



Illness onset

Introduction

Differences are observed in the age at onset of mental disorders, which may be influenced by genetic and/or environmental factors. While most individuals develop symptoms of PTSD within three months of the trauma, some symptoms can appear later and persist for months and sometimes years. Understanding the factors associated with age at the onset of symptoms could lead to better understanding of the disorder and earlier and improved intervention strategies for patients.

Method

We have included only systematic reviews with detailed literature search, methodology, and inclusion/exclusion criteria that were published in full text, in English, from the year 2010. Reviews were identified by searching the databases MEDLINE, EMBASE, and PsycINFO. Reviews with pooled data are prioritized for inclusion. Reviews reporting fewer than 50% of items on the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses ([PRISMA](#)¹) checklist have been excluded from the library. The evidence was graded guided by the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation ([GRADE](#)) Working Group approach². The resulting table represents an objective summary of the available evidence, although the conclusions are solely the opinion of staff of NeuRA (Neuroscience Research Australia).

Results

We found four systematic reviews that met our inclusion criteria³⁻⁶.

- Moderate to high quality evidence finds the mean age at onset of PTSD is 26.6 years, with no differences between males and females. The median age at onset is 30 years.
- Moderate quality evidence finds the overall median prevalence of PTSD tends to reduce

over time, from 28.8% at one-month post trauma to 17% at 12 months post trauma. Median prevalence post non-intentional (accidental) trauma also decreases over time (30.1% to 14%), while median prevalence post intentional (non-accidental) trauma is lower initially and increases over time (11.8% to 23.3%).

- Moderate to high quality evidence suggests around 24.5% of people diagnosed with PTSD had a delayed onset, with most experiencing earlier milder symptoms. The prevalence of delayed-onset PTSD is highest in professional groups and those who experienced combat trauma.



Illness onset

De Lijster JM, Dierckx B, Utens EMWJ, Verhulst FC, Zieldorff C, Dieleman GC, Legerstee JS

The age of onset of anxiety disorders: A meta-analysis

Canadian Journal of Psychiatry 2017; 62: 237-46

[View review abstract online](#)

Comparison	Age of onset of PTSD.
Summary of evidence	Moderate to high quality evidence (large sample, inconsistent, appears precise, direct) finds the average age of onset of PTSD is 26.6 years, with no differences between males and females.
Age of onset	
<p><i>The average age of onset of PTSD is around 26.6 years;</i> 12 studies, N = 1,459, mean age = 26.6 years, 95%CI 22.1 to 31.0, $Qp < 0.0001$ Prospective studies reported an earlier age of onset. There was no moderating effect of sex.</p>	
Consistency in results[†]	Inconsistent
Precision in results[§]	Appears precise
Directness of results	Direct

Santiago PN, Ursano RJ, Gray CL, Pynoos RS, Spiegel D, Lewis-Fernandez R, Friedman MJ, Fullerton CS

A Systematic Review of PTSD Prevalence and Trajectories in DSM-5 Defined Trauma Exposed Populations: Intentional and Non-Intentional Traumatic Events

PLoS ONE 2013; 8: e59236

[View review abstract online](#)

Comparison	Prevalence of PTSD over time and onset after intentional vs. non-intentional traumatic events. Intentional traumas are those that involve deliberate infliction of harm, while non-intentional traumas are accidental.
-------------------	---



Illness onset

<p>Summary of evidence</p>	<p>Moderate quality evidence (large study size, unable to assess consistency or precision, direct) finds the median prevalence of PTSD tends to reduce over time, from 28.8% at one month to 17% at 12 months. Median prevalence post-non-intentional trauma exposure also decreases over time (30.1% to 14%), while median prevalence post-intentional trauma exposure is lower and increases over time (11.8% to 23.3%).</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Onset of illness</p>	
<p style="text-align: center;"><i>The overall prevalence of PTSD post-trauma;</i> 35 studies</p> <p style="text-align: center;">1 month: medium = 28.8%, mean = 25.4%</p> <p style="text-align: center;">3 months: medium = 17.8%, mean = 18.8%</p> <p style="text-align: center;">6 months: medium = 14.9%, mean = 16.1%</p> <p style="text-align: center;">12 months: medium = 17.0%, mean = 17.7%</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>The prevalence of PTSD post-non-intentional trauma;</i> 14 studies</p> <p style="text-align: center;">1 month: medium = 30.1%, mean = 28.0%</p> <p style="text-align: center;">3 months: medium = 17.8%, mean = 18.8%</p> <p style="text-align: center;">6 months: medium = 12.9%, mean = 14.4%</p> <p style="text-align: center;">12 months: medium = 14.0%, mean = 14.8%</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>The median prevalence of PTSD post-intentional trauma;</i> 21 studies</p> <p style="text-align: center;">1 month: medium = 11.8%, mean = 23.6%</p> <p style="text-align: center;">3 months: medium = 17.1%, mean = 18.9%</p> <p style="text-align: center;">6 months: medium = 19.0%, mean = 18.3%</p> <p style="text-align: center;">12 months: medium = 23.3%, mean = 23.1%</p>	
<p>Consistency in results</p>	<p>Unable to assess; no measure of consistency is reported.</p>
<p>Precision in results</p>	<p>Unable to assess; no CIs are reported.</p>
<p>Directness of results</p>	<p>Direct</p>

