# Neura Discover. Conquer. Cure.

# All for social functioning

#### Introduction

Social and interpersonal skills are a key aspect preventing some people with schizophrenia from integrating within the community and living a satisfying life. Programs targeting the development of social skills are designed to allow people with severe mental disorders such as schizophrenia to achieve greater social and community functioning. Antipsychotics can also improve social skills.

#### Method

NeuRA

We have included only systematic reviews literature (systematic search, detailed methodology with inclusion/exclusion criteria) published in full text, in English, from the year 2000 that report results separately for people with diagnosis of schizophrenia. schizoaffective disorder, schizophreniform disorder or first episode schizophrenia. searching Reviews were identified by MEDLINE, EMBASE, CINAHL. Current Contents, PsycINFO and the Cochrane Library databases. Hand searching reference lists of identified reviews was also conducted. When multiple copies of reviews were found, only the most recent version was included. Reviews with pooled data are prioritised for inclusion.

Review reporting assessment was guided by the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic (PRISMA) Reviews and Meta-Analyses checklist that describes a preferred way to present a meta-analysis<sup>1</sup>. Reviews rated as less than 50% of items checked have now been excluded from the library. The PRISMA flow diagram is a suggested way of providing information about studies included excluded with reasons for exclusion. Where no flow diagram has been presented by individual reviews, but identified studies have been described in the text, reviews have been checked for this item. Note that early reviews

may have been guided by less stringent reporting checklists than the PRISMA, and that some reviews may have been limited by journal guidelines.

Evidence was graded using the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE) Working Group approach where high quality evidence such as that gained from randomised controlled trials (RCT) may be downgraded to moderate or low if review and study quality is limited, if there is inconsistency in results, indirect comparisons, imprecise or sparse data and high probability of reporting bias. It may also be downgraded if risks associated with the intervention or other matter under review are high. Conversely, low quality evidence such as that gained from observational studies may be upgraded if effect sizes are large or if there is a dose dependent response. We have also taken into account sample size and whether results are consistent, precise and direct with low associated risks (see end of table for an explanation of these terms). The resulting table represents an objective summary of the available evidence. although the conclusions are solely the opinion of staff of NeuRA (Neuroscience Research Australia).

#### Results

We found one systematic review that met our inclusion criteria<sup>2</sup>.

 Moderate to high quality evidence (in order of descending effects, first being best), suggests there were improvements in social functioning with thioridazine, olanzapine, paliperidone, quetiapine, lurasidone, and brexpiprazole compared to placebo. There were no improvements with aripiprazole,

# NeuRA Discover. Conquer. Cure.

### SCHIZOPHRENIA LIBRARY

# All for social functioning

sertindole, amisulpride, ziprasidone, flupentixol, and risperidone.

- For side effects (in order of first being best), there was a large effect of less use of antiparkinson drugs with clozapine, and more use of antiparkinson drugs with paliperidone, ziprasidone, risperidone, lurasidone, zotepine, cariprazine, sulpiride. chlorpromazine, perphenazine, molindone, zuclopenthixol, trifluoperazine, flupentixol, haloperidol, loxapine, penfluridol, fluphenazine, chlorpromazine, thiotixene and pimozide.
- There was more akathisia with aripiprazole, ziprasidone, thioridazine, asenapine, amisulpride, chlorpromazine, thiotixene, risperidone, cariprazine, loxapine, haloperidol, lurasidone, trifluoperazine, sulpiride, molindone, penfluridol, pimozide, fluphenazine, flupentixol, and zuclopenthixol.
- There was weiaht more gain with amisulpride, haloperidol, asenapine, risperidone, paliperidone. clozapine, quetiapine, iloperidone, chlorpromazine, sertindole, olanzapine, and zotepine.
- There was less prolactin elevation with aripiprazole, clozapine, and zotepine, and more prolactin elevation with olanzapine, asenapine, lurasidone, sertindole, haloperidol, amisulpride, risperidone, and paliperidone.
- There was more sedation with aripiprazole, lurasidone, haloperidol, risperidone, asenapine, loxapine, olanzapine, chlorpromazine, thioridazine, thiotixene, ziprasidone, perazine, clozapine, clopenthixol, quetiapine, sulpiride, zotepine, and zuclopenthixol.
- There was more QTc prolongation with quetiapine, olanzapine, risperidone, iloperidone, ziprasidone, amisulpride, and sertindole.

