## **Depression symptoms**

# NeuRA Discover. Conquer. Cure.

POST-TRAUMATIC STRESS DISORDER LIBRARY

### Introduction

Depression is characterised by a depressed mood or a loss of interest or pleasure in activities. Symptoms of depression may include changes in appetite, weight, sleep, or psychomotor activity, decreased energy, feelings of worthlessness or guilt, difficulty concentrating or making decisions, thoughts of death or suicide. Depression may be associated with hopelessness, which is the absence of positive future orientation.

This topic concentrates on the occurrence of depression symptoms rather than depressive disorders. Please see the co-occurring conditions rates of comorbid topic for depressive disorders in people with PTSD.

#### Method

We have included only systematic reviews (systematic literature search. methodology with inclusion/exclusion criteria) published in full text, in English, from the year 2010 that report results separately for people with PTSD. Reviews were identified by searching the databases MEDLINE, EMBASE, and PsycINFO. When multiple copies of reviews were found, only the most recent version was included. We prioritised reviews with pooled data for inclusion.

Review reporting assessment was guided by the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) Reviews checklist that describes a preferred way to present a meta-analysis<sup>1</sup>. Reviews with less than 50% of items checked have been excluded from the library. Note that early reviews may have been guided by less stringent reporting checklists than the PRISMA, and that some reviews may have been limited by journal guidelines.

Evidence was graded using the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE) Working Group approach where high quality evidence such as that gained from randomised controlled trials (RCTs) may be downgraded to moderate or low if review and study quality is limited, if there is inconsistency in results, indirect comparisons, imprecise or sparse data and high probability of reporting bias. It may also be downgraded if risks associated with the intervention or other matter under review are high. Conversely, low quality evidence such as that gained from observational studies may be upgraded if effect sizes are large or if there is a dose dependent response. We have also taken into account sample size and whether results are consistent, precise and direct with low associated risks (see end of table for an explanation of these terms)<sup>2</sup>. The resulting table represents an objective summary of the available evidence, although the conclusions are solely the opinion of staff of NeuRA (Neuroscience Research Australia).

#### Results

We found two systematic reviews that met our inclusion criteria<sup>3, 4</sup>.

- Moderate to high quality evidence finds a medium-sized correlation between increased PTSD symptoms and increased depression symptoms in North Korean refugees. The relationship was stronger in adults than in youths and stronger in refugees with more than five years than less than five years outside of North Korea, although the effect sizes were all medium-sized.
- Moderate to high quality evidence finds **PTSD** symptoms were significantly associated with more depression symptoms in cancer patients.



## **Depression symptoms**

Shand LK, Cowlishaw S, Brooker JE, Burney S, Ricciardelli LA

Correlates of post-traumatic stress symptoms and growth in cancer patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis

Psycho-Oncology 2015; 24: 624-34

View review abstract online

Comparison	Relationship between PTSD symptoms and depression symptoms in people with cancer.
Summary of evidence	Moderate to high quality evidence (large sample, inconsistent, precise, direct) finds PTSD symptoms were significantly associated with more depression symptoms in cancer patients.

### **Depression symptoms**

PTSD symptoms were significantly associated with more depression symptoms;

11 studies, N = 1,442, r = 0.56, 95%Cl 0.44 to 0.65,  $l^2$  = 87%

Consistency in results <sup>‡</sup>	Inconsistent
Precision in results§	Precise
Directness of results	Direct

Taylor BE, Chekaluk E, Bennett J

Post-traumatic stress disorder, depression, and anxiety among North Korean refugees: A meta-analysis

Psychiatry Investigation 2017; 14: 550-61

View review abstract online

Comparison	Rates of depression symptoms in North Korean refugees with PTSD.
Summary of evidence	Moderate to high quality evidence (large sample, inconsistent, precise, direct) finds a medium-sized correlation between increased PTSD symptoms and increased depression symptoms in North Korean refugees. The relationship was stronger in adults than in youths and stronger in refugees with more than five years than less than five years outside of North Korea.



## **Depression symptoms**

### **Depression symptoms**

A medium-sized correlation between increased PTSD symptoms and increased depression symptoms;

10 studies, N = 1,432, r = 0.63, 95%Cl 0.51 to 0.72, p < 0.001, Q = 72.23, p < 0.001

The relationship between PTSD and depression in studies involving adults was stronger than the relationship between PTSD and depression in studies involving youths (r = 0.67 vs. 0.41).

The relationship between PTSD and depression for North Koreans with less than five years outside of North Korea was weaker than the relationship between PTSD and depression for North Koreans with more than five years outside of North Korea (*r*= 0.53 vs. 0.65).

Consistency in results	Inconsistent
Precision in results	Precise
Directness of results	Direct

### Explanation of acronyms

 $CI = confidence interval, I^2 = the percentage of the variability in effect estimates that is due to heterogeneity rather than sampling error (chance), <math>N = confidence$  of participants, Q = confidence heterogeneity, p = confidence statistical probability of obtaining that result, r = confidence confidence

## **Depression symptoms**

# Neura Discover. Conquer. Cure.

### Explanation of technical terms

Bias has the potential to affect reviews of both RCT and observational studies. Forms of bias include; reporting bias - selective reporting of results; publication bias - trials that are not formally published tend to show less effect than published trials, further if there are statistically significant differences between groups in a trial, these trial results tend to get published before those of trials without significant differences; language bias - only including English language reports; funding bias - source of funding for the primary research with selective reporting of results within primary studies; outcome variable selection bias: database bias including reports from some databases and not others; citation bias - preferential citation of authors. Trials can also be subject to bias when evaluators are not blind to treatment condition and selection bias of participants if trial samples are small<sup>5</sup>.

