# NeuRA Discover. Conquer. Cure.

### SCHIZOPHRENIA LIBRARY

#### Therapies for positive symptoms

#### Introduction

Pharmacological therapies alone may provide insufficient respite from the symptoms of schizophrenia. Positive symptoms include hallucinations and delusions (or reality distortion symptoms) and are wellа documented feature of schizophrenia. Psychosocial therapies may provide a clinical adjunct to pharmacological therapy, and include cognitive behavioural therapy (CBT), hallucination focused integrative treatment, acceptance and commitment therapy, experience focused counselling. family intervention, metacognitive training, mindfulness. social skills training, and supportive therapy.

#### Method

We have included only systematic reviews literature (systematic search, detailed methodology with inclusion/exclusion criteria) published in full text, in English, from the year 2000 that report results separately for people with diagnosis of schizophrenia, schizoaffective disorder, schizophreniform disorder or first episode schizophrenia. Reviews were identified by searching the databases MEDLINE, EMBASE, CINAHL, Current Contents, PsycINFO and the Cochrane library. Hand searching reference lists of identified reviews was also conducted. When multiple copies of reviews were found, only the most recent version was included. Reviews with pooled data are prioritised for inclusion.

Review reporting assessment was guided by the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Meta-Analyses Reviews and (PRISMA) checklist that describes a preferred way to present a meta-analysis1. Reviews rated as having less than 50% of items checked have been excluded from the library. The PRISMA flow diagram is a suggested way of providing about information studies included excluded with reasons for exclusion. Where no flow diagram has been presented by individual reviews, but identified studies have been described in the text, reviews have been checked for this item. Note that early reviews may have been guided by less stringent reporting checklists than the PRISMA, and that some reviews may have been limited by journal guidelines.

Evidence was graded using the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE) Working Group approach where high quality evidence such as that gained from randomised controlled trials (RCTs) may be downgraded to moderate or low if review and study quality is limited, if there is inconsistency in results, indirect comparisons, imprecise or sparse data and high probability of reporting bias. It may also be downgraded if risks associated with the intervention or other matter under review are high. Conversely, low quality evidence such as that gained from observational studies may be upgraded if effect sizes are large, there is a dose dependent response or results are reasonably if consistent, precise and direct with associated risks (see end of table for an explanation of these terms)2. The resulting table represents an objective summary of the available evidence, although the conclusions are solely the opinion of staff of NeuRA (Neuroscience Research Australia).

#### **Results**

We found one systematic review that met our inclusion criteria<sup>3</sup>.

 Moderate to high quality evidence shows small effects of greater improvement in positive symptoms with CBT when compared to treatment as usual or inactive controls. Lower quality evidence suggests hallucination focused integrative treatment may also improve positive symptoms when compared to treatment as usual. There were no other significant comparisons.

NeuRA

Therapies for positive symptoms

June 2019



#### SCHIZOPHRENIA LIBRARY

#### Therapies for positive symptoms

Bighelli I, Salanti G, Huhn M, Schneider-Thoma J, Krause M, Reitmeir C, Wallis S, Schwermann F, Pitschel-Walz G, Barbui C, Furukawa TA, Leucht S

Psychological interventions to reduce positive symptoms in schizophrenia: systematic review and network meta-analysis

World Psychiatry 2018; 17: 316-29

View review abstract online

Comparison	Psychosocial interventions for the positive symptoms of schizophrenia vs. inactive or active comparison conditions.
Summary of evidence	Moderate to high quality evidence (unclear sample size, consistent, precise, direct) shows small effects of greater improvement in positive symptoms with CBT when compared to treatment as usual or inactive controls. Lower quality evidence (1 study, imprecise) suggests hallucination focused integrative treatment may also improve positive symptoms when compared to treatment as usual.

#### Positive symptoms

There were significant benefits of CBT for improving positive symptoms when compared to inactive control or treatment as usual (small effects);

vs. inactive controls: 7 RCTs, unclear sample size, SMD = -0.34, 95%CI -0.60 to -0.07, p < 0.05 vs. treatment as usual: 18 RCTs, unclear sample size, SMD = -0.28, 95%CI -0.44 to -0.12, p < 0.05

Authors report the comparison with treatment as usual was not moderated by number of sessions, study duration, setting (individual vs. group), therapist's expertise and symptom severity at baseline.

There was a significant benefit of improved positive symptoms with hallucination focused integrative treatment when compared to treatment as usual (medium-sized effect);

1 RCT, unclear sample size, SMD = -0.69, 95%Cl -1.35 to -0.04, p < 0.05

Network meta-analysis (lower quality indirect evidence) also found significant benefits of CBT and hallucination focused integrative treatment over supportive therapy.

There were no other significant differences between any other psychosocial intervention or when compared to inactive control or treatment as usual.

Consistency in results	Authors report results are consistent.
Precision in results	Precise for CBT comparisons.
Directness of results	Direct (pairwise meta-analyses)



## Therapies for positive symptoms

## SCHIZOPHRENIA LIBRARY

#### Explanation of acronyms

CBT = cognitive behavioural therapy, CI = confidence interval, p = statistical probability of obtaining that result (p < 0.05 generally regarded as significant), RCT = randomised controlled trial, SMD = standardised mean difference, vs. = versus

# Neural Ne

## SCHIZOPHRENIA LIBRARY

#### Therapies for positive symptoms

#### Explanation of technical terms

\* Bias has the potential to affect reviews of both RCT and observational studies. Forms of bias include; publication bias - trials that are not formally published tend to show less effect than published trials, further if there are statistically significant differences between groups in a trial, these trial results tend to get published before those of trials without significant differences: language bias - only including English language reports; funding bias - source of funding for the primary research with selective reporting of results within primary studies; outcome variable selection bias; database bias - including reports from some databases and not others; citation bias - preferential citation of authors. Trials can also be subject to bias when evaluators are not blind to treatment condition and selection bias of participants if trial samples are small<sup>4</sup>.