 There was more anticholinergic side-effects haloperidol, olanzapine, clozapine, chlorpromazine, zotepine, iloperidone, thioridazine, and quetiapine.

# Neural Ne

### All for social functioning

SCHIZOPHRENIA LIBRARY

Huhn M, Nikolakopoulou A, Schneider-Thoma J, Krause M, Samara M, Peter N, Arndt T, Backers L, Rothe P, Cipriani A, Davis J, Salanti G, Leucht S

Comparative efficacy and tolerability of 32 oral antipsychotics for the acute treatment of adults with multi-episode schizophrenia: A systematic review and network meta-analysis

The Lancet 2019; 394: 918 View review abstract online

View review abstract online	
Comparison	All antipsychotics vs. placebo for 3-13 weeks.
	Studies with a high risk of bias were excluded.
Summary of evidence	Moderate to high quality evidence (in order of descending effects, first being best), there were improvements in social functioning with thioridazine, olanzapine, paliperidone, quetiapine, lurasidone, and brexpiprazole. There were no improvements with aripiprazole, sertindole, amisulpride, ziprasidone, flupentixol, and risperidone.
	For side effects in order of first being best, there was a large effect of less use of antiparkinson drugs with clozapine, and more use of antiparkinson drugs with paliperidone, ziprasidone, risperidone, lurasidone, zotepine, cariprazine, chlorpromazine, sulpiride, perphenazine, molindone, zuclopenthixol, trifluoperazine, flupentixol, haloperidol, loxapine, penfluridol, fluphenazine, chlorpromazine, thiotixene and pimozide.
	There was more akathisia with aripiprazole, ziprasidone, thioridazine, asenapine, amisulpride, chlorpromazine, thiotixene, risperidone, cariprazine, loxapine, haloperidol, lurasidone, trifluoperazine, sulpiride, molindone, penfluridol, pimozide, fluphenazine, flupentixol, and zuclopenthixol.
	There was more weight gain with haloperidol, amisulpride, asenapine, risperidone, paliperidone, clozapine, quetiapine, iloperidone, chlorpromazine, sertindole, olanzapine, and zotepine.
	There was less prolactin elevation with aripiprazole, clozapine, and zotepine, and more prolactin elevation with olanzapine, asenapine, lurasidone, sertindole, haloperidol, amisulpride, risperidone, and paliperidone.

NeuRA All for social functioning



### All for social functioning

# SCHIZOPHRENIA LIBRARY

There was more sedation with aripiprazole, lurasidone, haloperidol, risperidone, asenapine, loxapine, olanzapine, chlorpromazine, thioridazine, thiotixene, ziprasidone, perazine, clozapine, clopenthixol, quetiapine, sulpiride, zotepine, and zuclopenthixol.

There was more QTc prolongation with quetiapine, olanzapine, risperidone, iloperidone, ziprasidone, amisulpride, and sertindole.

There was more anticholinergic side-effects haloperidol, olanzapine, clozapine, chlorpromazine, zotepine, iloperidone, thioridazine, and quetiapine.

#### **Social functioning**

16 RCTs, N = 4,370

Medium-sized effects of better social functioning with (in descending order of effect size);

#### Thioridazine

N = 1,121, SMD = -0.69, 95%Crl -1.24 to -0.14, p < 0.05

#### <u>Olanzapine</u>

N = 1,313, SMD = -0.53, 95%Crl -0.73 to -0.33, p < 0.05

#### Paliperidone

N = 1,868, SMD = -0.51, 95%Crl -0.66 to -0.37, p < 0.05

#### Quetiapine

N = 1,306, SMD = -0.47, 95%Crl -0.72 to -0.22, p < 0.05

#### Lurasidone

N = 1,292, SMD = -0.44, 95%Crl -0.72 to -0.16, p < 0.05

Small effects of better social functioning with;

#### **Brexpiprazole**

N = 2,012, SMD = -0.25, 95%Crl -0.38 to -0.12, p < 0.05

There were no significant effects of;

