Education

Introduction

Personal characteristics, such as level of education, can influence one's degree of risk for developing PTSD. How such personal characteristics may affect the development of PTSD would be influenced by other personal characteristics as well as differences in the trauma experience itself. This summary table presents the effects of level of education on the risk of PTSD following trauma exposure.

Method

We have included only systematic reviews (systematic literature search, detailed methodology with inclusion/exclusion criteria) published in full text, in English, from the year 2010 that report results separately for people with PTSD. Reviews were identified by searching the databases MEDLINE, EMBASE, and PsycINFO. When multiple copies of reviews were found, only the most recent version was included. We prioritised reviews with pooled data for inclusion.

Review reporting assessment was guided by the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) checklist that describes a preferred way to present a meta-analysis¹. Reviews with less than 50% of items checked have been excluded from the library. Note that early reviews may have been guided by less stringent reporting checklists than the PRISMA, and that some reviews may have been limited by journal guidelines.

Evidence was graded using the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE) Working Group approach where high quality evidence such as that gained from randomised controlled trials (RCTs) may be downgraded to moderate or low if review and study quality is limited, if there is inconsistency in results, indirect comparisons, imprecise or sparse data and high probability of reporting bias. It may also be downgraded if risks associated with the intervention or other matter under review are high. Conversely, low quality evidence such as that gained from



observational studies may be upgraded if effect sizes are large or if there is a dose dependent response. We have also taken into account sample size and whether results are consistent, precise and direct with low associated risks (see end of table for an explanation of these terms)². The resulting table represents an objective summary of the available evidence, although the conclusions are solely the opinion of staff of NeuRA (Neuroscience Research Australia).

Results

We found four systematic reviews that met our inclusion criteria³⁻⁶

- Moderate to high quality evidence found a small effect of fewer years of education increased the risk of PTSD in women following childbirth.
- Moderate to low quality evidence found having a higher education was associated with more PTSD in children exposed to earthquakes but having a lower level of education was associated with more PTSD in adults exposed to earthquakes.
- Moderate quality evidence found no effect of education on risk of PTSD in people with a traumatic brain injury.



Education

Ayers S, Bond R, Bertullies S, Wijma K

The aetiology of post-traumatic stress following childbirth: a meta-analysis and theoretical framework

Psychological Medicine 2016; 46: 1121-34

View review abstract online

Comparison	The effect of education on risk of PTSD following childbirth.
Summary of evidence	Moderate to high quality evidence (large sample, inconsistent, precise, direct) found a small effect of fewer years of education increased the risk of PTSD following childbirth.
Childbirth	

A small effect showed fewer years of education was associated with increased risk of PTSD; Less educational: 6 studies, N = 3,713, r = -0.19, 95%CI -0.22 to -0.16, Qp < 0.05

	Consistency in results [‡]	Inconsistent
	Precision in results§	Precise
	Directness of results	Direct

Cnossen MC, Scholten AC, Lingsma HF, Synnot A, Haagsma J, Steyerberg PEW, Polinder S

Predictors of Major Depression and Posttraumatic Stress Disorder Following Traumatic Brain Injury: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

Journal of Neuropsychiatry and Clinical Neurosciences 2017; 29: 206-24

View review abstract online

Comparison	The effect of education on risk of PTSD following traumatic brain injury.
Summary of evidence	Moderate quality evidence (medium-sized sample, consistent, appears imprecise, direct) found no effect of education on risk of PTSD in people with a traumatic brain injury.

Traumatic brain injury

No effect of education on risk of PTSD in people with a traumatic brain injury;



Education

3 studies	3 studies N = 303, MD = 0.15, 95%CI -0.61 to 0.92, I^2 = 11%	
Consistency in results	Consistent	
Precision in results	Appears imprecise	
Directness of results	Direct	

Dai W, Chen L, Lai Z, Li Y, Wang J, Liu A

The incidence of post-traumatic stress disorder among survivors after earthquakes: a systematic review and meta-analysis

BMC Psychiatry 2016; 16: 188

View review abstract online

Comparison	The effect of education on risk of PTSD following earthquakes.
Summary of evidence	Moderate quality evidence (large sample, direct) finds the incidence of PTSD is higher in people with lower education (elementary school vs. higher than elementary school) in people exposed to earthquakes.