† Different effect measures are reported by different reviews.

Prevalence refers to how many existing cases there are at a particular point in time. Incidence refers to how many new cases there are per population in a specified time period. Incidence is usually reported as the number of new cases per 100,000 people per year. Alternatively some studies present the number of new cases that have accumulated over several years against a person-years denominator. This denominator is the sum of individual units of time that the persons in the population are at risk of becoming a case. It takes into account the size of the underlying population sample and its age structure over the duration of observation.

Reliability and validity refers to how accurate the instrument is. Sensitivity is the proportion of actual positives that are correctly identified (100% sensitivity = correct identification of all actual positives) and specificity is the proportion of negatives that are correctly identified (100% specificity = not identifying anyone as positive if they are truly not).

Weighted mean difference scores refer to mean differences between treatment and comparison groups after treatment (or occasionally pre to post treatment) and in a randomised trial there is an assumption that both groups are comparable on this measure prior to treatment. Standardised mean differences are divided by the pooled standard deviation (or the standard deviation of one group when groups are homogenous) that allows results from different scales to be combined and compared. Each study's mean difference is then given a weighting depending on the size of the sample and the variability in the data. Less than 0.4 represents a small effect, around 0.5 a medium effect, and over 0.8 represents a large effect<sup>5</sup>.

Odds ratio (OR) or relative risk (RR) refers to the probability of a reduction (< 1) or an increase (> 1) in a particular outcome in a treatment group, or a group exposed to a risk factor, relative to the comparison group. For example, a RR of 0.75 translates to a reduction in risk of an outcome of 25% relative to those not receiving the treatment or not exposed to the risk factor. Conversely, a RR of 1.25 translates to an increased risk of 25% relative to those not receiving treatment or not having been exposed to a risk factor. A RR or OR of 1.00 means there is no difference between groups. A medium effect is considered if RR > 2 or < 0.5 and a large effect if RR > 5 or < 0.26. InOR stands for logarithmic OR where a InOR of 0 shows no difference between groups. Hazard ratios measure the effect of an explanatory variable on the hazard or risk of an event.

Correlation coefficients (eg, r) indicate the strength of association or relationship

## **Depression symptoms**



between variables. They can provide an indirect indication of prediction, but do not confirm causality due to possible and often unforseen confounding variables. An r of 0.10 represents a weak association, 0.25 a medium association and 0.40 and over represents а strona association. Unstandardised (b) regression coefficients indicate the average change in the dependent variable associated with a 1 unit change in independent variable, statistically the other independent controlling for variables. Standardised regression coefficients represent the change being in of standard deviations to allow comparison across different scales.

‡ Inconsistency refers to differing estimates of effect across studies (i.e. heterogeneity or variability in results) is not explained by subgroup analyses and therefore reduces confidence in the effect estimate. I2 is the percentage of the variability in effect estimates that is due to heterogeneity rather than sampling error (chance) - 0% to 40%: heterogeneity might not be important, 30% to 60%: may represent moderate heterogeneity, 50% to 90%: may represent considerable heterogeneity and over this is considerable heterogeneity. l² can calculated from Q (chi-square) for the test of heterogeneity with the following formula5;

$$I^2 = \left(\frac{Q - df}{Q}\right) \times 100\%$$

§ Imprecision refers to wide confidence intervals indicating a lack of confidence in the effect estimate. Based on GRADE recommendations, a result for continuous data (standardised mean differences, not weighted mean differences) is considered imprecise if the upper or lower confidence

limit crosses an effect size of 0.5 in either direction, and for binary and correlation data, an effect size of 0.25. GRADE also recommends downgrading the evidence when sample size is smaller than 300 (for binary data) and 400 (for continuous data), although for some topics, these criteria should be relaxed<sup>7</sup>.

Indirectness of comparison occurs when a comparison of intervention A versus B is not available but A was compared with C and B was compared with C that allows indirect comparisons of the magnitude of effect of A versus B. Indirectness of population, comparator and/or outcome can also occur when the available evidence regarding a particular population, intervention, comparator, or outcome is not available and is therefore inferred from available evidence. These inferred treatment effect sizes are of lower quality than those gained from head-tohead comparisons of A and B.



## **Depression symptoms**

### References

- 1. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, PRISMAGroup (2009): Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *British Medical Journal* 151: 264-9.
- 2. GRADEWorkingGroup (2004): Grading quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. *British Medical Journal* 328: 1490.
- 3. Shand LK, Cowlishaw S, Brooker JE, Burney S, Ricciardelli LA (2015): Correlates of post-traumatic stress symptoms and growth in cancer patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Psycho-Oncology* 24: 624-34.
- 4. Taylor BE, Chekaluk E, Bennett J (2017): Post-traumatic stress disorder, depression and anxiety among north korean refugees: A meta-analysis. *Psychiatry Investigation* 14: 550-61.
- CochraneCollaboration (2008): Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions. Accessed 24/06/2011.
- 6. Rosenthal JA (1996): Qualitative Descriptors of Strength of Association and Effect Size. *Journal of Social Service Research* 21: 37-59.
- 7. GRADEpro (2008): [Computer program]. Jan Brozek, Andrew Oxman, Holger Schünemann. *Version* 32 for Windows