#### **Aripiprazole**

N = 1,144, SMD = -0.23, 95%Crl -0.55 to 0.09, p > 0.05

#### <u>Sertindole</u>

N = 1,153, SMD = -0.09, 95%Crl -0.68 to 0.49, p > 0.05

#### **Amisulpride**

N = 1,301, SMD = -0.01, 95%Crl -0.52 to 0.49, p > 0.05

**Ziprasidone** 



### All for social functioning

### SCHIZOPHRENIA LIBRARY

N = 1,219, SMD = 0.04, 95%Crl -0.47 to 0.55, p > 0.05

#### **Flupentixol**

N = 1,156, SMD = 0.11, 95%Crl -0.51 to 0.76, p > 0.05

#### **Risperidone**

N = 1,519, SMD = 0.10, 95%Crl -0.33 to 0.52, p > 0.05

#### **Risks**

The following antipsychotics were significantly associated with;

#### More use of antiparkinson drugs

Small effects: paliperidone, ziprasidone, risperidone, and lurasidone

Medium-sized effects: zotepine, cariprazine, chlorpromazine, sulpiride, perphenazine, molindone, zuclopenthixol, trifluoperazine, flupentixol, loxapine, penfluridol, haloperidol, fluphenazine, and chlorpromazine

Large effects: thiotixene and pimozide. There was a large effect of less use of antiparkinson drugs with clozapine.

#### Akathisia

Small effects: aripiprazole

Medium-sized effects: ziprasidone, thioridazine, asenapine, amisulpride, chlorpromazine, thiotixene, risperidone, cariprazine, loxapine, haloperidol, lurasidone, trifluoperazine, and sulpiride,

Large effects: molindone, penfluridol, pimozide, fluphenazine, flupentixol, and zuclopenthixol

#### Weight gain

In order of increasing effect (measured in kg): haloperidol, amisulpride, asenapine, risperidone, paliperidone, clozapine, quetiapine, iloperidone, chlorpromazine, sertindole, olanzapine, and zotepine

#### **Prolactin elevation**

Less elevation with aripiprazole, clozapine, and zotepine

More elevation with olanzapine, asenapine, lurasidone, sertindole, haloperidol, amisulpride, risperidone, and paliperidone

#### Sedation

Small effects: aripiprazole, lurasidone, and haloperidol

Medium-sized effects: risperidone, thioridazine, asenapine, loxapine, olanzapine, thiotixene, ziprasidone, quetiapine, perazine, chlorpromazine, sulpiride, clopenthixol, and clozapine

Large effects: zotepine and zuclopenthixol

#### QTc prolongation

Medium-sized effects: quetiapine, olanzapine, and risperidone

Large effects: iloperidone, ziprasidone, amisulpride, and sertindole

NeuRA All for social functioning



# All for social functioning

# SCHIZOPHRENIA LIBRARY

	Anticholinergic side-effects
	Small effects: haloperidol and olanzapine
	Medium-sized effects: clozapine, iloperidone, chlorpromazine, zotepine, thioridazine, and quetiapine
Consistency in results	Authors state that overall heterogeneity was low to moderate.
Precision in results	The significant findings are mostly precise.
Directness of results	Some indirectness; network meta-analysis.

# **Explanation of acronyms**

CrI = credible interval, N = number of participants, p = statistical probability of obtaining that result (p < 0.05 generally regarded as significant), RCT = randomised controlled trial, SMD = standardised mean difference, vs. = versus

# All for social functioning

### Explanation of technical terms

Bias has the potential to affect reviews of both RCT and observational studies. Forms of bias include; reporting bias - selective reporting of results; publication bias - trials that are not formally published tend to show less effect than published trials, further if there are statistically significant differences between groups in a trial, these trial results tend to get published before those of trials without significant differences; language bias - only including English language reports: funding bias - source of funding for the primary research with selective reporting of results within primary studies; outcome variable selection bias; database bias including reports from some databases and not others; citation bias - preferential citation of authors. Trials can also be subject to bias when evaluators are not blind to treatment condition and selection bias of participants if trial samples are small.

† Different effect measures are reported by different reviews.

Prevalence refers to how many existing cases there are at a particular point in time. Incidence refers to how many new cases there are per population in a specified time period. Incidence is usually reported as the number of new cases per 100,000 people per year. Alternatively some studies present the number of new cases that have accumulated over several years against a person-years denominator. This denominator is the sum of individual units of time that the persons in the population are at risk of becoming a case. It takes into account the size of the underlying population sample and its age structure over the duration of observation.

Reliability and validity refers to how accurate the instrument is. Sensitivity is the proportion of actual positives that are correctly identified



### SCHIZOPHRENIA LIBRARY

(100% sensitivity = correct identification of all actual positives) and specificity is the proportion of negatives that are correctly identified (100% specificity = not identifying anyone as positive if they are truly not).