† Different effect measures are reported by different reviews.

Prevalence refers to how many existing cases there are at a particular point in time. Incidence refers to how many new cases there are per population in a specified time period. Incidence is usually reported as the number of new cases per 100,000 people per year. Alternatively some studies present the number of new cases that have accumulated over several years against a person-years denominator. This denominator is the sum of individual units of time that the persons in the population are at risk of becoming a case. It takes into account the size of the underlying population sample and its age structure over the duration of observation.

Reliability and validity refers to how accurate the instrument is. Sensitivity is the proportion of actual positives that are correctly identified (100% sensitivity = correct identification of all actual positives) and specificity is the proportion of negatives that are correctly identified (100% specificity = not identifying anyone as positive if they are truly not).

Weighted mean difference scores refer to mean differences between treatment and comparison groups after treatment (or occasionally pre to post treatment) and in a randomised trial there is an assumption that both groups are comparable on this measure prior to treatment. Standardised mean differences are divided by the pooled standard deviation (or the standard deviation of one group when groups are homogenous) that allows results from different scales to be combined and compared. Each study's mean difference is then given a weighting depending on the size of the sample and the variability in the data. 0.2 represents a small effect, 0.5 a medium effect, and 0.8 and over represents a large effect4.

Odds ratio (OR) or relative risk (RR) refers to the probability of a reduction (< 1) or an increase (> 1) in a particular outcome in a treatment group, or a group exposed to a risk factor, relative to the comparison group. For example, a RR of 0.75 translates to a reduction in risk of an outcome of 25% relative to those not receiving the treatment or not exposed to the risk factor. Conversely, a RR of 1.25 translates to an increased risk of 25% relative to those not receiving treatment or not having been exposed to a risk factor. A RR or OR of 1.00 means there is no difference between groups. A medium effect is considered if RR > 2 or < 0.5 and a large effect if RR > 5 or < 0.25. InOR stands for logarithmic OR where a InOR of 0 shows no difference between groups. Hazard ratios

## Neura Neura Discover. Conquer. Cure.

### SCHIZOPHRENIA LIBRARY

#### Therapies for positive symptoms

measure the effect of an explanatory variable on the hazard or risk of an event.

Correlation coefficients (eg, r) indicate the strength of association or relationship between variables. They are an indication of prediction, but do not confirm causality due to possible and often unforseen confounding variables. An r of 0.10 represents a weak association, 0.25 a medium association and 0.40 and over represents strona association. Unstandardised (b) regression coefficients indicate the average change in the dependent variable associated with a 1 unit change in the independent variable, the statistically controlling for other independent variables. Standardised regression coefficients represent the change being in units of standard deviations to allow comparison across different scales.

‡ Inconsistency refers to differing estimates of effect across studies (i.e. heterogeneity or variability in results) is not explained by subgroup analyses and therefore reduces confidence in the effect estimate. I2 is the percentage of the variability in effect estimates that is due to heterogeneity rather than sampling error (chance) - 0% to 40%: heterogeneity might not be important, 30% to 60%: may represent moderate heterogeneity, 50% to 90%: may considerable heterogeneity and over this is heterogeneity. considerable |2 can calculated from Q (chi-square) for the test of heterogeneity with the following formula4;

$$I^2 = \left(\frac{Q - df}{Q}\right) \times 100\%$$

Imprecision refers to wide confidence intervals indicating a lack of confidence in the effect estimate. Based on GRADE recommendations, a result for continuous data (standardised mean differences, not weighted mean differences) is considered imprecise if the upper or lower confidence limit crosses an effect size of 0.5 in either direction, and for binary and correlation data, an effect size of 0.25. GRADE also recommends downgrading the evidence when sample size is smaller than 300 (for binary data) and 400 (for continuous data), although for some topics, these criteria should be relaxed6.

Indirectness of comparison occurs when a comparison of intervention A versus B is not available but A was compared with C and B was compared with C that allows indirect comparisons of the magnitude of effect of A Indirectness versus B. of population, comparator and/or outcome can also occur when the available evidence regarding a particular population, intervention. comparator, or outcome is not available and is therefore inferred from available evidence. These inferred treatment effect sizes are of lower quality than those gained from head-tohead comparisons of A and B.



#### Therapies for positive symptoms

#### References

- 1. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, PRISMAGroup (2009): Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *British Medical Journal* 151: 264-9.
- 2. GRADEWorkingGroup (2004): Grading quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. *British Medical Journal* 328: 1490.
- 3. Bighelli I, Salanti G, Huhn M, Schneider-Thoma J, Krause M, Reitmeir C, et al. (2018): Psychological interventions to reduce positive symptoms in schizophrenia: systematic review and network meta-analysis. *World Psychiatry* 17: 316-29.
- 4. CochraneCollaboration (2008): Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions. Accessed 24/06/2011.
- 5. Rosenthal JA (1996): Qualitative Descriptors of Strength of Association and Effect Size. *Journal of Social Service Research* 21: 37-59.
- 6. GRADEpro (2008): [Computer program]. Jan Brozek, Andrew Oxman, Holger Schünemann. *Version 32 for Windows*.