as positive if they are truly not).

Odds ratio (OR) or relative risk (RR) refers to the probability of a reduction (< 1) or an increase (> 1) in a particular outcome in a treatment group, or a group exposed to a risk factor, relative to the comparison group. For example, a RR of 0.75 translates to a reduction in risk of an outcome of 25% relative to those not receiving the treatment or not exposed to the risk factor. Conversely, an RR of 1.25 translates to an increased risk of 25% relative to those not receiving treatment or not having been exposed to a risk factor. An RR or OR of 1.00 means there is no difference between groups. A medium effect is considered if RR > 2 or < 0.5 and a large effect if RR > 5 or <  $0.2^6$ . InOR stands for logarithmic OR where a InOR of 0 shows no difference between groups. Hazard ratios measure the effect of an explanatory variable on the hazard or risk of an event.

Correlation coefficients (eg, r) indicate the strength of association or relationship

### Diffusion tensor imaging

between variables. They are an indication of prediction, but do not confirm causality due to possible and often unforseen confounding variables. An r of 0.10 represents a weak association, 0.25 a medium association and 0.40 and over represents a strong association. Unstandardised (b) regression coefficients indicate the average change in the dependent variable associated with a 1 unit change in the dependent variable, statistically controlling for the other independent variables. Standardised regression coefficients represent the change being in units of standard deviations to allow comparison across different scales.

‡ Inconsistency refers to differing estimates of effect across studies (i.e. heterogeneity or variability in results) that is not explained by subgroup analyses and therefore reduces confidence in the effect estimate. I<sup>2</sup> is the percentage of the variability in effect estimates that is due to heterogeneity rather than sampling error (chance) - 0% to 40%: heterogeneity might not be important, 30% to 60%: may represent moderate heterogeneity, 50% to 90%: may represent substantial heterogeneity and 75% to 100%: considerable heterogeneity. I2 can be calculated from Q (chi-square) for the test of heterogeneity with the following formula;

$$I^2 = \left(\frac{Q - df}{Q}\right) \times 100\%$$

§ Imprecision refers to wide confidence intervals indicating a lack of confidence in the effect estimate. Based on GRADE recommendations, a result for continuous data (standardised mean differences, not weighted mean differences) is considered imprecise if the upper or lower confidence limit crosses an effect size of 0.5 in either direction, and for binary and correlation data, an effect size of 0.25. GRADE also recommends downgrading the evidence when



sample size is smaller than 300 (for binary data) and 400 (for continuous data), although for some topics, these criteria should be relaxed<sup>7</sup>.

Indirectness of comparison occurs when a comparison of intervention A versus B is not available but A was compared with C and B was compared with C that allows indirect comparisons of the magnitude of effect of A Indirectness versus B. of population, comparator and/or outcome can also occur when the available evidence regarding a population, intervention, particular comparator, or outcome is not available and is therefore inferred from available evidence. These inferred treatment effect sizes are of lower quality than those gained from head-tohead comparisons of A and B.

NeuRA Diffusion tensor imaging

Page 9

### Diffusion tensor imaging



### References

- 1. GRADEWorkingGroup (2004): Grading quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. *British Medical Journal* 328: 1490.
- 2. Yang C, Li L, Hu X, Luo Q, Kuang W, Lui S, *et al.* (2019): Psychoradiologic abnormalities of white matter in patients with bipolar disorder: Diffusion tensor imaging studies using tract-based spatial statistics. *Journal of Psychiatry and Neuroscience* 44: 32-44.
- 3. Dong D, Wang Y, Chang X, Jiang Y, Klugah-Brown B, Luo C, *et al.* (2017): Shared abnormality of white matter integrity in schizophrenia and bipolar disorder: A comparative voxel-based metaanalysis. *Schizophrenia Research* 185: 41-50.
- 4. Hu Ŕ, Stavish Ć, Leibenluft E, Linke JO (2020): White Matter Microstructure in Individuals With and At Risk for Bipolar Disorder: Evidence for an Endophenotype From a Voxel-Based Meta-analysis. *Biological Psychiatry: Cognitive Neuroscience and Neuroimaging* 5: 1104-13.
- 5. CochraneCollaboration (2008): Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions. Accessed 24/06/2011.
- 6. Rosenthal JA (1996): Qualitative Descriptors of Strength of Association and Effect Size. *Journal of Social Service Research* 21: 37-59.
- 7. GRADEpro (2008): [Computer program]. Jan Brozek, Andrew Oxman, Holger Schünemann. Version 32 for Windows