Weighted mean difference scores refer to mean differences between treatment and comparison groups after treatment (or occasionally pre to post-treatment) and in a randomised trial there is an assumption that both groups are comparable on this measure Standardised mean prior to treatment. differences are divided by the pooled standard deviation (or the standard deviation of one group when groups are homogenous) which allows results from different scales to be combined and compared. Each study's mean difference is then given a weighting depending on the size of the sample and the variability in the data. Less than 0.4 represents a small effect, around 0.5 a medium effect, and over 0.8 represents a large effect<sup>3</sup>.

Odds ratio (OR) or relative risk (RR) refers to the probability of a reduction (< 1) or an increase (> 1) in a particular outcome in a treatment group, or a group exposed to a risk factor, relative to the comparison group. For example, a RR of 0.75 translates to a reduction in risk of an outcome of 25% relative to those not receiving the treatment or not exposed to the risk factor. Conversely, a RR of 1.25 translates to an increased risk of 25% relative to those not receiving treatment or not having been exposed to a risk factor. A RR or OR of 1.00 means there is no difference between groups. A medium effect is considered if RR > 2 or < 0.5 and a large effect if RR > 5 or < 0.23. InOR stands for logarithmic OR where a InOR of 0 shows no difference between groups. Hazard ratios measure the effect of an explanatory variable on the hazard or risk of an event.

Correlation coefficients (eg, r) indicate the strength of association or relationship

# All for social functioning

between variables. They can provide an indirect indication of prediction, but do not confirm causality due to possible and often unforseen confounding variables. An r of 0.10 represents a weak association, 0.25 a medium association and 0.40 and over represents strong association. а Unstandardised (b) regression coefficients indicate the average change in the dependent variable associated with a 1 unit change in the independent variable. statistically controlling for other independent the variables. Standardised regression coefficients represent the change being in units of standard deviations to allow comparison across different scales.

‡ Inconsistency refers to differing estimates of effect across studies (i.e. heterogeneity or variability in results) is not explained by subgroup analyses and therefore reduces confidence in the effect estimate. I2 is the percentage of the variability in effect estimates that is due to heterogeneity rather than sampling error (chance) - 0% to 40%: heterogeneity might not be important, 30% to 60%: may represent moderate heterogeneity, 50% to 90%: may represent considerable heterogeneity and over this is considerable heterogeneity. I2 can be calculated from Q (chi-square) for the test of heterogeneity with the following formula;

$$I^2 = \left(\frac{Q - df}{Q}\right) \times 100\%$$

§ Imprecision refers to wide confidence intervals indicating a lack of confidence in the effect estimate. Based on GRADE recommendations, a result for continuous data (standardised mean differences, not

NeuRA



### SCHIZOPHRENIA LIBRARY

weighted mean differences) is considered imprecise if the upper or lower confidence limit crosses an effect size of 0.5 in either direction, and for binary and correlation data, an effect size of 0.25. GRADE also recommends downgrading the evidence when sample size is smaller than 300 (for binary data) and 400 (for continuous data), although for some topics, these criteria should be relaxed.

Indirectness of comparison occurs when a comparison of intervention A versus B is not available but A was compared with C and B was compared with C that allows indirect comparisons of the magnitude of effect of A versus B. Indirectness of population, comparator and/or outcome can also occur when the available evidence regarding a particular population, intervention, comparator, or outcome is not available and is therefore inferred from available evidence. These inferred treatment effect sizes are of lower quality than those gained from head-tohead comparisons of A and B.

# Neura Neura Discover. Conquer. Cure.

# All for social functioning

### SCHIZOPHRENIA LIBRARY

#### References

- 1. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, PRISMAGroup (2009): Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *British Medical Journal* 151: 264-9.
- 2. Huhn M, Nikolakopoulou A, Schneider-Thoma J, Krause M, Samara M, Peter N, et al. (2019): Comparative efficacy and tolerability of 32 oral antipsychotics for the acute treatment of adults with multi-episode schizophrenia: A systematic review and network meta-analysis. *The Lancet* 394: 918.
- 3. Rosenthal JA (1996): Qualitative Descriptors of Strength of Association and Effect Size. *Journal of Social Service Research* 21: 37-59.