Solmi M, Radua J, Olivola M, Croce E, Soardo L, Salazar de Pablo G, Il Shin J, Kirkbride JB, Jones P, Kim JH, Kim JY, Carvalho AF, Seeman MV, Correll CU, Fusar-Poli P



Illness onset

Age at onset of mental disorders worldwide: large-scale meta-analysis of 192 epidemiological studies

Molecular Psychiatry 2021

[Link to review abstract](#)

Comparison	Age of onset of bipolar disorder.
Summary of evidence	Moderate quality evidence (large sample, unable to assess consistency, appears imprecise, direct) suggests the median age at onset of bipolar disorder is around 30 years old.
Age at onset	
16 population studies Median age at onset = 30 years, IQR 17 to 48 years 14 years: 16.9% 18 years: 27.6% 25 years: 43.1%	
Consistency in results	Unable to assess; no measure of consistency is reported.
Precision in results	Appears imprecise
Directness of results	Direct

Utzon-Frank N, Breinegaard N, Bertelsen M, Borritz M, Eller NH, Nordentoft M, Olesen K, Rod NH, Rugulies R, Bonde JP

Occurrence of delayed-onset post-traumatic stress disorder: a systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective studies

Scandinavian Journal of Work, Environment & Health 40: 215-29

[View review abstract online](#)

Comparison	Prevalence of delayed-onset PTSD (>6 months post-trauma).
Summary of evidence	Moderate to high quality evidence (large sample, inconsistent, appears precise, direct) suggests around 24.5% of people diagnosed with PTSD had a delayed onset, with most experiencing earlier subclinical symptoms. The prevalence of delayed-onset PTSD is highest in professional groups and those



Illness onset

	who experienced combat trauma.
Delayed-onset PTSD	
<p>39 prospective studies, N = 30,210</p> <p>Overall prevalence of PTSD = 19.7%, 95%CI 15.8 to 24.2%, I² = 90%</p> <p>Overall prevalence of delayed-onset PTSD = 5.6%, 95%CI 4.3 to 7.3%, I² = 91%</p> <p>Proportion of delayed-onset PTSD relative to all cases of PTSD = 24.5%, 95%CI 19.5 to 30.3%, I² = 94%</p> <p>Subgroup analyses showed delayed-onset PTSD was higher among professional groups compared to non-professional victims (37.6% vs. 20.3%). It was also higher in those exposed to combat than other trauma types (39.9% vs. 17-26%).</p> <p>There were no moderating effects of early (1-6 months after the trauma) vs. late (>9 months after the trauma) baseline assessment, assessment tool, or other study methods.</p> <p>Authors report that most people with delayed-onset PTSD experienced early subclinical symptoms.</p>	
Consistency	Inconsistent
Precision	Appears precise
Directness	Direct

Explanation of acronyms

CI = confidence interval, I² = the percentage of the variability in effect estimates that is due to heterogeneity rather than sampling error (chance), IQR = interquartile range, N = number of participants, p = statistical probability of obtaining that result, Q = test for heterogeneity



Illness onset

Explanation of technical terms

* Bias has the potential to affect reviews of both RCT and observational studies. Forms of bias include; reporting bias – selective reporting of results; publication bias - trials that are not formally published tend to show less effect than published trials, further if there are statistically significant differences between groups in a trial, these trial results tend to get published before those of trials without significant differences; language bias – only including English language reports; funding bias - source of funding for the primary research with selective reporting of results within primary studies; outcome variable selection bias; database bias - including reports from some databases and not others; citation bias - preferential citation of authors. Trials can also be subject to bias when evaluators are not blind to treatment condition and selection bias of participants if trial samples are small⁷.

† Different effect measures are reported by different reviews.

Prevalence refers to how many existing cases there are at a particular point in time. Incidence refers to how many new cases there are per population in a specified time period. Incidence is usually reported as the number of new cases per 100,000 people per year. Alternatively some studies present the number of new cases that have accumulated over several years against a person-years denominator. This denominator is the sum of individual units of time that the persons in the population are at risk of becoming a case. It takes into account the size of the underlying population sample and its age structure over the duration of observation.

Reliability and validity refers to how accurate the instrument is. Sensitivity is the proportion of actual positives that are correctly identified

(100% sensitivity = correct identification of all actual positives) and specificity is the proportion of negatives that are correctly identified (100% specificity = not identifying anyone as positive if they are truly not).