Earthquakes

46 studies, N = 76,101

The incidence of PTSD after an earthquake was significantly higher in those with lower education;

Elementary school = 31.56%

Higher than elementary school = 19.76%

Consistency in results	No measure of consistency is reported for this subgroup analysis.
Precision in results	No measure of precision is reported for this subgroup analysis.
Directness of results	Direct

Tang B, Deng Q, Glik D, Dong J, Zhang L

A Meta-Analysis of Risk Factors for Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) in Adults and Children after Earthquakes

International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health 2017; 14: 1537

View review abstract online



Education

Comparison	The effects of cognitive and psychological factors on PTSD symptoms following earthquakes.	
Summary of evidence	Moderate to low quality evidence (unclear sample size, inconsistent, some imprecision, direct) found having a higher education was associated with more PTSD in children but having a lower level of education was associated with more PTSD in adults.	
Earthquakes		
Small effect of increased rates of PTSD in adults with lower education;		
30 studies, N	30 studies, N not reported, OR = 0.81, 95%Cl 0.75 to 0.87, $I^2 = 33\%$	
Small effect of	Small effect of increased rates of PTSD in children with higher education;	
13 studies, N	13 studies, N not reported, OR = 1.57, 95%CI 1.11 to 2.21, $I^2 = 78\%$	
Consistency in results	Inconsistent	
Precision in results	Precise for adults, imprecise for children	
Directness of results	Direct	

Explanation of acronyms

CI = confidence interval, I^2 = the percentage of the variability in effect estimates that is due to heterogeneity rather than sampling error (chance), MD = mean difference, N = number of participants, OR = odds ratio, p = statistical probability of obtaining that result, r = correlation coefficient, vs. = versus

Education

POST-TRAUMATIC STRESS DISORDER LIBRARY

Explanation of technical terms

Bias has the potential to affect reviews of both RCT and observational studies. Forms of bias include; reporting bias - selective reporting of results; publication bias - trials that are not formally published tend to show less effect than published trials, further if there are statistically significant differences between groups in a trial, these trial results tend to get published before those of trials without significant differences; language bias - only including English language reports; funding bias - source of funding for the primary research with selective reporting of results within primary studies; outcome variable selection bias; database bias including reports from some databases and not others; citation bias - preferential citation of authors. Trials can also be subject to bias when evaluators are not blind to treatment condition and selection bias of participants if trial samples are small⁷.

† Different effect measures are reported by different reviews.

Prevalence refers to how many existing cases there are at a particular point in time. Incidence refers to how many new cases there are per population in a specified time period. Incidence is usually reported as the number of new cases per 100,000 people per year. Alternatively some studies present the number of new cases that have accumulated over several years against a person-years denominator. This denominator is the sum of individual units of time that the persons in the population are at risk of becoming a case. It takes into account the size of the underlying population sample and its age structure over the duration of observation.

Reliability and validity refers to how accurate the instrument is. Sensitivity is the proportion of actual positives that are correctly identified (100% sensitivity = correct identification of all actual positives) and specificity is the proportion of negatives that are correctly identified (100% specificity = not identifying anyone as positive if they are truly not).

NeuRA

Discover. Conquer. Cure.

Weighted mean difference scores refer to mean differences between treatment and comparison groups after treatment (or occasionally pre to post treatment) and in a randomised trial there is an assumption that both groups are comparable on this measure prior to treatment. Standardised mean differences are divided by the pooled standard deviation (or the standard deviation of one group when groups are homogenous) that allows results from different scales to be combined and compared. Each study's mean difference is then given a weighting depending on the size of the sample and the variability in the data. Less than 0.4 represents a small effect, around 0.5 a medium effect, and over 0.8 represents a large effect⁷.

