Chronic pain and fibromyalgia

leuRA Discover. Conquer. Cure. POST-TRAUMATIC STRESS DISORDER LIBRARY

Introduction

Pain complaints are common in trauma patients, with greater frequency or severity of overall pain reported when compared to people without PTSD. Fibromyalgia is commonly reported, which is a centralised pain syndrome characterised by the presence of chronic widespread pain in association with fatigue, sleep disturbances, and cognitive dysfunction.

This topic assesses rates of chronic pain in people with PTSD. Please also see the illness topic as a risk factor for PTSD.

Method

We have included only systematic reviews literature (systematic search, detailed methodology with inclusion/exclusion criteria) published in full text, in English, from the year 2010 that report results separately for people with PTSD. Reviews were identified by searching the databases MEDLINE. EMBASE. and PsycINFO. When multiple copies of reviews were found, only the most recent version was included. We prioritised reviews with pooled data for inclusion.

Review reporting assessment was guided by the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Meta-Analyses Reviews and (PRISMA) checklist that describes a preferred way to present a meta-analysis¹. Reviews with less than 50% of items checked have been excluded from the library. Note that early reviews may have been guided by less stringent reporting checklists than the PRISMA. and that some reviews may have been limited by journal guidelines.

Evidence was graded using the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE) Working Group approach where high quality evidence such as that gained from randomised controlled trials (RCTs) may be downgraded to moderate or low if review and study quality is limited, if there is inconsistency in results, indirect comparisons, imprecise or sparse data and high probability of reporting bias. It may also be downgraded if risks associated with the intervention or other matter under review are high. Conversely, low quality evidence such as that gained from observational studies may be upgraded if effect sizes are large or if there is a dose dependent response. We have also taken into account sample size and whether results are consistent, precise and direct with low associated risks (see end of table for an explanation of these terms)2. The resulting table represents an objective summary of the available evidence, although the conclusions are solely the opinion of staff of NeuRA (Neuroscience Research Australia).

Results

We found three systematic reviews that met our inclusion criteria³⁻⁵.

- Moderate to high quality evidence finds a small association between increased PTSD symptoms and increased chronic widespread pain.
- Moderate to low quality evidence found evidence of bidirectional associations between pain and PTSD symptoms within six months post-trauma. whereas unidirectional patterns were found after six months, either from pain to PTSD symptoms or from PTSD symptoms to pain.
- Moderate to low quality evidence finds medium-sized effects of increased rates of fibromyalgia and chronic widespread pain in people previously exposed to trauma.



Chronic pain and fibromyalgia

Afari N, Ahumada SM, Wright LJ, Mostoufi S, Golnari G, Reis V, Cuneo JG

Psychological trauma and functional somatic syndromes: a systematic review and meta-analysis

Psychosomatic Medicine 2014; 76: 2-11

View review abstract online

Comparison	Rates of fibromyalgia and chronic widespread pain in people exposed to trauma compared to people not exposed to trauma. Note: while all of the exposed group experienced trauma, not all had PTSD.
Summary of evidence	Moderate to low quality evidence (unclear sample sizes, imprecise, direct) finds medium-sized effects of increased rates of fibromyalgia and chronic widespread pain in people exposed to trauma.

Fibromyalgia and chronic widespread pain

Significant, medium-sized effects of increased fibromyalgia and chronic pain in people exposed to trauma;

Fibromyalgia: 21 studies, N not reported, OR = 2.52, 95%Cl 1.92 to 3.31, p < 0.001, l^2 not reported Chronic pain: 5 studies, N not reported, OR = 3.35, 95%Cl 2.55 to 4.41, p < 0.001, l^2 not reported

Consistency in results [‡]	Unable to assess; no measure of consistency is reported.
Precision in results§	Imprecise
Directness of results	Direct

Pacella ML, Hruska B, Delahanty DL

The physical health consequences of PTSD and PTSD symptoms: a metaanalytic review

Journal of Anxiety Disorders 2013; 27: 33-46

View review abstract online

Comparison	Associations between PTSD symptoms and chronic widespread pain.
Summary of evidence	Moderate to high quality evidence (unclear sample sizes,