Weighted mean difference scores refer to mean differences between treatment and comparison groups after treatment (or occasionally pre to post treatment) and in a randomised trial there is an assumption that both groups are comparable on this measure prior to treatment. Standardised mean differences are divided by the pooled standard deviation (or the standard deviation of one group when groups are homogenous) that allows results from different scales to be combined and compared. Each study's mean difference is then given a weighting depending on the size of the sample and the variability in the data. Less than 0.4 represents a small effect, around 0.5 a medium effect, and over 0.8 represents a large effect⁷.

Odds ratio (OR) or relative risk (RR) refers to the probability of a reduction (< 1) or an increase (> 1) in a particular outcome in a treatment group, or a group exposed to a risk factor, relative to the comparison group. For example, a RR of 0.75 translates to a reduction in risk of an outcome of 25% relative to those not receiving the treatment or not exposed to the risk factor. Conversely, a RR of 1.25 translates to an increased risk of 25% relative to those not receiving treatment or not having been exposed to a risk factor. A RR or OR of 1.00 means there is no difference between groups. A medium effect is considered if $RR > 2$ or < 0.5 and a large effect if $RR > 5$ or < 0.2 ⁸. InOR stands for logarithmic OR where a InOR of 0 shows no difference between groups. Hazard ratios measure the effect of an explanatory variable on the hazard or risk of an event.

Correlation coefficients (eg, r) indicate the strength of association or relationship



Illness onset

between variables. They can provide an indirect indication of prediction, but do not confirm causality due to possible and often unforeseen confounding variables. An r of 0.10 represents a weak association, 0.25 a medium association and 0.40 and over represents a strong association. Unstandardised (b) regression coefficients indicate the average change in the dependent variable associated with a 1 unit change in the independent variable, statistically controlling for the other independent variables. Standardised regression coefficients represent the change being in units of standard deviations to allow comparison across different scales.

‡ Inconsistency refers to differing estimates of effect across studies (i.e. heterogeneity or variability in results) that is not explained by subgroup analyses and therefore reduces confidence in the effect estimate. I^2 is the percentage of the variability in effect estimates that is due to heterogeneity rather than sampling error (chance) - 0% to 40%: heterogeneity might not be important, 30% to 60%: may represent moderate heterogeneity, 50% to 90%: may represent considerable heterogeneity and over this is considerable heterogeneity. I^2 can be calculated from Q (chi-square) for the test of heterogeneity with the following formula⁷;

$$I^2 = \left(\frac{Q - df}{Q} \right) \times 100\%$$

§ Imprecision refers to wide confidence intervals indicating a lack of confidence in the effect estimate. Based on GRADE recommendations, a result for continuous data (standardised mean differences, not weighted mean differences) is considered imprecise if the upper or lower confidence

limit crosses an effect size of 0.5 in either direction, and for binary and correlation data, an effect size of 0.25. GRADE also recommends downgrading the evidence when sample size is smaller than 300 (for binary data) and 400 (for continuous data), although for some topics, these criteria should be relaxed⁹.

|| Indirectness of comparison occurs when a comparison of intervention A versus B is not available but A was compared with C and B was compared with C that allows indirect comparisons of the magnitude of effect of A versus B. Indirectness of population, comparator and/or outcome can also occur when the available evidence regarding a particular population, intervention, comparator, or outcome is not available and is therefore inferred from available evidence. These inferred treatment effect sizes are of lower quality than those gained from head-to-head comparisons of A and B.



Illness onset

References

1. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, PRISMA Group (2009): Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *British Medical Journal* 151: 264-9.
2. GRADE Working Group (2004): Grading quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. *British Medical Journal* 328: 1490.
3. Santiago PN, Ursano RJ, Gray CL, Pynoos RS, Spiegel D, Lewis-Fernandez R, *et al.* (2013): A Systematic Review of PTSD Prevalence and Trajectories in DSM-5 Defined Trauma Exposed Populations: Intentional and Non-Intentional Traumatic Events. *PLoS ONE* 8: e59236.
4. De Lijster JM, Dierckx B, Utens EMWJ, Verhulst FC, Zieldorff C, Dieleman GC, *et al.* (2017): The age of onset of anxiety disorders: A meta-analysis. *Canadian Journal of Psychiatry* 62: 237-46.
5. Utzon-Frank N, Breinegaard N, Bertelsen M, Borritz M, Eller NH, Nordentoft M, *et al.* (2014): Occurrence of delayed-onset post-traumatic stress disorder: a systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective studies. *Scandinavian Journal of Work, Environment & Health* 40: 215-29.
6. Solmi M, Radua J, Olivola M, Croce E, Soardo L, Salazar de Pablo G, *et al.* (2021): Age at onset of mental disorders worldwide: large-scale meta-analysis of 192 epidemiological studies. *Molecular Psychiatry*.
7. Cochrane Collaboration (2008): Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions. Accessed 24/06/2011.
8. Rosenthal JA (1996): Qualitative Descriptors of Strength of Association and Effect Size. *Journal of Social Service Research* 21: 37-59.
9. GRADEpro (2008): [Computer program]. Jan Brozek, Andrew Oxman, Holger Schünemann. *Version 3.2 for Windows*