Odds ratio (OR) or relative risk (RR) refers to the probability of a reduction (< 1) or an increase (> 1) in a particular outcome in a treatment group, or a group exposed to a risk factor, relative to the comparison group. For example, a RR of 0.75 translates to a reduction in risk of an outcome of 25% relative to those not receiving the treatment or not exposed to the risk factor. Conversely, a RR of 1.25 translates to an increased risk of 25% relative to those not receiving treatment or not having been exposed to a risk factor. A RR or OR of 1.00 means there is no difference between groups. A medium effect is considered if RR > 2 or < 0.5 and a large effect if RR > 5 or < 0.28. InOR stands for logarithmic OR where a InOR of 0 shows no difference between groups. Hazard ratios measure the effect of an explanatory variable on the hazard or risk of an event.

Correlation coefficients (eg, r) indicate the strength of association or relationship between variables. They can provide an indirect indication of prediction, but do not

Education

confirm causality due to possible and often unforseen confounding variables. An r of 0.10 represents a weak association, 0.25 a medium association and 0.40 and over represents а strona association. Unstandardised (b) regression coefficients indicate the average change in the dependent variable associated with a 1 unit change in independent variable, statistically controlling the other independent for variables. Standardised regression coefficients represent the change being in units of standard deviations to allow comparison across different scales.

‡ Inconsistency refers to differing estimates of effect across studies (i.e. heterogeneity or variability in results) that is not explained by subgroup analyses and therefore reduces confidence in the effect estimate. I2 is the percentage of the variability in effect estimates that is due to heterogeneity rather than sampling error (chance) - 0% to 40%: heterogeneity might not be important, 30% to 60%: may represent moderate heterogeneity, 50% to 90%: may represent considerable heterogeneity and over this is heterogeneity. considerable l² can calculated from Q (chi-square) for the test of heterogeneity with the following formula⁷;

$$I^2 = \left(\frac{Q - df}{Q}\right) \times 100\%$$

§ Imprecision refers to wide confidence intervals indicating a lack of confidence in the effect estimate. Based on GRADE recommendations, a result for continuous data (standardised mean differences, not weighted mean differences) is considered imprecise if the upper or lower confidence limit crosses an effect size of 0.5 in either direction, and for binary and correlation data, an effect size of 0.25. GRADE also



recommends downgrading the evidence when sample size is smaller than 300 (for binary data) and 400 (for continuous data), although for some topics, these criteria should be relaxed⁹.

Indirectness of comparison occurs when a comparison of intervention A versus B is not available but A was compared with C and B was compared with C that allows indirect comparisons of the magnitude of effect of A B. Indirectness of population, comparator and/or outcome can also occur when the available evidence regarding a population, intervention, particular comparator, or outcome is not available and is therefore inferred from available evidence. These inferred treatment effect sizes are of lower quality than those gained from head-tohead comparisons of A and B.



Education

References

- 1. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, PRISMAGroup (2009): Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *British Medical Journal* 151: 264-9.
- 2. GRADEWorkingGroup (2004): Grading quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. *British Medical Journal* 328: 1490.
- 3. Ayers S, Bond R, Bertullies S, Wijma K (2016): The aetiology of post-traumatic stress following childbirth: a meta-analysis and theoretical framework. *Psychological Medicine* 46: 1121-34.
- 4. Cnossen MC, Scholten AC, Lingsma HF, Synnot A, Haagsma J, Steyerberg PEW, et al. (2017): Predictors of Major Depression and Posttraumatic Stress Disorder Following Traumatic Brain Injury: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Journal of Neuropsychiatry and Clinical Neurosciences* 29: 206-24.
- 5. Tang B, Deng Q, Glik D, Dong J, Zhang L (2017): A Meta-Analysis of Risk Factors for Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) in Adults and Children after Earthquakes. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 14: 1537.
- 6. Dai W, Chen L, Lai Z, Li Y, Wang J, Liu A (2016): The incidence of post-traumatic stress disorder among survivors after earthquakes:a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Psychiatry* 16: 188.
- 7. CochraneCollaboration (2008): Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions. Accessed 24/06/2011.
- 8. Rosenthal JA (1996): Qualitative Descriptors of Strength of Association and Effect Size. *Journal of Social Service Research* 21: 37-59.
- 9. GRADEpro (2008): [Computer program]. Jan Brozek, Andrew Oxman, Holger Schünemann. Version 32 for Windows