Chronic pain and fibromyalgia

	consistent, precise, direct) finds a small association between increased PTSD symptoms and increased chronic pain.	
Chronic widespread pain		
Significant, small association between increased PTSD symptoms and increased chronic pain in people PTSD;		
26 studies, N not reported, $r = 0.23$, 95%CI 0.19 to 0.27, $p < 0.001$, $Qp > 0.05$		
Consistency in results [‡]	Consistent	
Precision in results§	Precise	
Directness of results	Direct	

Ravn SL, Hartvigsen J, Hansen M, Sterling M, Andersen TE

Do post-traumatic pain and post-traumatic stress symptomatology mutually maintain each other? A systematic review of cross-lagged studies

Pain 2-18; 159: 2159-69
View review abstract online

Comparison	Associations between PTSD symptoms and chronic widespread pain.
Summary of evidence	Moderate to low quality evidence (unclear sample sizes, direct) found evidence of bidirectional associations between pain and PTSD symptoms within 6 months post-trauma, whereas unidirectional patterns were found after 6 months, either from pain to PTSD symptoms or from PTSD symptoms to pain.

Chronic widespread pain

3 of 4 studies reported evidence of bidirectional associations between pain and PTSD symptoms from time 1 (various times post-trauma) to time 2 (3-6 months post-trauma), whereas this changed to unidirectional patterns from time 2 to time 3 (>6 months post-trauma), either from pain to PTSD symptoms or from PTSD symptoms to pain.

2 studies investigated PTSD symptom clusters (intrusion, hyperarousal, and avoidance), and both found evidence of bidirectional associations between hyperarousal and pain in the early months after trauma from time 1 (<6 weeks post-trauma) to time 2 (3-6 months post-trauma), and bidirectional associations between intrusion and hyperarousal and pain in the chronic months after trauma from time 2 (3-6 months post-trauma) to time 3 (12 months post-trauma). A number of



Chronic pain and fibromyalgia

unidirectional effects were found with intrusion to pain and pain to intrusion found in early months after trauma (from time 1 to time 2), whereas pain to avoidance and pain to hyperarousal were found in the chronic months after trauma (from time 2 to time 3).

Consistency in results [‡]	Unable to assess; no measure of consistency is reported.
Precision in results§	Unable to assess; no measure of precision is reported.
Directness of results	Direct

Explanation of acronyms

CI = confidence interval, I^2 = the percentage of the variability in effect estimates that is due to heterogeneity rather than sampling error (chance), N = number of participants, OR = odds ratio, p = statistical probability of obtaining that result, Q = test for heterogeneity

Chronic pain and fibromyalgia

Neura Discover. Conquer. Cure.

Explanation of technical terms

Bias has the potential to affect reviews of both RCT and observational studies. Forms of bias include; reporting bias - selective reporting of results; publication bias - trials that are not formally published tend to show less effect than published trials, further if there are statistically significant differences between groups in a trial, these trial results tend to get published before those of trials without significant differences; language bias - only including English language reports; funding bias - source of funding for the primary research with selective reporting of results within primary studies; outcome variable selection bias; database bias including reports from some databases and not others; citation bias - preferential citation of authors. Trials can also be subject to bias when evaluators are not blind to treatment condition and selection bias of participants if trial samples are small⁶.

† Different effect measures are reported by different reviews.

Prevalence refers to how many existing cases there are at a particular point in time. Incidence refers to how many new cases there are per population in a specified time period. Incidence is usually reported as the number of new cases per 100,000 people per year. Alternatively some studies present the number of new cases that have accumulated over several years against a person-years denominator. This denominator is the sum of individual units of time that the persons in the population are at risk of becoming a case. It takes into account the size of the underlying population sample and its age structure over the duration of observation.

Reliability and validity refers to how accurate the instrument is. Sensitivity is the proportion of actual positives that are correctly identified (100% sensitivity = correct identification of all actual positives) and specificity is the proportion of negatives that are correctly identified (100% specificity = not identifying anyone as positive if they are truly not).

Weighted mean difference scores refer to mean differences between treatment and comparison groups after treatment (or occasionally pre to post treatment) and in a randomised trial there is an assumption that both groups are comparable on this measure prior to treatment. Standardised mean differences are divided by the pooled standard deviation (or the standard deviation of one group when groups are homogenous) that allows results from different scales to be combined and compared. Each study's mean difference is then given a weighting depending on the size of the sample and the variability in the data. Less than 0.4 represents a small effect, around 0.5 a medium effect, and over 0.8 represents a large effect⁶.

Odds ratio (OR) or relative risk (RR) refers to the probability of a reduction (< 1) or an increase (> 1) in a particular outcome in a treatment group, or a group exposed to a risk factor, relative to the comparison group. For example, a RR of 0.75 translates to a reduction in risk of an outcome of 25% relative to those not receiving the treatment or not exposed to the risk factor. Conversely, a RR of 1.25 translates to an increased risk of 25% relative to those not receiving treatment or not having been exposed to a risk factor. A RR or OR of 1.00 means there is no difference between groups. A medium effect is considered if RR > 2 or < 0.5 and a large effect if RR > 5 or $< 0.2^7$. InOR stands for logarithmic OR where a InOR of 0 shows no difference between groups. Hazard ratios measure the effect of an explanatory variable on the hazard or risk of an event.

Correlation coefficients (eg, r) indicate the strength of association or relationship

Chronic pain and fibromyalgia

between variables. They can provide an indirect indication of prediction, but do not



limit crosses an effect size of 0.5 in either direction, and for binary and correlation data, an effect size of 0.25. GRADE also recommends downgrading the evidence when sample size is smaller than 300 (for binary data) and 400 (for continuous data), although for some topics, these criteria should be relaxed⁸.

confirm causality due to possible and often unforseen confounding variables. An r of 0.10 represents a weak association, 0.25 a medium association and 0.40 and over represents а strong association. Unstandardised (b) regression coefficients indicate the average change in the dependent variable associated with a 1 unit change in independent variable, statistically the other independent controlling for variables. Standardised regression coefficients represent the change being in of standard deviations to allow comparison across different scales.

‡ Inconsistency refers to differing estimates of effect across studies (i.e. heterogeneity or variability in results) is not explained by subgroup analyses and therefore reduces confidence in the effect estimate. I2 is the percentage of the variability in effect estimates that is due to heterogeneity rather than sampling error (chance) - 0% to 40%: heterogeneity might not be important, 30% to 60%: may represent moderate heterogeneity, 50% to 90%: may represent considerable heterogeneity and over this is considerable heterogeneity. I² can calculated from Q (chi-square) for the test of heterogeneity with the following formula6;

$$I^2 = \left(\frac{Q - df}{Q}\right) \times 100\%$$

§ Imprecision refers to wide confidence intervals indicating a lack of confidence in the effect estimate. Based on GRADE recommendations, a result for continuous data (standardised mean differences, not weighted mean differences) is considered imprecise if the upper or lower confidence

Indirectness of comparison occurs when a comparison of intervention A versus B is not available but A was compared with C and B was compared with C that allows indirect comparisons of the magnitude of effect of A versus B. Indirectness of population, comparator and/or outcome can also occur when the available evidence regarding a particular population, intervention, comparator, or outcome is not available and is therefore inferred from available evidence. These inferred treatment effect sizes are of lower quality than those gained from head-tohead comparisons of A and B.



Chronic pain and fibromyalgia

References

- Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, PRISMAGroup (2009): Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *British Medical Journal* 151: 264-9.
- 2. GRADEWorkingGroup (2004): Grading quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. *British Medical Journal* 328: 1490.
- 3. Afari N, Ahumada SM, Wright LJ, Mostoufi S, Golnari G, Reis V, et al. (2014): Psychological trauma and functional somatic syndromes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Psychosomatic Medicine* 76: 2-11.
- 4. Ravn SL, Hartvigsen J, Hansen M, Sterling M, Andersen TE (2018): Do post-traumatic pain and post-traumatic stress symptomatology mutually maintain each other? A systematic review of cross-lagged studies. *Pain* 159: 2159-69.
- 5. Pacella ML, Hruska B, Delahanty DL (2013): The physical health consequences of PTSD and PTSD symptoms: a meta-analytic review. *Journal of Anxiety Disorders* 27: 33-46.
- 6. CochraneCollaboration (2008): Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions. Accessed 24/06/2011.
- 7. Rosenthal JA (1996): Qualitative Descriptors of Strength of Association and Effect Size. *Journal of Social Service Research* 21: 37-59.
- 8. GRADEpro (2008): [Computer program]. Jan Brozek, Andrew Oxman, Holger Schünemann. *Version 32 for Windows*